The William & Flora Hewlett Foundation

1992

The William & Flora Hewlett Foundation

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

William R. Hewlett Chairman Palo Alto, California

Walter B. Hewlett Vice Chairman Menlo Park, California

Roger W. Heyns President Menlo Park, California (through December 31, 1992)

David Pierpont Gardner President Menlo Park, California (effective January 1, 1993) Robert Minge Brown Hillsborough, California

Robert F. Erburu Los Angeles, California

Eleanor H. Gimon Greenwich, Connecticut

Mary H. Jaffe Portland, Oregon

Herant Katchadourian, M.D. Stanford, California

Arjay Miller Woodside, California

Lyle M. Nelson Stanford, California

Loret M. Ruppe Bethesda, Maryland (effective January 1993)

ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Roger W. Heyns President (through December 31, 1992)

David Pierpont Gardner President (effective January 1, 1993)

Marianne Pallotti Vice President/ Corporate Secretary

William F. Nichols Treasurer

Raymond F. Bacchetti Program Officer (effective February 1, 1993)

Barbara M. Barclay Program Officer

Nicholas P. Bollman Program Officer Faith Mitchell Program Officer

Clint E. Smith Program Officer (through December 31, 1993)

B. Stephen Toben Program Officer

Susan Alexander Administrator of Grants and Computer Systems

Roberta Green Program Assistant

Patricia Gump Receptionist / Staff Assistant

Mary Shipsey Gunn Program Assistant (effective January 11, 1993) Dorothy Heisterberg Program Assistant

Celia Lonborg Receptionist / Staff Assistant

Mary Menicutch Accountant

Lisa M. Sanders Staff Assistant

Noreen Scofield Program Assistant (through November 13, 1992)

Fe P. Snider *Librarian*

Priscilla W. Tommei Accounting Manager

Dyke Brown Consultant

Statement of Purpose

he Hewlett Foundation, incorporated as a private foundation in the State of California in 1966, was established by the Palo Alto industrialist William R. Hewlett, his late wife, Flora Lamson Hewlett, and their eldest son, Walter B. Hewlett. The Foundation's broad purpose, as stated in the articles of incorporation, is to promote the well-being of mankind by supporting selected activities of a charitable, religious, scientific, literary, or educational nature, as well as organizations or institutions engaged in such activities.

The Foundation concentrates its resources on activities in the performing arts; education, particularly at the university and college level; population issues; environmental issues; conflict resolution; and children, youth, and families. Some subareas of interest to the Foundation are listed in the program descriptions that follow. Special projects outside these broad areas may from time to time be approved by the Board of Directors. Although the Hewlett Foundation is a national foundation, with no geographic limit stipulated in its charter, a proportion of disbursable funds has been earmarked for projects in the San Francisco Bay Area.

The Foundation has a strong commitment to the voluntary, nonprofit sector. It will therefore assist efforts to improve the financial base and efficiency of organizations and institutions in this category. Proposals that show promise of stimulating private philanthropy are particularly welcome.

In its grantmaking decisions as well as in its interests and activities, the Hewlett Foundation is wholly independent of the Hewlett-Packard Company and the Hewlett-Packard Company Foundation.

Contents

Statement of Purpose iii
President's Statement 1
Introduction to Programs 6
Children, Youth, and Families 7
Conflict Resolution 12
Education 19
Environment 32
Performing Arts 40
Population 51
Regional Grants 61
Special Projects 70
Advice to Applicants 76
Financial Statements 79
Index 85

IT IS FITTING that a tribute to outgoing President Roger Heyns appear in this annual report of the William and Flora Hewlett Foundation. For the past fifteen years every record of our progress has been a statement of his outstanding leadership; every profile of our growth has reflected his strengths. He took the Foundation from a small, provincial organization to one of the prominent foundations in the country. Through his work and effort, he vastly expanded its activities, while building a strong and knowledgeable staff to carry out its aims.

On behalf of the Foundation as a whole—Board and staff—I wish to thank Dr. Heyns for the lasting contributions he has made to this institution. His vision as president has ensured that the Foundation will maintain leadership in its areas of interest for years to come. I am delighted that he has agreed to continued service as a director on our Board.

We warmly welcome David Pierpont Gardner as he assumes the presidency of the Foundation. The knowledge and experience he brings are certain to enrich the position and to extend our tradition of excellent leadership.

> WILLIAM R. HEWLETT Chairman

President's Statement

eing president of this Foundation for the past fifteen years has been enormously gratifying, a source of daily satisfaction. I have chosen to use this, my final president's statement, to acknowledge my appreciation to those who have been responsible for making these years so rewarding: the grantee community, the Board, the staff, and the Foundation's chairman.

The Grantee Community

Over the past fifteen years, the Foundation's annual granting level has risen from \$2.5 million to \$35 million, enabling an enormous increase in the kinds of issues we could address and the number of applicants we could support. Throughout the entire period, I have been impressed with the quality of our applicants. Almost without exception, they have been working on serious and important problems with enthusiasm and energy. We have found them to be candid in their presentations. Knowing the value we attach to required Foundation reports, they have responsibly provided timely and complete information, not always an easy task for struggling organizations. Finally, despite the fact that we have been able to fund fewer than 10 percent of their proposals, our applicants have been remarkably gracious, even when responding to a decision to decline.

While I would be naive to contend that all these complex relations have gone smoothly and without complaint, I want to record my overall pleasure with the dedication and performance of the applicant community with whom we have dealt. In a very fundamental way, a foundation's reputation rests on the effectiveness of its grantees. While it is staff responsibility to seek out strong proposals that are consistent with Foundation interests, ultimate success results from the grantees' intelligent and earnest efforts. The satisfactions of foundation life must be indirect: our most gratifying and important accomplishments have been those of our grantees.

The Board

The Board of Directors has played a key role in establishing the practices and policies that characterize the Foundation's operation. There is nothing startling about that bare fact; directors are indeed

responsible for these duties. Yet, this Board's approach has been especially significant. Directors have taken the definition of the Foundation's program interests very seriously, recognizing that the clarity of their intentions affects the efficiency of our application and evaluation procedures. Furthermore, the Board has involved the staff in identifying problems and analyzing options for dealing with them. This iterative process—staff papers, followed by Board reaction, followed by statements of Foundation interests—has led to a clear general understanding of the Foundation's direction.

Early on, the Board made the important policy decision to focus on institution-building. As a consequence, the Foundation has been particularly receptive to requests for general, as opposed to project, support. This is by no means a common foundation practice. It is easier and often more interesting to support individual projects; certainly such grants are often more attention-getting. The Board's interest in institution-building also puts a heavy emphasis on large grants of multi-year duration. A final characteristic of Board policy, consistent with those just cited, has been its willingness to trust the judgment of the leadership of the organizations which we fund. Most of the multi-year, general support grants aimed at institution-building allow recipients to exercise discretion in their use. We have impressive evidence that the discretion has been well used. I am proud of the courage and wisdom the Board has manifested in establishing these policies.

We have been fortunate to include on our Board chief executives of major corporations, leaders of the legal profession, and faculty members from distinguished universities. They have attended meetings regularly, well-prepared and ready to participate vigorously. Experience on the boards of other organizations has given them particular skill in differentiating between management and policy issues. Overall, their deep commitment to identifying program interests, developing policies and practices, and monitoring staff performance has been executed with grace and intelligence.

One segment of the Board deserves special mention: members of the Hewlett family. Each of the five children of William and Flora Hewlett has been, at one time or another, a director on the Board. Stereotypes of family members on philanthropic boards are often not flattering; it is because these stereotypes emphatically do not apply to these young people that I want to single them out.

They have used the Foundation to learn about effective philanthropy, participated actively in Board discussions, and remained identified with the purposes of their fellow Board members. They have been scrupulous not to claim special influence on Foundation decisions. Through their approach toward their work in the Foundation, the Hewlett children have won my appreciation and admiration.

The Staff

With strong endorsement from the Board, we have been able to attract dedicated and imaginative people to our staff, both program officers and administrative personnel. Clear objectives set by the Board have helped staff members to identify fully with the Foundation's purposes and permitted the delegation to them of important program responsibilities.

As a consequence, a generous, constructive partnership has developed between staff and Board that has enormously increased the Foundation's effectiveness. It has helped us to avoid the "we-they" attitude known to divide staff and Board elsewhere. Perhaps there is no better index of this rapport than the fact that, in fifteen years, the Board has returned for further staff study fewer than a half a dozen recommendations out of the hundreds presented each year.

Along with this staff-Board partnership, we have enjoyed a steady, reliable self-renewal process through the practice of term appointments for program officers. This policy is not without its difficulties; saying good-bye to respected colleagues is not easy. Each new appointment, however, has brought us new visions and made us aware of new possibilities. I have been grateful each day for our staff's stimulating ideas, for their excellent relations among each other, and for the importance they attach to working effectively with applicants and grantees.

The Chairman

A very significant number of our grantees' current activities continue the interest that William and Flora Hewlett embraced when the Foundation was established. This is not to say that every grant has had Mr. Hewlett's enthusiastic endorsement. He has chosen to share

responsibility for decisions about the use of his private funds in the public interest. He has chosen people with whom to share this responsibility and worked closely with his fellow directors in establishing the Foundation's program interests. Finally, he has scrupulously observed all policies and practices agreed upon by the Board. Never in fifteen years has he asked that Board policy be bent to accommodate a particular interest of his.

Nor has he micromanaged the Foundation. He is available for consultation, serious in his role as chairman, but consistently opposed to any temptation or invitation to manage the Foundation. One of Mr. Hewlett's characteristics has particularly influenced the Foundation. He, in his substantial private philanthropy efforts, is a particularly anonymous donor. This posture has affected the Foundation as well. I think there have been some outstanding contributions of the Foundation to the effort to make the institutions of our society work more effectively. These are perhaps more obscure than they otherwise would have been because of his unobtrusive style.

It has been a rare privilege for me to work with Mr. Hewlett. Over the past fifty years, I have been privileged to work with a large number of talented and valuable people. None has been more impressive than this wonderful man. The past fifteen years in partnership with him in building the Foundation have been enormously rewarding, and I am grateful, particularly to him.

The New President

No one can work earnestly in developing an institution from its inception without being interested in one's successor. I am understandably delighted with the Board's selection of David Pierpont Gardner as the Foundation's next president. His breadth of knowledge and interests in the fields in which the Foundation works, his capacity to build a team, and his ability to work effectively with a Board—these are but a few of his many talents that make it a delight to pass on the presidency to him. As this essay indicates, I can also assure him that partnerships of enormous strength and value await him.

ROGER W. HEYNS



THE PROGRAM STATEMENTS that follow describe certain specific objectives of the Hewlett Foundation. Other goals are general; they underlie all the programs and all the funding choices the Foundation makes.

FIRST, the Foundation has a strong basic commitment to the voluntary, nonprofit sector that lies between industry and government. Institutions and organizations in this category serve purposes very important to our society, and their health and effectiveness are a major concern. Accordingly, the Foundation intends to assist efforts to strengthen their financial base and increase their efficiency.

SECOND, the Foundation also believes that private philanthropy is of great value to society. Support from individuals, businesses, or foundations can supplement government funding, and in some important cases can provide a benign and fruitful alternative. The Foundation considers the nation's habits of philanthropy, individual and corporate, less healthy than they should be, and therefore will be particularly receptive to proposals that show promise of stimulating private philanthropy.

A GREAT MANY excellent organizations meet both the general criteria suggested here and the specifications set forth in the statements that follow. Competition for the available funds is intense. The Foundation can respond favorably to only a small proportion of the worthwhile proposals it receives.

Children, Youth, and Families

he children, youth, and families program focuses primarily on the San Francisco Bay Area and California, also making a few grants selectively to national organizations whose work is of potential benefit to the Foundation's California grantees. Grants for direct services are largely directed toward Bay Area organizations. In the area of indirect services, such as coordination, technical assistance, research, policy development, and coalition-building, the Foundation supports organizations throughout the state, provided their local efforts have a broader potential impact through program replication or policy development.

Grantmaking in the program is concentrated in five areas: family support, teenage pregnancy prevention, the enhancement of educational and employment opportunities, schools and communities, and policy analysis.

In the area of family support, the program funds organizations that address the needs of families, not just individuals. Grantmaking aims to increase families' economic stability and cohesion, their ability to participate in the broader community, and parents' ability to nurture the healthy development of their children.

When funding programs to prevent teenage pregnancy, the Foundation places emphasis on programs that address family and community factors affecting adolescent behavior, as well as teens' sexual behavior and use of contraceptives. Policy-relevant demonstration projects with a strong evaluation component are of particular interest.

Support of enhanced educational and employment opportunities focuses on educational programs that improve the academic skills of children and younger adolescents to deter them from dropping out, and on comprehensive employment programs for adolescents that provide job placement and follow-up as well as training. In addition to direct services, the Foundation is particularly interested in demonstration projects, independent evaluations, and policy analysis.

Program Description Grantmaking in the area of schools and communities has two components. The first encourages the initiation or improvement of collaborations between schools, community agencies, and families to help improve the academic performance of at-risk youth. The second component supports the involvement of school-age youth in community projects through volunteer service programs, with a special interest in programs that integrate service into the school curriculum. The children, youth, and families program does not support school restructuring, curriculum reform, or reform of the teaching profession.

The adequacy of public policies related to children, youth, and families, particularly the disadvantaged, is a subject of renewed and growing interest. The Foundation supports national, state, and local policy organizations, with a particular interest in policy research and the dissemination of information to policymakers and the general public.

Org	ildren, Youth, and Families: ganizations , Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
F	amily Support				
1.0	anily Support				
Oa	LIFORNIA FAMILY ACTION kland, California				
For	r general support	\$50,000		\$50,000	
	NTER FOR A NEW GENERATION inford, California				
For	r general support	90,000		90,000	
EAS	ST BAY COMMUNITY FOUNDATION, ST BAY FUNDERS kland, California				
	r general support	300,000		100,000	200,000
ОМ	11 NEIGHBORS IN ACTION 1 Francisco, California	200,000		100,000	200,000
	r general support	25,000		25,000	
ME Nas	eenage Pregnancy Prevention EHARRY MEDICAL COLLEGE shville, Tennessee r the "I Have a Future" teen pregnancy evention program	285,000			285,000
-		-E /		= 5	
-	chool-to-Work or chool-to-School Transition				
	YSHORE EMPLOYMENT SERVICE st Palo Alto, California				
For	r the Bayshore Workers program	60,000		30,000	30,000
	w ways to work 1 Francisco, California				
	r general support of the New Ways orkers program	35,000	3 1 18 3	35,000	
	BLIC/PRIVATE VENTURES iladelphia, Pennsylvania				
For	r general support	250,000		125,000	125,000
For	r the Urban Corps Expansion Project	400,000			400,000
YO	UTHBUILD SAN FRANCISCO				*
Sar	n Francisco, California				

[•] Grants newly authorized in 1992 are highlighted by square boxes.

Children, Youth, and Families: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
School-Community Linkages				
BOYS AND GIRLS CLUB OF THE PENINSULA				
Menlo Park, California For educational activities	225,000		100,000	125,000
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION Los Angeles, California			*	1
For general support of Youth Service California	125,000			125,000
ENCAMPMENT FOR CITIZENSHIP Berkeley, California				
For general support	20,000		20,000	
MENTORING CENTER Oakland, California				
For general support	50,000		25,000	25,000
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF SERVICE AND CONSERVATION CORPS Washington, D.C.				
For general support	300,000		100,000	200,000
POINTS OF LIGHT FOUNDATION Washington, D.C.				
For general support of the Communities as Places of Learning Project	50,000			50,000
SAN FRANCISCO FOUNDATION San Francisco, California				
For general support of the School-Linked Services Consortium	500,000		500,000	
SAN FRANCISCO STATE UNIVERSITY San Francisco, California				
For general support of the Bay Area Homelessness Program	250,000		125,000	125,000
URBAN STRATEGIES COUNCIL Oakland, California				
For general support of the Interagency Group for School-Linked Services	125,000		75,000	50,000
Policy Analysis				
COLEMAN CHILDREN AND YOUTH SERVICES San Francisco, California				
For general support	150,000		50,000	100,000

Children, Youth, and Families: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN, MADISON, ROBERT M. LAFOLLETTE INSTITUTE OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS Madison, Wisconsin				
For general support of the States of Welfare project	32,000		32,000	
Other				
NORTHERN CALIFORNIA GRANTMAKERS San Francisco, California				
For general support of the Summer Youth Project	30,000		30,000	
TOTAL CHILDREN, YOUTH, AND FAMILIES	\$3,457,000		\$1,617,000	\$1,840,00

Conflict Resolution

ince 1978 the Foundation has been interested in encouraging improvements in the ways our society resolves disputes. Its initial grants dealt primarily with environmental conflicts. In 1984 the Foundation established the conflict resolution program to support work across disciplines and in a wide variety of settings.

The Foundation favors general support grants that build the institutional capacity of promising conflict resolution organizations. The Foundation does not generally provide funding for specific projects or research, nor is support typically given for start-up efforts. Grants are made in five categories.

The first is support for theory development. The Foundation is particularly interested in university-based centers that demonstrate both a strong academic commitment to systematic, interdisciplinary research on conflict resolution and also an ability to contribute to the improvement of conflict resolution practice.

The second category consists of grants to mediation and other practitioner organizations. The Foundation is primarily interested in opportunities to help effective and stable groups increase their capacity for growth and outreach. Grants support the development of new approaches or new applications of conflict resolution methods, the achievement of greater organizational maturity, the evaluation of program effectiveness, and numerous other efforts to enhance the overall impact of practitioner organizations on the field and on the larger communities in which they work.

In the third category, the Foundation provides support to organizations that train or educate potential users about conflict resolution techniques or otherwise promote the field as a whole.

The fourth category supports efforts at policymaking reform and large-scale conflict prevention that anticipate and pre-empt clashes among stakeholders. Recognizing that the origins of conflict can often be traced to defects in methods of communication and participation in policymaking, the Foundation assists organizations that demonstrate means of improving the processes of decision-making on issues of major public importance. The Foundation's interest is primarily focused on facilitating and convening organi-

Program Description zations that are exploring new ways of approaching contentious public policy issues more effectively through collaborative action that protects the legitimate interests of all involved parties. This area of interest was formerly expressed through the environment program of the Foundation, and it is expected that this category of funding will remain largely committed to organizations working on environmental issues.

Finally, the Foundations supports a limited number of organizations that are working on the international application of conflict resolution techniques and the development of practice-relevant theory related to ethnic, ideological, religious, racial, and other intergroup conflicts around the world.

Following is a timetable for submission of applications to the Foundation in the foregoing five categories of interest:

	Application Submitted by:	Application Reviewed in:
Practitioner Organizations	October 1	January
International Organizations	January 1	April
Theory Organizations	January 1	April
Training and Promotional Organizations	July 1	October
Public Policy Decisionmaking Organizations	July 1	October

	Conflict Resolution: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
	Theory Development				
	UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO, BOULDER Boulder, Colorado				
	For general support of the Conflict Resolution Consortium	\$210,000	6.7	\$80,000	\$130,000
	GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY Fairfax, Virginia				
	For general support of the Institute for Conflict Analysis and Resolution (Awarded in 1990 for \$270,000)	56	90,000	90,000	
•	GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY Atlanta, Georgia				
	For general support of the Inter-University Consortium on Multi-Party Conflict	200,000		105,000	95,000
	UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII Honolulu, Hawaii				
	For general support of the Program on Conflict Resolution (Awarded in 1991 for \$200,000)		200,000		200,000
	UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN Ann Arbor, Michigan				
	For general support of the Program on Conflict Management Alternatives (Awarded in 1991 for \$200,000)		200,000	200,000	
	UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA Minneapolis, Minnesota				
	For general support of the Conflict and Change Center (Awarded in 1991 for \$200,000)		200,000		200,000
-	OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY Columbus, Ohio				
	For general support of the Consortium for Dispute Resolution	200,000		100,000	100,000
	PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY University Park, Pennsylvania				
	For general support of the Center for Research in Conflict and Negotiation (Awarded in 1990 for \$270,000)		90,000	90,000	
•	RUTGERS-STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW JERSEY Newark, New Jersey				
	For the Center for Negotiation and Conflict Resolution	210,000		70,000	140,000

[■] Grants newly authorized in 1992 are highlighted by square boxes.

Organization (by Catego		Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
Stanford, C	O UNIVERSITY California				
	l support of the Conflict and Negotiation	300,000			300,000
SYRACUSE Syracuse, N	UNIVERSITY Jew York				
the Analysi	l support of the Program on is and Resolution of Conflicts in 1990 for \$300,000)		100,000	100,000	
WAYNE STA	ATE UNIVERSITY				
	support of the Program on				
(Awarded i	Theory and Democratic Systems in 1991 for \$160,000)		70,000	70,000	
UNIVERSIT Madison, V	TY OF WISCONSIN, MADISON Visconsin				
Processing 1	l support of the Disputes Research Program in 1990 for \$240,000)		140,000	80,000	60,000
ALBAN INS Washington					
	support of conflict				
manageme	support of conflict nt activities n 1990 for \$120,000)		70,000	40,000	30,000
(Awarded i	nt activities in 1990 for \$120,000) I JEWISH JOINT ITION COMMITTEE		70,000	40,000	30,000
Manageme (Awarded i AMERICAN DISTRIBUT New York, I	nt activities in 1990 for \$120,000) I JEWISH JOINT ITION COMMITTEE New York		70,000	40,000	30,000
Manageme (Awarded i AMERICAN DISTRIBUT New York, I For general	nt activities in 1990 for \$120,000) I JEWISH JOINT ITION COMMITTEE	30,000	70,000	40,000	
manageme (Awarded i AMERICAN DISTRIBUT New York, I For general training for BERKELEY SERVICE	nt activities in 1990 for \$120,000) I JEWISH JOINT ITION COMMITTEE New York support of conflict resolution Jerusalem civic leaders DISPUTE RESOLUTION	30,000	70,000	40,000	
manageme (Awarded i AMERICAN DISTRIBUT New York, I For general training for BERKELEY SERVICE Berkeley, Ca	nt activities in 1990 for \$120,000) I JEWISH JOINT TION COMMITTEE New York I support of conflict resolution I JETUSALEM CIVIC LEADERS DISPUTE RESOLUTION alifornia		70,000		30,000
manageme (Awarded i AMERICAN DISTRIBUT New York, I For general training for BERKELEY SERVICE Berkeley, Ca For general	nt activities in 1990 for \$120,000) I JEWISH JOINT TION COMMITTEE New York Support of conflict resolution or Jerusalem civic leaders DISPUTE RESOLUTION alifornia Support	30,000	70,000	40,000	30,000
manageme (Awarded i AMERICAN DISTRIBUT New York, I For general training for BERKELEY SERVICE Berkeley, Ca For general CALIFORNI SERVICES	nt activities in 1990 for \$120,000) I JEWISH JOINT TION COMMITTEE New York I support of conflict resolution I JETUSALEM CIVIC LEADERS DISPUTE RESOLUTION alifornia		70,000		30,000
manageme (Awarded i AMERICAN DISTRIBUT New York, I For general training for BERKELEY SERVICE Berkeley, Ca For general CALIFORNI SERVICES San Francis For general	nt activities in 1990 for \$120,000) I JEWISH JOINT FION COMMITTEE New York Support of conflict resolution or Jerusalem civic leaders DISPUTE RESOLUTION alifornia Support IA COMMUNITY DISPUTE co, California		70,000		30,000 40,000
manageme (Awarded i AMERICAN DISTRIBUT New York, I For general training for BERKELEY SERVICE Berkeley, Ca For general CALIFORNI SERVICES San Francis For general (Awarded i	nt activities in 1990 for \$120,000) I JEWISH JOINT FION COMMITTEE New York Support of conflict resolution or Jerusalem civic leaders DISPUTE RESOLUTION alifornia Support IA COMMUNITY DISPUTE co, California Support IN 1991 for \$150,000) OR DISPUTE SETTLEMENT			40,000	30,000

Conflict Resolution: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
CENTER FOR MEDIATION OF DISPUTES Cincinnati, Ohio				
For general support (Awarded in 1990 for \$75,000)		20,000	20,000	9.14
CONFLICT MANAGEMENT INITIATIVES Evanston, Illinois				
To establish conflict resolution centers in South Africa	30,000		L.	30,000
INTERNATIONAL PEACE ACADEMY New York, New York				4 9
For general support (Awarded in 1991 for \$250,000)		130,000		130,000
MEDIATION CENTER FOR DISPUTE RESOLUTION Saint Paul, Minnesota	N			
For general support (Awarded in 1990 for \$120,000)		30,000	30,000	
MINNESOTA CITIZENS COUNCIL ON CRIME AND JUSTICE Minneapolis, Minnesota				
For general support of the Mediation Services Program	75,000		50,000	25,000
NEW MEXICO CENTER FOR DISPUTE RESOLUTION Santa Fe, New Mexico			14	-1
For general support (Awarded in 1990 for \$90,000)		20,000	20,000	
UNIVERSITY OF NORTH DAKOTA Grand Forks, North Dakota				
For general support of the Conflict Resolution Center	50,000		30,000	20,000
NORTH DAKOTA CONSENSUS COUNCIL Bismarck, North Dakota				
For general support	200,000		125,000	75,000
NORTHERN CALIFORNIA MEDIATION CENTER Corte Madera, California				
For general support (Awarded in 1990 for \$80,000)		40,000	40,000	1
PENNSYLVANIA ENVIRONMENTAL COUNCIL Philadelphia, Pennsylvania				
For general support of Penn ACCORD, Center for Environmental Dispute Resolution (Awarded in 1991 for \$100,000)		40,000	40,000	<u> </u>
VOLUNTEERS OF AMERICA Everett, Washington		The second	v 1990	17
For general support of the Dispute Resolution Center of Snohomish County	60,000		20,000	40,000

(Conflict Resolution: Organizations by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
	washington heights—inwood coalition				
1	New York, New York				
	For general support of the mediation program (Awarded in 1991 for \$120,000)		80,000	40,000	40,000
	Promotion of the Field				
	ACADEMY OF FAMILY MEDIATORS Eugene, Oregon				
	For general support (Awarded in 1991 for \$120,000)		60,000	30,000	30,000
1	ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES Washington, D.C.				
	For general support of alternative				
	dispute resolution activities (Awarded in 1991 for \$150,000)		70,000		70,000
1	AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION FUND FOR JUSTICE AND EDUCATION Washington, D.C.				
	For general support of the Standing Committee on Dispute Resolution (Awarded in 1991 for \$200,000)		120,000	70,000	50,000
	BOSTON UNIVERSITY Boston, Massachusetts				
1	For the Program for Health Care Negotiation and Conflict Resolution	80,000		60,000	20,000
1	CALIFORNIA FOUNDATION FOR THE MPROVEMENT OF EMPLOYER-EMPLOYEE RELATIONS Cacramento, California		7 7		
	For general support	100,000			100,000
•	CENTER FOR PUBLIC RESOURCES, INC. New York, New York				
1	For general support of the Legal Program (Awarded in 1991 for \$300,000)		150,000	100,000	50,000
	COUNCIL OF BETTER BUSINESS BUREAUS Arlington, Virginia				
1	For general support of alternative dispute resolution activities	75,000		40,000	35,000
	ndian dispute resolution services Berkeley, California				
1	For general support	100,000		100,000	

Conflict Resolution: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS, AMHERST Amherst, Massachusetts				
For general support of the National Association for Mediation in Education (Awarded in 1991 for \$160,000)		106,000	53,000	53,000
NATIONAL CONFERENCE ON PEACEMAKING AND CONFLICT RESOLUTION Fairfax, Virginia				
For general support	80,000			80,000
NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR DISPUTE RESOLUTION Washington, D.C.				
For general support	400,000		400,000	
NATIONAL LEAGUE OF CITIES INSTITUTE Washington, D.C.				
For general support of the Program for Community Problem Solving	150,000		100,000	50,000
THE NETWORK: INTERACTION FOR CONFLICT RESOLUTION Waterloo, Ontario, Canada				
For general support (Awarded in 1991 for \$120,000)		80,000	40,000	40,000
PARTNERS FOR DEMOCRATIC CHANGE San Francisco, California				
For general support	100,000		75,000	25,000
PRISONER AND COMMUNITY TOGETHER, INC., INSTITUTE OF JUSTICE Valparaiso, Indiana				
For general support of the U.S. Association for Victim-Offender Mediation	15,000		15,000	
SOCIETY OF PROFESSIONALS IN DISPUTE RESOLUTION Washington, D.C.				
For general support	100,000		35,000	65,000
Other				
CENTER FOR DISPUTE SETTLEMENT Washington, D.C.				
To assist the Test Design Project in evaluating mediator qualifications	5,000		5,000	
TOTAL CONFLICT RESOLUTION	\$2,850,000	\$2,236,000	\$2,703,000	\$2,383,000

Education

rants in the education program are made to promote the underlying strengths of recipient institutions rather than to meet their short-term, specific needs. Most of the grants are made in the categories described below. For the few made outside these categories, preference is given to umbrella organizations or to activities that serve a number of institutions.

The Foundation helps strengthen elementary and secondary schools by funding efforts to reform public policy at the state level. It does not fund individual programs of research, staff and curriculum development, or other service programs.

The Foundation makes grants to strengthen comprehensive teaching and research programs of academic institutions in the United States and Mexico that focus on relations between these countries. Of particular interest are broad-based centers of research that will improve communication between consumers and providers of policy research, cooperate with other research programs, and address regional and local concerns.

The Foundation also supports international and area studies at major research universities and at selective private liberal arts colleges. Participation in these programs is by invitation.

Maintaining funds for institutional renewal is difficult in times of budget stringency. The Foundation has made challenge grants to establish presidential discretionary fund endowments for faculty and curriculum development and for other activities at selective private liberal arts colleges. A second round of supplementary grants to those institutions is underway. The Foundation makes similar grants to research universities with strong commitments to improving undergraduate education. Participation in both programs is by invitation.

In conjunction with the Bush Foundation, the Foundation supports programs for capital campaigns and faculty development at private black colleges. The Foundation also supports programs designed to improve the educational achievement of underrepresented minority students in higher education.

Program Description A limited number of grants are made to strengthen networks of major research libraries, reflecting the conviction that only through collaboration can such libraries maintain cost-effective, high-quality services. The Foundation does not help meet the needs of individual libraries or disciplines. Like research libraries, university presses play a crucial role in the dissemination of new knowledge. The Foundation welcomes proposals that promise to benefit university presses generally.

The Foundation does not encourage requests to fund student aid, construction, equipment purchases including computers, education research, basic scientific research, health research, or health education programs. In general, the Foundation discourages requests benefiting individual institutions except as these may explicitly relate to other Foundation objectives.

Education: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
D. J. T. T.				
Research Libraries				
COMMISSION ON PRESERVATION AND ACCESS				
Washington, D.C.				
For general support		#200 000		¢200.000
(Awarded in 1991 for \$450,000)		\$300,000		\$300,000
COUNCIL ON LIBRARY RESOURCES Washington, D.C.				
For general support				
(Awarded in 1991 for \$300,000)		200,000		200,000
RESEARCH LIBRARIES GROUP, INC.				
Mountain View, California				
For general support of research and development for Research Libraries				
Information Network				
(Awarded in 1991 for \$750,000)		500,000		500,000
Research Universities: Internati	ional and			
Area Studies Program				
Challenge was to so down discontinuous for de	C			
Challenge grants to endow discretionary funds and other international studies (matching gran				
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO,				
GRADUATE SCHOOL OF INTERNATIONAL				
RELATIONS/PACIFIC STUDIES La Jolla, California				
(Awarded in 1989 for \$200,000)		60,000	60,000	
DUKE UNIVERSITY, CENTER FOR				
INTERNATIONAL STUDIES				
Durham, North Carolina (Awarded in 1989 for \$200,000)		200,000	181,000	19,000
A REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE CONTROL OF THE CON		200,000	181,000	19,000
MONTEREY INSTITUTE OF INTERNATIONAL STUDIES				
Monterey, California				
(Awarded in 1990 for \$300,000)		300,000		300,000
ROYAL INSTITUTE OF INTERNATIONAL				
AFFAIRS London, United Kingdom				
(Awarded in 1990 for \$300,000)		112,000	112,000	
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA,				
SCHOOL OF INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS				
Los Angeles, California				
(Awarded in 1989 for \$200,000)		130,000	130,000	

Grants newly authorized in 1992 are highlighted by square boxes.

	Education: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
	Challenge grants to increase discretionary fund endowments for area and				
	international studies (matching grants)				
	COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY, SCHOOL OF INTERNATIONAL AND PUBLIC AFFAIRS				
	New York, New York (Awarded in 1988 for \$300,000)		300,000	183,000	117,000
	(Awaraea in 1906 for \$300,000)		300,000	163,000	117,000
	GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY, SCHOOL OF FOREIGN SERVICE				
	Washington, D.C. (Awarded in 1989 for \$300,000)		100,000	100,000	
	HARVARD UNIVERSITY, CENTER FOR INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS				
	Cambridge, Massachusetts	300,000			300,000
	UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, SCHOOL OF GRADUATE STUDIES (RACKHAM GRADUATE SCHOOL)				
	Ann Arbor, Michigan		250 000	25.000	225 004
	(Awarded in 1988 for \$300,000)		250,000	25,000	225,000
	UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME, HELEN KELLOGG INSTITUTE FOR INTERNATIONAL STUDIES				
	South Bend, Indiana (Awarded in 1990 for \$300,000)		300,000	63,000	237,000
	UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, OFFICE OF INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMS				
	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (Awarded in 1991 for \$300,000)		300,000	55,000	245,000
•	UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH, UNIVERSITY CENTER FOR INTERNATIONAL STUDIES				
	Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania	300,000			300,000
	PRINCETON UNIVERSITY				
	Princeton, New Jersey (Awarded in 1991 for \$300,000)		300,000		300,000
	THE STATE OF THE S		300,000		300,000
	ROYAL INSTITUTE OF INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS				
	London, England	300,000			300,000
	UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, SCHOOL OF INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS				
	Los Angeles, California	300,000			300,000
	TUFTS UNIVERSITY, FLETCHER SCHOOL OF LAW AND DIPLOMACY				
	Medford, Massachusetts (Awarded in 1989 for \$300,000)		158,000	37,000	121,000
	UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN, MADISON, INTERNATIONAL STUDIES AND PROGRAMS				
	Madison, Wisconsin (Awarded in 1988 for \$300,000)	(300,000)*	300,000		

^{*}Grant cancelled.

Education: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
U.S./Mexico Studies				
AMERICAS SOCIETY New York, New York				
For the New Issues in United States-Mexico Relations project	30,000		30,000	
BAY AREA INSTITUTE, PACIFIC NEWS SERVICE San Francisco, California				
For general support of the program on U.SMexico relations (Awarded in 1991 for \$225,000)		150,000	75.000	75.000
		150,000	75,000	75,000
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, LATIN AMERICAN CENTER Los Angeles, California				
For the program on U.SMexico relations	525,000	in the same		525,000
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, PROGRAM ON MEXICO Los Angeles, California				
For the program on U.SMexico relations (Awarded in 1990 for \$525,000)		175,000	175,000	
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO, CENTER FOR U.SMEXICAN STUDIES La Jolla, California				
For the program on U.SMexico relations (Awarded in 1990 for \$525,000)		175,000	175,000	
CENTRO DE TECNOLOGIA ELECTRONICA E INFORMATICA Mexico City, Mexico				
For the Mexico-U.S. Technology program (Awarded in 1991 for \$120,000)		80,000	40,000	40,000
UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, CENTER FOR LATIN AMERICAN STUDIES Chicago, Illinois				
For general support of the Mexican Studies Program (Awarded in 1991 for \$300,000)		200,000		200,000
CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK, BILDNER CENTER FOR WESTERN HEMISPHERE STUDIE New York, New York	s			
For the program on U.SMexico relations	450,000		150,000	300,000
COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY, INSTITUTE OF LATIN AMERICAN AND IBERIAN STUDIES New York, New York				
To support programs on U.SMexico relations (Awarded in 1989 for \$300,000)		100,000	100,000	

Education: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
EL COLEGIO DE LA FRONTERA NORTE Chula Vista, California				
For the program on U.SMexico relations (Awarded in 1991 for \$300,000)		200,000	100,000	100,000
EL COLEGIO DE MEXICO Mexico City, Mexico				
For the U.SMexico Program (Awarded in 1989 for \$300,000)		100,000	100,000	
INSTITUTE FOR CONTEMPORARY STUDIES, INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR ECONOMIC GROWTH				
San Francisco, California To support collaborative studies between ICEG and Mexican institutions on the				
Mexican economy (Awarded in 1991 for \$100,000)		50,000		50,000
INTER AMERICAN PRESS ASSOCIATION TECHNICAL CENTER, INC. Miami, Florida				
To plan a series of press seminars on the proposed U.SCanada-Mexico trade agreement	25,000		25,000	
JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, SCHOOL OF ADVANCED INTERNATIONAL STUDIES Washington, D.C.				
For general support of the Program on U.SMexico Relations	300,000		100,000	200,000
UNIVERSITY OF NEW MEXICO, THE SCHOOL OF LAW Albuquerque, New Mexico				
For the International Transboundary Resources Center	300,000		100,000	200,000
NORTH AMERICAN INSTITUTE Santa Fe, New Mexico				
For general support	50,000		50,000	
SAN DIEGO STATE UNIVERSITY, INSTITUTE FOR REGIONAL STUDIES San Diego, California				
For the Program on Public Policy and Border Environmental Issues (Awarded in 1991 for \$100,000)		50,000		50,000
STANFORD UNIVERSITY, INSTITUTE OF INTERNATIONAL STUDIES Stanford, California				
For general support of the Project on U.SMexico Relations				
(Awarded in 1988 for \$450,000)		75,000	75,000	

Education: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS, AUSTIN, L.B.J. SCHOOL OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS Austin, Texas			1 11 11	
For the U.SMexican Studies Program	300,000		100,000	200,000
UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS, EL PASO, CENTER FOR INTER-AMERICAN AND BORDER STUDIES El Paso, Texas				
For general support	25,000		5	25,000
UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, UNIDAD AZCAPOTZALCO Azcapotzalco, Mexico	= =			
For the U.SMexico collaborative research				
program	200,000	===	100,000	100,000
UNIVERSIDAD DE GUADALAJARA, INSTITUTO DE ESTUDIOS ECONOMICOS Y REGIONALES Guadalajara, Mexico				
For the program on U.SMexico relations (Awarded in 1991 for \$200,000)		100,000	100,000	
UNIVERSIDAD DE LAS AMERICAS, PUEBLA Puebla, Mexico		1 2 48		
For the program on U.SMexico studies (Awarded in 1991 for \$300,000)		200,000	100,000	100,00
Liberal Arts Colleges: Self-Renewe	al Program	m		
To help endow presidential discretionary	0			
funds at liberal arts colleges (matching grants) ALLEGHENY COLLEGE				
Meadville, Pennsylvania				
				150 000
(Awarded in 1990 for \$250,000)		177,000	27,000	150,000
(Awarded in 1990 for \$250,000) BATES COLLEGE		177,000	27,000	150,000
		177,000	27,000	150,000
BATES COLLEGE		177,000 250,000	27,000 115,000	
BATES COLLEGE Lewiston, Maine				
BATES COLLEGE Lewiston, Maine (Awarded in 1991 for \$250,000) BENNINGTON COLLEGE				
BATES COLLEGE Lewiston, Maine (Awarded in 1991 for \$250,000)				135,000
BATES COLLEGE Lewiston, Maine (Awarded in 1991 for \$250,000) BENNINGTON COLLEGE Bennington, Vermont (Awarded in 1991 for \$250,000)		250,000	115,000	135,000
BATES COLLEGE Lewiston, Maine (Awarded in 1991 for \$250,000) BENNINGTON COLLEGE Bennington, Vermont	250,000	250,000	115,000	135,000 78,000
BATES COLLEGE Lewiston, Maine (Awarded in 1991 for \$250,000) BENNINGTON COLLEGE Bennington, Vermont (Awarded in 1991 for \$250,000) BIRMINGHAM-SOUTHERN COLLEGE	250,000	250,000	115,000	135,000 78,000
BATES COLLEGE Lewiston, Maine (Awarded in 1991 for \$250,000) BENNINGTON COLLEGE Bennington, Vermont (Awarded in 1991 for \$250,000) BIRMINGHAM-SOUTHERN COLLEGE Birmingham, Alabama	250,000	250,000 149,000	115,000 71,000	135,000 78,000
BATES COLLEGE Lewiston, Maine (Awarded in 1991 for \$250,000) BENNINGTON COLLEGE Bennington, Vermont (Awarded in 1991 for \$250,000) BIRMINGHAM-SOUTHERN COLLEGE Birmingham, Alabama BOWDOIN COLLEGE	250,000	250,000	115,000	135,000 78,000 250,000
BATES COLLEGE Lewiston, Maine (Awarded in 1991 for \$250,000) BENNINGTON COLLEGE Bennington, Vermont (Awarded in 1991 for \$250,000) BIRMINGHAM-SOUTHERN COLLEGE Birmingham, Alabama BOWDOIN COLLEGE Brunswick, Maine	250,000	250,000 149,000	115,000 71,000	135,000 78,000 250,000
Lewiston, Maine (Awarded in 1991 for \$250,000) BENNINGTON COLLEGE Bennington, Vermont (Awarded in 1991 for \$250,000) BIRMINGHAM-SOUTHERN COLLEGE Birmingham, Alabama BOWDOIN COLLEGE Brunswick, Maine (Awarded in 1991 for \$250,000)	250,000	250,000 149,000	115,000 71,000	150,000 135,000 78,000 250,000 180,000

CI	y Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
	ENTRE COLLEGE anville, Kentucky	250,000			250,000
	AREMONT MCKENNA COLLEGE aremont, California	250,000			250,000
	OLLEGE OF WOOSTER				
	warded in 1990 for \$250,000)		111,000	53,000	58,00
Co	olorado Springs, Colorado warded in 1990 for \$250,000)		162,000		162,00
Gr	enison university anville, Ohio warded in 1990 for \$250,000)		204,000	204,000	
DI	PAUW UNIVERSITY reencastle, Indiana	250,000	* N. 100 m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m		250,000
Ca	CKINSON COLLEGE arlisle, Pennsylvania warded in 1990 for \$250,000)		33,000	33,000	
Sa	ISTAVUS ADOLPHUS COLLEGE int Peter, Minnesota warded in 1991 for \$250,000)		225,000	35,000	190,000
An	MPSHIRE COLLEGE nherst, Massachusetts warded in 1989 for \$250,000)		113,000		113,000
Cla	ARVEY MUDD COLLEGE aremont, California warded in 1989 for \$250,000)		67,000	67,000	
Ha	averford College averford, Pennsylvania warded in 1990 for \$250,000)		250,000	250,000	
Ge	DBART AND WILLIAM SMITH COLLEGES eneva, New York warded in 1991 for \$250,000)		218,000	121,000	97,000
Ga	myon college mbier, Ohio warded in 1990 for \$250,000)		183,000	86,000	97,000
	FAYETTE COLLEGE ston, Pennsylvania	250,000		76,000	174,000
	KE FOREST COLLEGE ke Forest, Illinois warded in 1990 for \$250,000)		250,000	161,000	89,000

Education: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
LEHIGH UNIVERSITY Bethlehem, Pennsylvania (Awarded in 1989 for \$250,000)		87,000	87,000	
MACALESTER COLLEGE Saint Paul, Minnesota (Awarded in 1989 for \$250,000)		96,000	96,000	
MOUNT HOLYOKE COLLEGE South Hadley, Massachusetts (Awarded in 1989 for \$250,000)		215,000	215,000	
OHIO WESLEYAN UNIVERSITY Delaware, Ohio (Awarded in 1991 for \$250,000)		250,000	50,000	200,000
Portland, Oregon (Awarded in 1989 for \$250,000)		162,000	162,000	
ST. OLAF COLLEGE Northfield, Minnesota (Awarded in 1990 for \$250,000)		184,000	152,000	32,000
SARAH LAWRENCE COLLEGE Bronxville, New York (Awarded in 1991 for \$250,000)		250,000	97,000	153,000
SKIDMORE COLLEGE Saratoga Springs, New York (Awarded in 1989 for \$250,000)		179,000	68,000	111,000
SMITH COLLEGE Northampton, Massachusetts	250,000			250,000
UNION COLLEGE Schenectady, New York	250,000			250,000
 UNIVERSITY OF THE SOUTH Sewanee, Tennessee 	250,000			250,000
WASHINGTON AND JEFFERSON COLLEGE Washington, Pennsylvania (Awarded in 1991 for \$250,000)		250,000	178,000	72,000
To support multidisciplinary international policy studies				
BRYN MAWR COLLEGE Bryn Mawr, Pennsylvania For the tri-college program at Bryn Mawr, Haverford, and Swarthmore Colleges	300,000		150,000	150,000
CARLETON COLLEGE Northfield, Minnesota (Awarded in 1990 for \$75,000)		37,000	37,000	

Education: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
COLBY COLLEGE				
Waterville, Maine				
(Awarded in 1990 for \$75,000)		37,000	37,000	
CONNECTICUT COLLEGE				
New London, Connecticut				
(Awarded in 1990 for \$75,000)		37,000	37,000	
TARINA MARKET				
EARLHAM COLLEGE				
Richmond, Indiana		37,000	37,000	
(Awarded in 1989 for \$75,000)		37,000	37,000	
FIVE COLLEGES, INC., FIVE COLLEGE PROGRAM IN PEACE AND WORLD SECURITY STUDIES				
Amherst, Massachusetts	300,000		150,000	150,000
GRINNELL COLLEGE				
Grinnell, Iowa				
(Awarded in 1989 for \$75,000)		37,000	37,000	
HAVERFORD COLLEGE				
Haverford, Pennsylvania				
(Awarded in 1990 for \$75,000)		37,000	37,000	
MIDDLEBURY COLLEGE				
Middlebury, Vermont				
(Awarded in 1989 for \$75,000)		37,000	37,000	
POMONA COLLEGE				
Claremont, California				
For collaborative studies at Pomona				
and Scripps Colleges	225,000			225,000
WESLEYAN UNIVERSITY				
Middletown, Connecticut				
(Awarded in 1990 for \$75,000)		37,000		37,000
WILLIAMS COLLEGE				
Williamstown, Massachusetts				
(Awarded in 1990 for \$75,000)				

Education: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
Private Black Colleges		Market.		
BUSH FOUNDATION Saint Paul, Minnesota				
For the Program of Faculty Development Grants for private black colleges and universities (Dillard University, Fisk University Florida Memorial College, Hampton University Johnson C. Smith University, Morehouse Colleg Morris College, Rust College, St. Augustine's Co Spelman College, Talladega College, Tougaloo College, and Xavier University)	e,		415,000	
Minority Achievement				
ACHIEVEMENT COUNCIL Oakland, California				
For general support (Awarded in 1990 for \$525,000)		175,000	175,000	
 ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF ANTHROPOLOGY Tempe, Arizona 				
For general support of the Indian Heritage Project	25,000			25,000
CLAREMONT UNIVERSITY CENTER AND GRADUATE SCHOOL Claremont, California				
For the Hispanic Teacher Mentoring Project (Awarded in 1991 for \$250,000)		160,000	80,000	80,000
HERITAGE COLLEGE Toppenish, Washington				
To support programs to improve the educational achievement of	50.000		50,000	
Native American students UNIVERSITY OF NEW MEXICO	50,000		50,000	
Albuquerque, New Mexico				
To support planning to increase enrollment and retention of Native American students	25,000			25,000
TOMAS RIVERA CENTER Claremont, California				
For general support	300,000		100,000	200,000
WOODROW WILSON NATIONAL FELLOWSHIP FOUNDATION Princeton, New Jersey				
For general support of the Program in Public Policy and International Affairs (Awarded in 1991 for \$150,000)		75,000		75,000

Education: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
International Education				
ALLIANCE FOR EDUCATION IN GLOBAL AND INTERNATIONAL STUDIES Stanford, California				
To support program planning	25,000		25,000	
ASPEN INSTITUTE FOR HUMANISTIC STUDIES Washington, D.C.				
For general support of the Inter-American Dialogue	100,000	* I		100,000
COUNCIL ON FOREIGN RELATIONS New York, New York				
For the Studies Program for U.S. foreign policy (Awarded in 1990 for \$300,000)	b b	100,000	100,000	
STANFORD UNIVERSITY, INSTITUTE OF INTERNATIONAL STUDIES Stanford, California	(E			
For general support of the California International Studies Project (Awarded in 1990 for \$350,000)		175,000	175,000	
WORLD AFFAIRS COUNCIL OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA San Francisco, California				
For general support (Awarded in 1991 for \$300,000)		200,000	100,000	100,000
WORLD FORUM OF SILICON VALLEY San Jose, California				
For general support (Awarded in 1990 for \$150,000)		50,000	50,000	-741.1
WORLD WITHOUT WAR COUNCIL Berkeley, California				
To support leadership and program development efforts	25,000		25,000	
Public Education/General		-		
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, POLICY ANALYSIS FOR CALIFORNIA EDUCATION				
Berkeley, California				
For general support (Awarded in 1991 for \$600,000)		350,000	200,000	150,000
RAVENSWOOD CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT East Palo Alto, California				- 1
For the library improvement program (Awarded in 1991 for \$200,000)		100,000		100,000

Education: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
University-School Partnersh	nips			
MILLS COLLEGE				
Oakland, California				
For the Mills College–John Swett Demonstration School Partnership				
(Awarded in 1990 for \$50,000)		25,000	25,000	
STANFORD UNIVERSITY, SCHOOL OF EDUCATION				
Stanford, California				
For general support of the Stanford/ Schools Collaborative				
(Awarded in 1990 for \$300,000)		200,000	100,000	100,000
TRINITY UNIVERSITY San Antonio, Texas				
For general support of the Alliance for Better Schools	300,000			300,000
Other				
AMERICAN COUNCIL ON EDUCATION				
Washington, D.C. For general support				
(Awarded in 1990 for \$300,000)		200,000	100,000	100,000
INDEPENDENT COLLEGES OF NORTHERN	1			
San Francisco, California				
For general support (matching grant)				
(Awarded in 1990 for \$200,000)	destinate of	50,000	50,000	
JOHNSON FOUNDATION				
Racine, Wisconsin				
For the Wingspread initiative on higher education	50,000		50,000	
RESEARCH CORPORATION				
Tucson, Arizona				
To support faculty research in the	450.000		150,000	200.00
natural sciences at liberal arts colleges	450,000		150,000	300,000
SOUTHERN AFRICAN FREEDOM THROUGH EDUCATION FOUNDATION Berkeley, California				
For general support (Awarded in 1990 for \$75,000)		25,000	25,000	
TOTAL EDUCATION	\$7,695,000*	\$12,548,000	\$7,909,000	\$12,334,000

^{*1992} authorizations (\$7,995,000) minus cancellations (\$300,000).

Environment

he primary goal of the environment program is to encourage the development of sound environmental policy at the state, regional, and national levels. To this end, the Foundation supports organizations that produce policy-oriented studies or that disseminate information on a broad range of environmental issues of concern to U.S. policymakers. Foundation support is directed to organizations working on issues of domestic importance or problems of international significance if there is a U.S. policy concern. Successful candidates for support generally present the following characteristics: (1) a reputation for objectivity, (2) an interdisciplinary staff, (3) the capacity to work on a range of issues of national or regional significance, (4) a variety of widely read publications, and (5) a strong record of influence with decisionmakers and impacts on policy. The Foundation emphasizes general support grants and does not typically support specific research or demonstration projects. Applications for support to environmental policy organizations should be submitted by April 1 for disposition by July.

Program Description

Beyond the Foundation's primary interest in environmental policy, three additional elements round out the environment program. First, the Foundation makes a limited number of grants to selective university and college environmental studies programs at the graduate and undergraduate levels and to university consortiums focused on specific problem areas. Proposals are solicited by invitation only, and this program will sunset in 1994.

Second, in 1993, the Foundation has allocated a limited pool of funds for exploratory grants to organizations working on the integration of economic development and environmental protection through demonstration projects and policy research. Applications in this area are by invitation only.

Third, in rare cases, the Foundation will consider support of efforts on a national scale to acquire or preserve unique, ecologically significant land.

Finally, we would note that a former funding category of the environmental program was support to convening and facilitating organizations working to improve the processes of environmental decisionmaking. This interest has been transferred to the Foundation's conflict resolution program and its scope enlarged to address public policy issues in addition to the environment.

In order to avoid unprofitable effort on the part of applicants, we call attention to the fact that the Foundation does not support proposals in the following areas: advocacy; basic research; capital construction; community organizing; conferences, symposia, or workshops; environmental education (K-12 or adult); litigation; museum facilities, exhibits, or programs; media projects; or public information campaigns. Similarly, the Foundation does not make awards to individuals, organizations outside the United States, or local land trusts.

0	nvironment: rganizations by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
F	Policy Analysis				
	LLIANCE TO SAVE ENERGY Vashington, D.C.				
Fe	or general support	\$100,000		\$60,000	\$40,000
W	MERICAN FARMLAND TRUST /ashington, D.C.				
po	or general support of environmental olicy work Awarded in 1990 for \$150,000)		40,000	40,000	
	enter for policy alternatives 'ashington, D.C.			141	4
	or general support of the environmental rogram	90,000		40,000	50,000
	ENTER FOR RESOURCE ECONOMICS Vashington, D.C.				
Fo	or general support of Island Press	100,000		60,000	40,000
IN	NVIRONMENTAL AND ENERGY STUDY USTITUTE Vashington, D.C.				
	or general support	150,000		70,000	80,000
	NVIRONMENTAL LAW INSTITUTE	- 4			
Fo	or general support	150,000		50,000	100,000
N	NFORM ew York, New York				
	or general support Awarded in 1990 for \$180,000)		60,000	60,000	
DI AN	ACIFIC INSTITUTE FOR STUDIES IN EVELOPMENT, ENVIRONMENT, ND SECURITY erkeley, California				
	or general support	100,000		50,000	50,000
	ENEW AMERICA ashington, D.C.				
Fo	or general support Awarded in 1991 for \$120,000)		60,000	40,000	20,000
	esources for the future fashington, D.C.		TALE		4
Fo	or general support (matching grant)	250,000			250,000

[■] Grants newly authorized in 1992 are highlighted by square boxes.

Environment: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
ROCKY MOUNTAIN INSTITUTE Old Snowmass, Colorado				
For general support (Awarded in 1990 for \$200,000)		50,000	50,000	
WOODS HOLE RESEARCH CENTER Woods Hole, Massachusetts				
For general support (Awarded in 1990 for \$150,000)		50,000	50,000	
WORLD RESOURCES INSTITUTE Washington, D.C.				
For general support	300,000		100,000	200,000
Decisionmaking Processes				
ECONOMIC RECOVERY COALITION Sacramento, California				
To support continuing negotiations on California growth management	40,000			40,000
CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL TRUST San Francisco, California				
For general support	130,000			130,000
CENTER FOR CLEAN AIR POLICY Washington, D.C.				
For general support (Awarded in 1991 for \$150,000)		80,000	60,000	20,000
CENTER FOR RESOURCE MANAGEMENT Denver, Colorado				
For general support	130,000			130,000
CLEAN SITES, INC. Alexandria, Virginia				
For general support (Awarded in 1991 for \$225,000)		100,000	100,000	
COALITION TO RESTORE COASTAL LOUISIANA Baton Rouge, Louisiana				
For general support	100,000		50,000	50,000
COMMITTEE FOR WATER POLICY CONSENSUS Concord, California				
For general support of the State Water Conservation Coalition	100,000		70,000	30,000
COMMUNITY ENVIRONMENT COUNCIL Santa Barbara, California				
For general support of activities to improve environmental decisionmaking processes (Awarded in 1991 for \$90,000)		50,000	25,000	25,000

Environment: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
ENVIRONMENTAL DEFENSE FUND				
New York, New York				
For general support of the Alternative Decisionmaking Program (Awarded in 1991 for \$225,000)		150,000	75,000	75,00
GLACIER INSTITUTE Kalispell, Montana				
To explore the feasibility of establishing a permanent local institution for ecosystem management planning and conflict resolution	20,000		20,000	
GREENBELT ALLIANCE San Francisco, California				
For general support of activities to improve regional environmental decisionmaking process (Awarded in 1991 for \$100,000)	es	50,000	50,000	
NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR CHEMICAL STUDI Charleston, West Virginia	ES			
For general support (Awarded in 1990 for \$200,000)		50,000	50,000	
NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL New York, New York				
For general support of negotiation and alternative dispute resolution activities (Awarded in 1991 for \$150,000)		75,000	75,000	
NORTHERN LIGHTS RESEARCH AND EDUCATION INSTITUTE Missoula, Montana				
For general support (Awarded in 1990 for \$120,000)		20,000	20,000	
NORTHWEST RENEWABLE RESOURCES CENTE Seattle, Washington	ER			
For general support (matching grant) (Awarded in 1989 for \$160,000)		20,000	20,000	
ONE THOUSAND FRIENDS OF OREGON Portland, Oregon				
For general support (warded in 1991 for \$250,000)		150,000	150,000	
UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, WHARTON SCHOOL	100			
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania				
For general support of the Risk and Decision Processes Center (Awarded in 1991 for \$150,000)		90,000	50,000	40,000

Environment: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
			N 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
PROJECT 2000, COALITION FOR UTAH'S FUTURE Salt Lake City, Utah				
For general support of activities to improve environmental decisionmaking processes (Awarded in 1991 for \$150,000)		60,000	60,000	
SAN FRANCISCO BAY-DELTA AQUATIC HABITAT INSTITUTE Richmond, California				
For general support (Awarded in 1990 for \$200,000)		60,000	60,000	
TIDES FOUNDATION, FOREST TRUST San Francisco, California				
For the National Forest Planning and Policy Program	80,000			80,000
VERMONT LAW SCHOOL, ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CENTER South Royalton, Vermont	L			
For general support of the National Commission on Superfund	200,000			200,000
UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA, INSTITUTE FOR ENVIRONMENTAL NEGOTIATION Charlottesville, Virginia				
For general support	20,000		20,000	
WATER EDUCATION FOUNDATION Sacramento, California				
To support the Three-Way Process and for general support of educational activities	200,000		175,000	25,000
western governors' association Denver, Colorado				
For general support of environmental work	80,000			80,000
Environmental Education				
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY Berkeley, California				
For general support of the Energy and Resources Group and to help endow a				
discretionary trust fund (matching grant) (Awarded in 1990 for \$100,000)		50,000		50,000
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS Davis, California			100 mg / 100	
To help endow a discretionary trust fund for the Public Service Research and				
Dissemination Program (matching grant) (Awarded in 1991 for \$250,000)		250,000		250,000

Environmer Organizatio (by Categor	ns	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
Los Angeles	Y OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES				
	ow a discretionary trust fund				
for the Envi	ronmental Science / Engineering				
Program (n	natching grant)	250,000			250,00
DUKE UNIV					
	orth Carolina				
	support of the School of I Environmental Studies				
	1 1991 for \$150,000)		75,000	75,000	
UNIVERSIT Ann Arbor,	Y OF MICHIGAN Michigan				
To help ende	ow a discretionary trust fund for				
the School o	f Natural Resources				
(matching g (Awarded in	rant) a 1990 for \$250,000)		150,000	25,000	125,00
ORGANIZAT	TION FOR TROPICAL STUDIES				
	orth Carolina				
	sionmakers Program 1 1990 for \$240,000)	_	160,000	80,000	80,00
PRINCETON Princeton, N	UNIVERSITY				
	ow a discretionary trust fund				
for the Cent	er for Energy and Environmental				
	tching grant)		250 000		250.00
(Awarded in	1 1991 for \$250,000)		250,000		250,00
UNIVERSIT Seattle, Was	Y OF WASHINGTON				
	ow a discretionary trust fund for the				
Institute for	Marine Studies and the Institute for				
	ntal Studies (matching grant)		250,000		250,00
(Awaraea ii	1 1990 for \$250,000)		230,000		250,000
YALE UNIVI					
	Connecticut				
	support of the School of I Environmental Studies				
	1991 for \$150,000)		75,000	75,000	
			3E.		
Land A	equisition and Preservat	tion			
AMERICAN					
Washington					
	s designed to protect significant rivers				
	1990 for \$100,000)		30,000	30,000	

Environment: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorize 1992	Unpaid d Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
LAND TRUST ALLIANCE Washington, D.C.				
For general support (Awarded in 1990 for \$150,000)		40,000	40,000	
NATIONAL FISH AND WILDLIFE FOUNDATION Washington, D.C.	-			
For general support (Awarded in 1991 for \$100,000)		60,000	30,000	30,000
OREGON RIVERS COUNCIL Eugene, Oregon				
For activities designed to protect ecologically significant rivers (Awarded in 1990 for \$90,000)		30,000	30,000	
Other	11 =			
ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESEARCH				
Rochester, New York				
For general support	20,000	100		20,000
INTERNATIONAL SOCIETY FOR ECOLOGICAL ECONOMICS Solomons, Maryland	<i>2</i> ′ II			
For general support	20,000			20,000
JOINT APPEAL BY RELIGION AND SCIENCE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT New York, New York				
For general support	20,000		20,000	
LINDSAY MUSEUM Walnut Creek, California				
For the capital campaign	40,000		40,000	
STANFORD UNIVERSITY Stanford, California				"
For general support of the Center for Conservation Biology	250,000	1 2 1		250,000
TUFTS UNIVERSITY Medford, Massachusetts				
For the Program for the Study of Sustainable Change and Development (Awarded in 1991 for \$35,000)		35,000	35,000	
TOTAL ENVIRONMENT	\$2,940,000	\$2,670,000	\$2,280,000	\$3,330,000

Performing Arts

he Hewlett Foundation's performing arts program makes grants to classical music ensembles, professional theatre and opera companies, and ballet and modern dance organizations for artistic, managerial, and institutional development. The Foundation supports presenting organizations, arts councils that serve Bay Area communities, and service organizations that assist arts organizations in all disciplines. It also makes grants to groups providing a variety of services to Bay Area nonprofit film and video organizations.

Artist training programs and efforts to increase career opportunities for artists continue to be of interest to the Foundation. It will also consider proposals designed to increase the effectiveness of the field as a whole.

In keeping with the need for long-term, flexible support, the Foundation will recommend, whenever appropriate, that there be a matching requirement. The Foundation often recommends that a portion of the matching funds be applied to endowments or cash reserves rather than to current operating expenses to help ensure the long-term financial stability of its grantees.

The Foundation gives preference to independent nonprofit Bay Area organizations with an established record of artistic and administrative achievement, audience support and general audience appeal, and a realistic plan for artistic and organizational development.

The Foundation groups performing arts recommendations by discipline for presentation to its Board of Directors. This allows the Foundation to become familiar with the characteristics and needs in each field. It also assists in planning and in the consistent application

Program Description of criteria. While the Foundation does not expect to be able to adhere rigidly to the following schedule, it will make every effort to do so.

	Application Submitted by:	Application Reviewed in:
Music	January 1	April
Theatre	April 1	July
Dance	July 1	October
Film/Video Service	13310551 * 1 E01	
Organizations	July 1	October

Presenting organizations, arts councils, and multidisciplinary service organizations should contact the Foundation to determine the appropriate deadline.

The Foundation regrets that it cannot consider requests in the following areas: the visual or literary arts; radio, television documentaries or other films and videos; the humanities; elementary and secondary school programs; college or university proposals; community art classes; folk arts, including crafts and popular music; recreational, therapeutic, and social service arts programs; and individuals. The Hewlett Foundation does not support one-time events, such as seminars, conferences, festivals, or cultural foreign exchange programs, and does not provide assistance with touring costs for performing companies.

C	erforming Arts: Organizations by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
7	Music				
	MERICAN BACH SOLOISTS				
	selvedere, California				
F	For general support	\$20,000		\$20,000	
	ay area women's philharmonic an Francisco, California				
F	or general support	105,000		45,000	60,000
	ERKELEY SYMPHONY ORCHESTRA erkeley, California				
F. (.	or general support Awarded in 1990 for \$150,000)		50,000	50,000	
	ABRILLO MUSIC FESTIVAL ptos, California				
	or general support (matching grant) Awarded in 1991 for \$105,000)		70,000	35,000	35,000
	NIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY erkeley, California				
Λ	or general support of the Young Ausicians Program (matching grant) Awarded in 1990 for \$100,000)		70,000	20,000	50,000
	armel bach festival armel-by-the-Sea, California				
	or general support (matching grant) Awarded in 1991 for \$100,000)		65,000	35,000	30,000
	HAMBER MUSIC AMERICA lew York, New York				
	or training seminars and the Commissioning howcase concert in San Francisco	20,000		20,000	
	ew York, New York				
F	or general support	10,000		10,000	
	UMANITIES WEST an Francisco, California				
F	or general support	60,000		20,000	40,000
	RONOS PERFORMING ARTS ASSOCIATION an Francisco, California				
F	or general support	120,000		40,000	80,000
	IIDSUMMER MOZART FESTIVAL an Francisco, California				
F	or general support	60,000		30,000	30,000

Grants newly authorized in 1992 are highlighted by square boxes.

	Performing Arts: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
	MUSICAL TRADITIONS, PAUL DRESHER ENSEMBLE				
	San Francisco, California	No.			
	For general support	90,000		30,000	60,000
	OAKLAND EAST BAY SYMPHONY Oakland, California				
	For general support	60,000		30,000	30,000
•	PATAPHYSICAL BROADCASTING FOUNDATION, KUSP Santa Cruz, California	11	1,7		*
	For broadcasts of the Cabrillo Music Festival	4,500		4,500	
	PHILHARMONIA BAROQUE ORCHESTRA San Francisco, California	-			
	For general support (matching grant) (Awarded in 1990 for \$150,000)		50,000	50,000	
	PHILHARMONIC SOCIETY OF FREMONT-NEWARK Fremont, California				
	For general support	50,000		25,000	25,000
10	ROVA SAXOPHONE QUARTET San Francisco, California				
	For general support	10,000		10,000	
	SAN FRANCISCO CHAMBER SYMPHONY San Francisco, California				
	For general support	25,000		25,000	
	SAN FRANCISCO CHANTICLEER San Francisco, California				
	For general support (Awarded in 1990 for \$120,000)		40,000	40,000	
	SAN FRANCISCO CONTEMPORARY MUSIC PLAYERS San Francisco, California				
	For general support (matching grant)				
	(Awarded in 1991 for \$105,000)		75,000	43,000	32,000
	SAN FRANCISCO EARLY MUSIC SOCIETY Berkeley, California				
	For general support	10,000		10,000	
	SAN FRANCISCO GIRLS CHORUS, INC. San Francisco, California		1000		
	For general support of the professional training programs	50,000		25,000	25,000
•	SAN FRANCISCO SYMPHONY San Francisco, California				
	For youth education programs (matching grant)	450,000		75,000	375,000

Performing Arts: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
SAN JOSE SYMPHONY ORCHESTRA San Jose, California				
For general support (Awarded in 1991 for \$375,000)		225,000	125,000	100,000
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY SYMPHONY ASSOCIATION				
Santa Cruz, California For general support (Awarded in 1991 for \$45,000)		30,000	15,000	15,000
SANTA FE CHAMBER MUSIC FESTIVAL Santa Fe, New Mexico		-		
For general support to be matched for endowment (matching grant) (Awarded in 1989 for \$180,000)		65,000	20,000	45,000
Theatre and Opera				
AMERICAN CONSERVATORY THEATER San Francisco, California				
For the Advanced Artist Training Program (matching grant) (Awarded in 1991 for \$140,000)		70,000	70,000	
BERKELEY REPERTORY THEATRE Berkeley, California				
For general support (matching grant) (Awarded in 1991 for \$200,000)	4.45	100,000		100,000
CALIFORNIA SHAKESPEARE FESTIVAL Berkeley, California				
For general support	100,000		50,000	50,000
EL TEATRO CAMPESINO San Juan Bautista, California				
For general support	50,000	To the se	25,000	25,000
EUREKA THEATRE COMPANY San Francisco, California				
For general support	10,000		10,000	
FEEDBACK PRODUCTIONS, INC. San Francisco, California				
For general support of Tale Spinners Theater	5,000		5,000	
GEORGE COATES PERFORMANCE WORKS San Francisco, California				
For general support	110,000		55,000	55,000
LIFE ON THE WATER San Francisco, California				
For general support	90,000		55,000	35,000

	Performing Arts: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
_	MAGIC THEATRE				
-	San Francisco, California				
	For general support	45,000		45,000	
•	OAKLAND ENSEMBLE THEATRE Oakland, California	- 77			
	For general support	60,000		30,000	30,000
	OPERA SAN JOSE San Jose, California				
	For general support with a particular				
	emphasis on the Resident Artists Program (Awarded in 1990 for \$150,000)	Jack	50,000	50,000	
	OREGON SHAKESPEAREAN FESTIVAL ASSOCIATION				
	Ashland, Oregon For general support	165,000		55,000	110,000
	PENINSULA CIVIC LIGHT OPERA San Mateo, California	AND EAST OF ORCH ASSAULT	F1 1		
	For general support	40,000		20,000	20,000
	PERSONA GRATA PRODUCTIONS, INC. San Francisco, California				
	For general support	5,000		5,000	
	PICKLE FAMILY CIRCUS San Francisco, California				
	For general support (Awarded in 1991 for \$90,000)		45,000	45,000	
	PLAYWRIGHTS FOUNDATION San Francisco, California				
	For general support	10,000		10,000	
	POCKET OPERA COMPANY, INC. San Francisco, California				
	For general support (Awarded in 1990 for \$120,000)		40,000	40,000	
	SAN FRANCISCO OPERA ASSOCIATION San Francisco, California				
	For general support of the Opera Center (matching grant)				
	(Awarded in 1990 for \$400,000)		50,000	50,000	
	SAN JOSE CIVIC LIGHT OPERA San Jose, California				
	For general support (Awarded in 1990 for \$30,000)		10,000	10,000	

	Performing Arts: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
		1			
	San Jose, California				
	For general support (matching grant) (Awarded in 1991 for \$50,000)		25,000	25,000	
	For general support	50,000		50,000	
-	SEW PRODUCTIONS/LORRAINE HANSBERRY THEATRE	2	-, -		
	San Francisco, California For general support	25,000		25,000	
	SHAKESPEARE-SAN FRANCISCO	20,000		20,000	
	San Francisco, California				
	For the Free Shakespeare in the Park program (Awarded in 1990 for \$120,000)		40,000	40,000	
	THEATER ARTAUD San Francisco, California				
	For general support (Awarded in 1991 for \$120,000)		80,000	40,000	40,000
	THEATRE BAY AREA San Francisco, California				
	For general support (Awarded in 1991 for \$40,000)		20,000	20,000	
-	THEATREWORKS Palo Alto, California				
	For general support	105,000		50,000	55,000
	UPSTART STAGE				
	Berkeley, California For general support	5,000		5,000	
	WEST BAY OPERA ASSOCIATION, INC.		-		
	Palo Alto, California For general support	60,000		30,000	30,000
		00,000	*	30,000	20,000
	Dance				
-	BLACK CHOREOGRAPHERS MOVING TOWARD THE TWENTY-FIRST CENTURY Berkeley, California				
	To support the distribution of "BCM: A National Dialogue"	4,500		4,500	
	CIRCUIT NETWORK San Francisco, California			Ph.	
	For general support	40,000		20,000	20,000
	DANCE BAY AREA San Francisco, California				
	For general support (matching grant) (Awarded in 1990 for \$135,000)	nu.	40,000	15,000	25,000

	Performing Arts: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
			1 1		
	DANCE THROUGH TIME				
	San Francisco, California	50,000		25.000	25.000
	For general support	50,000		25,000	25,000
	DANCE/USA				
	Washington, D.C. For general support	30,000		30,000	
		30,000		20,000	
	DANCERS' GROUP/FOOTWORK STUDIO San Francisco, California				
	For general support				
	(Awarded in 1990 for \$60,000)		20,000	20,000	
	DIMENSIONS DANCE THEATER Oakland, California				
	For general support (Awarded in 1990 for \$45,000)		15,000	15,000	
•	ELLEN WEBB DANCE FOUNDATION Oakland, California				
	For general support of the Talking Dance project	6,000		6,000	
1	FIRST IMPRESSIONS PERFORMANCES Los Angeles, California				
	For the San Francisco performances of "Black Choreographers Moving toward the 21st Century III"	25,000		25,000	
	FRIENDS OF OLYMPIA STATION, INC., TANDY BEAL & COMPANY Santa Cruz, California				D = 1
	For general support (Awarded in 1991 for \$40,000)		20,000	20,000	
	JOE GOODE PERFORMANCE GROUP San Francisco, California				
	For general support (Awarded in 1991 for \$40,000)	T.	20,000	20,000	- 1
	LINES DANCE COMPANY San Francisco, California				
	For general support (Awarded in 1991 for \$75,000)		15,000	15,000	
	MARGARET JENKINS DANCE COMPANY San Francisco, California				
	For general support	80,000		40,000	40,000
	OAKLAND BALLET Oakland, California				
	For general support (matching grant) (Awarded in 1991 for \$200,000)		100,000	50,000	50,000
	OBERLIN DANCE COLLECTIVE San Francisco, California				
	For general support	120,000		40,000	80,000

	Performing Arts: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
•	PENINSULA BALLET THEATRE San Mateo, California				
	For general support	40,000		20,000	20,000
	SAN FRANCISCO BALLET ASSOCIATION San Francisco, California				
	For general support of the Ballet School (matching grant)	300,000		150,000	150,000
	SAN FRANCISCO MOVING COMPANY, DELLA DAVIDSON DANCE COMPANY San Francisco, California				
	For general support (Awarded in 1990 for \$60,000)		20,000	20,000	
	SAN JOSE CLEVELAND BALLET San Jose, California				
	For general support (matching grant) (Awarded in 1991 for \$175,000)		25,000	25,000	
•	For general support (matching grant)	75,000		25,000	50,000
	SCHOOL OF AMERICAN BALLET, INC. New York, New York For general support (matching grant) (Awarded in 1991 for \$100,000)		25,000	25,000	
	ZOHCO Palo Alto, California For general support (Awarded in 1990 for \$90,000)		30,000		30,000
	Film and Video				
•	BAY AREA VIDEO COALITION San Francisco, California				
	For general support	50,000		25,000	25,000
	BLACK FILMMAKERS HALL OF FAME Oakland, California				
	For general support of master classes and workshops	10,000		10,000	
	FILM ARTS FOUNDATION San Francisco, California				
	For general support (Awarded in 1990 for \$90,000)		30,000	30,000	
	FOUNDATION FOR ART IN CINEMA/ SAN FRANCISCO CINEMATHEQUE San Francisco, California				
	For general support	60,000		20,000	40,000

_	Performing Arts: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
	Supporting Services				
-	AMERICAN MUSIC THEATER FESTIVAL Philadelphia, Pennsylvania	15.000			
	For general support	15,000		15,000	
	ARTS COUNCIL OF SANTA CLARA COUNTY San Jose, California				
	For general support (Awarded in 1991 for \$100,000)		50,000	50,000	
	BROOKLYN ACADEMY OF MUSIC Brooklyn, New York				
	For general support of the Next Wave Festival (Awarded in 1991 for \$180,000)		120,000	60,000	60,000
	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, CAL PERFORMANCES Berkeley, California				
	For general support (Awarded in 1991 for \$200,000)		100,000	100,000	
•	For Dance Theatre of Harlem's SchoolTime series	10,000		10,000	
	CALIFORNIA CONFEDERATION OF THE ARTS Sacramento, California				
	For general support (Awarded in 1990 for \$75,000)		25,000	25,000	
	CALIFORNIA LAWYERS FOR THE ARTS San Francisco, California				
	For general support of the San Francisco office	60,000		20,000	40,000
	CITY CELEBRATION San Francisco, California				
	For general support (Awarded in 1990 for \$120,000)		40,000	40,000	
200	COMMUNITY FOUNDATION OF SANTA CLARA COUNTY San Jose, California				
	For the Silicon Valley Arts Fund and the Partners Regranting Program (matching grant)	575,000		75,000	500,000
	CULTURAL COUNCIL OF SANTA CRUZ COUNTY Aptos, California				
	For general support (Awarded in 1991 for \$100,000)		50,000	50,000	
	80 LANGTON STREET/ NEW LANGTON ARTS San Francisco California				
	San Francisco, California For general support	10,000		10,000	

	1992	1/1/92	Made 1992	Grants 12/31/92
HILL—DE ANZA COLLEGES IDATION Itos Hills, California				
eneral support of the val of the Arts	30,000		15,000	15,000
DLANDS ART CENTER lito, California				
eneral support of the music series	10,000		10,000	
RSECTION FOR THE ARTS rancisco, California				
eneral support	50,000		25,000	25,000
IN THE CITY rancisco, California				
ne Jazz Masters Series rded in 1991 for \$70,000)		35,000	35,000	
ralvo center for the arts oga, California				
ne Emerging Artists Series rded in 1991 for \$50,000)		25,000	25,000	
FIRST CENTER FOR THE ARTS rancisco, California				
ne Old First Concerts rded in 1990 for \$45,000)		15,000	15,000	
FRANCISCO PERFORMANCES rancisco, California				
neral support (matching grant) rded in 1991 for \$215,000)		145,000	95,000	50,000
RANCISCO PERFORMING ARTS ARY AND MUSEUM rancisco, California				
eneral support	100,000		50,000	50,000
N GROVE FESTIVAL rancisco, California	- 37 3.5	- Fa		
neral support rded in 1991 for \$60,000)		40,000	20,000	20,000
ART.RE.GRUP rancisco, California				
neral support of The Lab	20,000		20,000	
ne rde R	ncisco, California eral support ed in 1991 for \$60,000) I.RE.GRUP acisco, California	ral support ed in 1991 for \$60,000) T.RE.GRUP acisco, California	ral support ed in 1991 for \$60,000) 1.RE.GRUP acisco, California	ral support ed in 1991 for \$60,000) T.RE.GRUP acisco, California

Population

opulation growth continues to be a significant world-wide problem, despite the positive impact organized family planning programs have had. In addressing the issue of population growth, the Foundation has two primary interests: the development of research, policy, and program expertise in developing countries and the international delivery of family planning services. U.S. population issues are also of concern but represent a smaller proportion of the annual program budget.

Within its priorities, the Foundation plans to continue to support a range of programs. Specific international interests are in the following areas: the training of population experts from developing countries, primarily at university-based population centers in the United States; policy-related research on population issues, particularly the relationship of social and economic factors to fertility; and the support of family planning programs not eligible for U.S. government funds. There are no geographic limitations on support of research, international family planning projects, or foreign students. Both internationally and domestically, topics of special interest include adolescent fertility, male involvement in family planning, and the role of cash incentives in encouraging use of contraception. There is also an interest in family planning programs in Mexico.

With regard to population issues in the United States, the Foundation is primarily interested in organizations that inform policy-makers both here and abroad about the importance of population issues and the relevance of demographic change to other social, economic, and political developments. Support for local organizations that provide direct family planning services has been limited to Planned Parenthood affiliates in and near the San Francisco Bay Area. Whenever possible, grants to Planned Parenthood affiliates will address regional, rather than institution-specific, concerns.

The Foundation generally provides organizational, rather than project, support and does not fund investigator-initiated research. Grants will favor support of groups that show an awareness of the

Program Description complex relations between motivation, social and economic development, and fertility behavior, and that have an appreciation for the importance of social, cultural, and personal approaches to population questions. The Foundation will also encourage those organizations that are trying to bridge the gap between research and the implementation of policies and programs. Grants will be made primarily to U.S.-based organizations.

The Foundation does not support bio-medical research on reproduction or population education programs directed toward the general public.

Population: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
Social Science Research and Training				
ALAN GUTTMACHER INSTITUTE New York, New York				
For general support (Awarded in 1990 for \$1,250,000)		\$416,000		\$416,000
BROWN UNIVERSITY, POPULATION STUDIES AND TRAINING CENTER				
Providence, Rhode Island				
For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$700,000)		420,000	140,000	280,000
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY Berkeley, California				
100				
For general support of the Graduate Group in Demography's Program in				
Population Research				
(Awarded in 1986 for \$500,000)		100,000	100,000	
W SS SS SS				
For general support (Awarded in 1991 for \$500,000)		500,000		500,000
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO, CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH POLICY RESEARCH				
San Francisco, California				
For the Family Planning Training Program (Awarded in 1989 for \$540,000)		135,000		135,000
UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, POPULATION RESEARCH CENTER				
Chicago, Illinois				
For general support				
(Awarded in 1988 for \$500,000)		200,000	100,000	100,000
CHULALONGKORN UNIVERSITY, INSTITUTE OF POPULATION STUDIES Bangkok, Thailand		12		
For general support				
(Awarded in 1991 for \$75,000)		50,000	25,000	25,000
COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY, CENTER FOR POPULATION AND FAMILY HEALTH New York, New York				
11.41-0.2 10.101.0 10.101.0 10.101.0 10.101.0 10.101.0 10.101.0 10.101.0 10.101.0 10.101.0 10.101.0 10.101.0 1				
For general support (Awarded in 1990 for \$750,000)		600,000	150,000	450,000

Grants newly authorized in 1992 are highlighted by square boxes.

	Population: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
	CORNELL UNIVERSITY, POPULATION AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM Ithaca, New York				
	For general support (Awarded in 1988 for \$560,000)		140,000		140,000
	EAST-WEST CENTER, EAST-WEST POPULATION INSTITUTE Honolulu, Hawaii				
	For fertility-related research and training	180,000		60,000	120,000
	EL COLEGIO DE MEXICO Mexico City, Mexico				
	For the Center for Demographic and Urban Development Studies (Awarded in 1989 for \$150,000)		50,000	50,000	
	INDIANA UNIVERSITY, POPULATION INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH AND TRAINING Bloomington, Indiana				
	For general support (Awarded in 1991 for \$60,000)		30,000	30,000	
	INTERNATIONAL UNION FOR THE SCIENTIFIC STUDY OF POPULATION Liege, Belgium				
	For general support (Awarded in 1990 for \$135,000)		85,000	45,000	40,000
•	JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, CENTER FOR COMMUNICATIONS PROGRAMS Baltimore, Maryland				
	For the Draper Fellowships for students from developing countries interested in family planning	20,000		20,000	
	JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, POPULATION CENTER Baltimore, Maryland				ueluk Urok
	For general support (Awarded in 1990 for \$750,000)		600,000	150,000	450,000
	MAHIDOL UNIVERSITY, INSTITUTE FOR POPULATION AND SOCIAL RESEARCH Nakhornpathom, Thailand				
	To support training, faculty development, and publications, and to enhance library and computing capacity				
	(Awarded in 1990 for \$150,000)		100,000	50,000	50,000
	UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, COLLEGE OF BEHAVIORAL AND SOCIAL SCIENCE College Park, Maryland				
	For the Center on Population, Gender, and Social Inequality	240,000		80,000	160,000

Population: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN				
Ann Arbor, Michigan				
For general support of the Population Studies Center and the Center for Population Planning		120,000	50,000	260,000
(Awarded in 1989 for \$900,000)		420,000	60,000	360,000
NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, COMMITTEE ON POPULATION				
Washington, D.C. To support activities related to				
developing countries (Awarded in 1991 for \$250,000)		250,000	125,000	125,000
		200,000	,	
UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA, CAROLINA POPULATION CENTER Chapel Hill, North Carolina				
For general support of population				
research and training (Awarded in 1990 for \$750,000)		450,000		450,000
UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, GRADUATE GROUP IN DEMOGRAPHY Dhiladelphia Depositionia				
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania To support training and research (Awarded in 1991 for \$750,000)		750,000	300,000	450,000
PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, POPULATION RESEARCH INSTITUTE University Park, Pennsylvania				
For general support (Awarded in 1988 for \$500,000)		100,000	100,000	
For general support	390,000			390,000
POPULATION ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA Washington, D.C.				
For general support (Awarded in 1990 for \$105,000)		35,000	35,000	
POPULATION COUNCIL New York, New York				
For general support of the Research Division (Awarded in 1991 for \$900,000)		600,000	300,000	300,000
POPULATION REFERENCE BUREAU, INC. Washington, D.C.				
For general support (Awarded in 1990 for \$300,000)		100,000	100,000	
PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, OFFICE OF POPULATION RESEARCH Princeton, New Jersey				
For general support of population				
research and training (Awarded in 1990 for \$500,000)		300,000	100,000	200,000

Population: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
RAND CORPORATION				
Santa Monica, California				
For general support of the Labor and Population Program (Awarded in 1990 for \$270,000)		90,000	90,000	
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, POPULATION RESEARCH LABORATORY				
Los Angeles, California				
For general support (Awarded in 1991 for \$80,000)		80,000	80,000	
For general support of research and training	240,000			240,000
UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS, AUSTIN, POPULATION RESEARCH CENTER Austin, Texas				
For general support (Awarded in 1986 for \$650,000)		130,000	130,000	
For general support of research in or on developing countries and for foreign student training	650,000		130,000	520,000
student training	630,000		130,000	320,000
UNIVERSIDADE FEDERALE DE MINAS GERAIS, CENTER FOR REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING (CEDEPLAR) Minas Gerais, Brazil				
For the demography program				
(Awarded in 1989 for \$150,000)		50,000	50,000	
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, CENTER FOR STUDIES IN DEMOGRAPHY AND ECOLOGY Seattle, Washington				
For general support of population				
research and training				
(Awarded in 1990 for \$270,000)		180,000	90,000	90,000
UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN, MADISON, CENTER FOR DEMOGRAPHY AND ECOLOGY Madison, Wisconsin				
To train graduate students in demography				
from developing countries	45,000		45,000	
YALE UNIVERSITY, ECONOMIC GROWTH CENTER New Haven, Connecticut				
For general support of the demography program				
(Awarded in 1987 for \$225,000)		45,000	45,000	

Population: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
International Family Plannin Development Activities	ng and			
ACADEMIA MEXICANA DE INVESTIGACION EN DEMOGRAFIA MEDICA Mexico City, Mexico				
For general support (Awarded in 1991 for \$180,000)		60,000		60,000
AFRICAN MEDICAL AND RESEARCH FOUNDATION New York, New York	7-4			
For the Family Health Unit in East Africa (Awarded in 1991 for \$540,000)		360,000	180,000	180,000
AMERICAN COLLEGE OF NURSE MIDWIVES Washington, D.C.	7			
To support international family planning activities (Awarded in 1990 for \$285,000)		170,000	95,000	75,000
ASIA FOUNDATION San Francisco, California		-		
For general support of a family planning program in Nepal	400,000		200,000	200,000
ASSOCIATION FOR VOLUNTARY SURGICAL CONTRACEPTION, INC. New York, New York				
To initiate or continue programs in several countries, primarily in Latin America and sub-Saharan Africa (matching grant)	500,000		170,000	330,000
CENTRE FOR DEVELOPMENT AND POPULATION ACTIVITIES Washington, D.C.				
For general support of family planning activities (Awarded in 1991 for \$500,000)		333,000	167,000	166,000
FEDERACION MEXICANA DE ASOCIACIONES PRIVADAS DE SALUD Y DESARROLLO COMUNITARIO El Paso, Texas	s			-
For general support of family planning information and services for adolescents	65,000		40,000	25,000
FUNDACION MEXICANA PARA LA PLANEACION FAMILIAR Mexico City, Mexico				
For general support (Awarded in 1991 for \$300,000)		200,000	100,000	100,000

Population: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
GOOD SAMARITAN FOUNDATION				
Portland, Oregon For family planning work using incentive programs at the Stella Maris Charity Clinic in India				
(Awarded in 1988 for \$195,000)		65,000	65,000	
INTERNATIONAL PLANNED PARENTHOOD FEDERATION				
London, England For the Challenges of the '90s project (Awarded in 1991 for \$540,000)		380,000	240,000	140,000
INTERNATIONAL PLANNED PARENTHOOD FEDERATION/WESTERN HEMISPHERE REGION New York, New York				
For general support (Awarded in 1990 for \$315,000)		157,000	157,000	
For general support of adolescent projects	300,000			300,000
INTERNATIONAL PROJECTS ASSISTANCE SERVICES				1
Carrboro, North Carolina				
For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$600,000)		100,000	100,000	
INTERNATIONAL WOMEN'S HEALTH COALITION New York, New York				
For general support (Awarded in 1990 for \$360,000)		240,000	120,000	120,000
MANAGEMENT SCIENCES FOR HEALTH, POPULATION PROGRAM Newton, Massachusetts				
For an experimental project to increase the rates of contraceptive use in three developing countries	570,000			570,000
PATHFINDER INTERNATIONAL Watertown, Massachusetts	9446-56		7	
For general support (Awarded in 1991 for \$750,000)		500,000		500,000
PLANNING ASSISTANCE Washington, D.C.				
For general support (Awarded in 1991 for \$300,000)		200,000	100,000	100,000
POPULATION SERVICES INTERNATIONAL Washington, D.C.				
For general support	770,000		193,000	577,000

Population: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
-				
PROGRAM FOR APPROPRIATE TECHNOLOGY IN HEALTH Seattle, Washington				
For the Small Grants Program (Awarded in 1989 for \$400,000)		133,000	133,000	
For general support of family planning activities	1,050,000		350,000	700,000
SAVE THE CHILDREN Westport, Connecticut			A Total	Vitalial No. 144
For a family planning project in The Gambia	185,000			185,000
UNITARIAN UNIVERSALIST SERVICE COMMITTEE			Sharmana N	bolt walt
Cambridge, Massachusetts				
To support international family planning activities (Awarded in 1990 for \$275,000)		75,000	75,000	
WORLD NEIGHBORS Oklahoma City, Oklahoma		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
For integrated community-based programs of agricultural development and reproductive health care (matching grant)				
(Awarded in 1989 for \$285,000)		95,000	95,000	1435
Domestic Family Planning				
Activities				
LOS ANGELES COUNTY/USC MEDICAL CENTER, REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH AND RESEARCH INSTITUTE				
Los Angeles, California				
For general support of the Hispanic				
Teen Mother project (Awarded in 1991 for \$365,000)		365,000		365,000
NATIONAL FAMILY PLANNING AND REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH ASSOCIATION		41 - 34 -	, errain an	
Washington, D.C.	150,000		60,000	00.000
For general support	150,000		60,000	90,000
PLANNED PARENTHOOD FEDERATION OF AMERICA, INC. New York, New York				
For general support of domestic activities (matching grant)	450,000			450,000

_	Population: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorize 1992	Unpaid d Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
•	PLANNED PARENTHOOD OF SAN MATEO COUNTY San Mateo, California				
	To expand community education services to teenagers and parents by constructing a Resource Center	100,000		100,000	
	International/Domestic Family Planning Activities	,			
	PLANNED PARENTHOOD FEDERATION OF AMERICA, INC. New York, New York				
	For general support of domestic and international programs (matching grant) (Awarded in 1989 for \$600,000)		100,000	100,000	
	Education of Policymakers				
98	ASSOCIATION OF REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH PROFESSIONALS Washington, D.C.				
	For the task force overseeing the National Campaign to Reduce Unintended Pregnancy Through Improved Contraceptive Usage and Sexual Responsibility	10,000		10,000	
	CHILD TRENDS, INC. Washington, D.C.				
	For institutional development (Awarded in 1991 for \$250,000)		166,000	83,000	83,000
	INDEPENDENT COMMISSION ON POPULATION AND QUALITY OF HUMAN LIFE Paris, France		h la		
	For general support	200,000			200,000
	POPULATION CRISIS COMMITTEE Washington, D.C.				
	For general support (Awarded in 1991 for \$225,000)		125,000	75,000	50,000
	POPULATION RESOURCE CENTER Princeton, New Jersey		<u> </u>		
	For general support (Awarded in 1991 for \$300,000)		180,000		180,000
	TOTAL POPULATION \$	6,515,000	\$11,010,000	\$5,788,000	\$11,737,000

Regional Grants

hrough the regional grants program, the Foundation responds to requests from organizations based in or near the San Francisco Bay Area that serve local residents. There are four categories in this program: community development, homelessness, affordable housing, and minority leadership development. The first three are the major categories of the program and account for more than 90 percent of the budget.

In the community development category, the Foundation funds community-based organizations that conduct programs to revitalize neighborhoods by improving living conditions and the climate for economic development. The Foundation welcomes community development proposals that feature a practical, strategic approach to dealing with a range of interrelated economic and social problems in a specific neighborhood, and a feasible plan for carrying out that approach. The Foundation also funds regional or national community development support organizations whose work is of benefit to community development corporations in the Bay Area.

In the area of homelessness, proposals will be considered that have long-term impact on the problem; have a regional focus; improve knowledge in the field; and are nested within a coordinated, systematic approach to solving the problem. In substantive terms, proposals will be considered in the areas of prevention of homelessness; amelioration for those who do become homeless; provision of permanent solutions, i.e., helping to ensure that the development of permanent housing is linked to ongoing social services for residents who need them; and planning and coordination.

In the area of affordable housing, the Foundation does not make capital grants. Rather, proposals will be considered that focus on policy, planning, coordination, or consensus-building; on operating costs of model demonstration projects that link social services to permanent housing; and on capacity-building programs for community-based housing development corporations.

Program Description In the minority leadership development category, the Foundation supports programs that enhance the ability of California minorities to pursue careers, as professionals or volunteers, that involve public or community services, with particular emphasis on multiethnic or multicultural training for a multicultural society.

Though the Foundation will consider requests for either general or project support, most funds are awarded to organizations for general support. The Foundation welcomes opportunities to fund programs with other grantmakers.

Limited program funds dictate that only a few of the requests reviewed can be supported. To help avoid unprofitable effort on the part of applicants, we call attention to the fact that the Foundation does not support proposals in the following fields: physical or mental health; law and related fields; criminal justice or juvenile delinquency; drug and alcohol addiction; or the problems of the elderly and the handicapped. These exclusions derive not from a lack of sympathy with the needs in these fields but from the Foundation's determination to focus its resources.

	Regional Grants: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
	Community Development				
	ASIAN AMERICAN SERVICE INSTITUTE FOR ASSISTING NEIGHBORHOODS San Francisco, California				
	For general support of the San Francisco Mercado Project	\$35,000	3989	\$35,000	- April 10
	ASIAN NEIGHBORHOOD DESIGN San Francisco, California		ne-fair enage	AT ALL T	
	For general support	75,000		40,000	35,000
	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, UNIVERSITY/OAKLAND METROPOLITAN FORUM Berkeley, California				- Marie W
	For general support and for the Community Planning for Employment project (Awarded in 1991 for \$300,000)	a	150,000	100,000	50,000
•	CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATION Oakland, California				
	For general support	100,000		50,000	50,000
-	CALIFORNIA REINVESTMENT COMMITTEE San Francisco, California				
	For general support	50,000		50,000	
-	CENTER FOR COMMUNITY CHANGE Washington, D.C.			100	
	For general support of the Bay Area and national offices	250,000		100,000	150,000
	CHINATOWN NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT RESOURCE CENTER San Francisco, California				
	For the Chinatown–Embarcadero Planning Project (Awarded in 1991 for \$100,000)		60,000	30,000	30,000
	COMMUNITY FOUNDATION OF SANTA CLARA COUNTY		00,000	30,000	
	San Jose, California				
	For the Developing Futures program (Awarded in 1991 for \$50,000)		25,000	25,000	
-	DEVELOPMENT TRAINING INSTITUTE, INC. Baltimore, Maryland				
	For the California training framework planning process	25,000		25,000	

[■] Grants newly authorized in 1992 are highlighted by square boxes.

	Regional Grants: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
	LA RAZA SILKSCREEN CENTER, INC.				
	San Francisco, California For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$100,000)		30,000	30,000	
	LOCAL INITIATIVES SUPPORT CORPORATION New York, New York				
	For general support of the national and Bay Area offices and for the National Community Development Initiative (Awarded in 1991 for \$480,000)		320,000	160,000	160,000
	MEXICAN HERITAGE CORPORATION San Jose, California				
	For general support	50,000		50,000	
	MISSION ECONOMIC AND CULTURAL ASSOCIATION San Francisco, California				
	For general support (Awarded in 1990 for \$150,000)		25,000	25,000	
	NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT LOAN FUNDS Philadelphia, Pennsylvania				
	For general support (Awarded in 1991 for \$75,000)		35,000		35,000
•	NATIONAL COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT COALITION Washington, D.C.				
	For general support	50,000		50,000	
-	NATIONAL CONGRESS FOR COMMUNITY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT Washington, D.C.				
	For general support	75,000		40,000	35,000
-	NATIONAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND LAW CENTER			7 ms ca # 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	
	Berkeley, California For general support	125,000		65,000	60,000
	OAKLAND BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	123,000		05,000	00,000
	Oakland, California				
	For general support (Awarded in 1990 for \$175,000)		55,000	55,000	
-	PENINSULA CONSERVATION CENTER FOUNDATION Palo Alto, California				
	For general support	30,000			30,000

Regional Grants: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
 SONOMA COUNTY FAITH-BASED COMMUNITY ORGANIZING PROJECT Sebastopol, California				
For general support	50,000		25,000	25,000
SOUTH BERKELEY NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION Berkeley, California				
For general support (Awarded in 1990 for \$120,000)		30,000	30,000	
SPANISH-SPEAKING UNITY COUNCIL Oakland, California				_1
For general support (Awarded in 1991 for \$100,000)		50,000	50,000	= 4
TIDES FOUNDATION San Francisco, California				
For general support of the Materials for the Future Foundation	200,000		200,000	es el
Youth Employment				
San Jose Conservation Corps San Jose, California				
For general support (Awarded in 1991 for \$175,000)		75,000	75,000	iya.
Homelessness				
ALAMEDA COUNTY HEALTH CARE SERVICES				
Oakland, California For the Homeless Families Program (Awarded in 1990 for \$150,000)		75,000	75,000	
ALAMEDA COUNTY HOMELESS ACTION CENTER Berkeley, California			2 013	1 -w. /
For general support	25,000		25,000	
ASSOCIATION OF BAY AREA GOVERNMENTS Oakland, California	ė.			M 1 =
For the Home Base Project (Awarded in 1991 for \$175,000)		75,000	75,000	

Org	ional Grants: anizations Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
FOU	KELEY ECUMENICAL MINISTRIES UNDATION keley, California				
	general support of the Chaplaincy ne Homeless	30,000		30,000	TAPE 3
COO	VERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, OPERATIVE EXTENSION Moon Bay, California				
For g	general support of the Theatre ne Homeless varded in 1991 for \$13,000)		13,000	13,000	
	IFORNIA HOMELESS COALITION amento, California				
	general support arded in 1991 for \$150,000)		75,000	75,000	
1000000	TER FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING teley, California				
For g	general support of the Jobs for neless Consortium arded in 1991 for \$50,000)		50,000	50,000	
	CONICLE SEASON OF SHARING FUND Francisco, California		1	poli i	
For g	general support arded in 1991 for \$30,000)		30,000	30,000	
For g	general support	30,000			30,00
	IMUNITY HOUSING PARTNERSHIP Francisco, California				
For g	general support	75,000		40,000	35,00
SERV	N INFORMATION AND REFERRAL VICE ward, California				
	the Homeless Phone Line	25,000		25,000	
	DEN GATE COMMUNITY, INC. Francisco, California				
For g Ente	general support of the Oak Street erprises program	25,000		25,000	1 19
	delessness information exchange hington, D.C.				
For g	general support arded in 1991 for \$100,000)		50,000	25,000	25,00
	OME RIGHTS PROJECT Francisco, California				
For	general support	30,000		30,000	

	Regional Grants: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
	NORTHERN CALIFORNIA GRANTMAKERS San Francisco, California				
	For general support of the Task Force on Homelessness	200,000		200,000	
=	SAN FRANCISCO BAR ASSOCIATION, VOLUNTEER LEGAL SERVICES PROGRAM San Francisco, California				
	For the Homeless Advocacy Project	100,000		50,000	50,000
	SUNNYVALE COMMUNITY SERVICES Sunnyvale, California				
	For the Conexiones Project	30,000		30,000	
	TRAVELERS AID SOCIETY OF ALAMEDA COUNTY Oakland, California	***		9.4	ш
	For the Homeplace Family Center project	50,000		25,000	25,000
	UNITED WAY OF THE BAY AREA San Francisco, California				
	For general support of the Job Finders Network	30,000		30,000	
	YOUTH AND FAMILY ASSISTANCE Redwood City, California				X.
	For general support of the Daybreak Shelter (Awarded in 1990 for \$50,000)		25,000	25,000	i. 101.1
	Housing				
	BAY AREA COUNCIL San Francisco, California				
	For the Bay Area housing development approval process	7,000		7,000	
	BRIDGE HOUSING CORPORATION San Francisco, California				
	For the capacity-building project for local nonprofit housing development organizations (Awarded in 1990 for \$150,000)		50,000	50,000	
	CORPORATION FOR SUPPORTIVE HOUSING New York, New York			1	
	For general support of the Bay Area office	200,000		100,000	100,000
	HOUSING FOR INDEPENDENT PEOPLE San Jose, California				
	To support housing development work in association with Special User				
	Development Services (Awarded in 1991 for \$150,000)		75,000		75,000

Regional Grants: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
LOW-INCOME HOUSING FUND				
San Francisco, California				
For general support and for the Recoverable				
Grants program (Awarded in 1991 for \$100,000)		37,000	37,000	
LOW-INCOME HOUSING INFORMATION SERVICE Washington, D.C.	к 1 -	E,		
For general support (Awarded in 1991 for \$75,000)		35,000		35,000
For general support of the National Housing Policy Initiative	100,000		100,000	
NEIGHBORHOOD FUNDERS GROUP Westport, Connecticut		613-4-L		
For retreats	13,000		13,000	
NONPROFIT HOUSING ASSOCIATION OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA San Francisco, California				
For general support	50,000		50,000	
Selected Human Services CHILDREN NOW Oakland, California For general support (Awarded in 1991 for \$175,000)		75,000	75,000	
EAST BAY COMMUNITY FOUNDATION Oakland, California				
For the Youth and Education Program (Awarded in 1991 for \$50,000)	4 4	50,000	50,000	
EAST PALO ALTO YOUTH DEVELOPMENT CENTER East Palo Alto, California				
For general support (Awarded in 1991 for \$250,000)		150,000	100,000	50,000
NORTHERN CALIFORNIA GRANTMAKERS San Francisco, California				
For general support of the AIDS Task Force	200,000		100,000	100,000
URBAN STRATEGIES COUNCIL Oakland, California				
For general support (Awarded in 1990 for \$400,000)		134,000	134,000	

Regional Grants: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
Minority Leadership				
Development				
AMERICAN LEADERSHIP FORUM Palo Alto, California				
To redefine the role of the national office	25,000		25,000	
AMERICAN LEADERSHIP FORUM Los Altos Hills, California			-	0000
For general support of the Silicon Valley Chapter				
(Awarded in 1991 for \$50,000)		25,000	25,000	
CORO FOUNDATION San Francisco, California				
For general support (Awarded in 1991 for \$90,000)		60,000	30,000	30,00
Other				
CENTER FOR GOVERNMENTAL STUDIES Los Angeles, California				
For general support of the California				
Citizens' Budget Commission (Awarded in 1991 for \$150,000)		75,000	75,000	and the same
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION Los Angeles, California	_	9		ALTERNA DE
For general support of Youth Service California				
(Awarded in 1990 for \$80,000)		30,000	30,000	
TOTAL REGIONAL GRANTS	\$2,360,000	\$2,044,000	\$3,189,000	\$1,215,00

Special Projects

Special Projects: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
Public Policy				
AMERICAN ENTERPRISE INSTITUTE FOR PUBLIC POLICY RESEARCH				
Washington, D.C.				
For general support (Awarded in 1991 for \$150,000)		\$100,000	\$50,000	\$50,000
BROOKINGS INSTITUTION Washington, D.C.				
For general support (Awarded in 1990 for \$800,000)		400,000	200,000	200,000
CATO INSTITUTE				
Washington, D.C.				
For general support (Awarded in 1991 for \$150,000)		100,000	50,000	50,000
CENTER ON BUDGET AND POLICY PRIORITIES				
Washington, D.C.				
For general support				
(Awarded in 1991 for \$225,000)		150,000		150,000
INSTITUTE FOR INTERNATIONAL ECONOMICS				
Washington, D.C.				
For general support	300,000		150,000	150,000
JOINT CENTER FOR POLITICAL		0.00		
AND ECONOMIC STUDIES Washington, D.C.				
For general support				
(Awarded in 1989 for \$375,000)		75,000	75,000	

Grants newly authorized in 1992 are highlighted by square boxes.

(Special Projects: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
	NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC				
	RESEARCH				
	Cambridge, Massachusetts				
	For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$300,000)		100,000	100,000	
1	RAND CORPORATION				
5	Santa Monica, California				
	For general support				
((Awarded in 1989 for \$300,000)		100,000	100,000	
	UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA,				
	Sacramento, California				
	For the California Policy Choices program				
	(Awarded in 1988 for \$310,000)		70,000	70,000	
5	STANFORD UNIVERSITY	-		<u> </u>	
	Stanford, California				
	For general support of the Center for Economic Policy Research				
	(Awarded in 1990 for \$300,000)		100,000	100,000	
1	Nonprofit Service Organizati FOUNDATION CENTER New York, New York For general support (Awarded in 1990 for \$150,000)	ions	55,000	55,000	
		-			
	Washington, D.C.				
	For general support	300,000		100,000	200,000
	INVESTMENT FUND FOR FOUNDATIONS				T I
1	Washington, D.C.				
	For general support				
((Awarded in 1991 for \$100,000)		50,000		50,000
	Prosocial Development				
	DEVELOPMENTAL STUDIES CENTER San Ramon, California				
	For general support of the Child				
	Development Project	1,000,000		1,000,000	

Special Organia (by Cate		Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
Inter	national Security				
LOS AN	RSITY OF CALIFORNIA, GELES geles, California				
For gene Interna	eral support of the Center for tional and Strategic Affairs ed in 1990 for \$300,000)		200,000	100,000	100,000
INTERN	R FOR STRATEGIC AND NATIONAL STUDIES gton, D.C.				
For gen	eral support ed in 1991 for \$350,000)		200,000		200,000
SECURI	UTE FOR EAST-WEST TY STUDIES				
	rk, New York eral support	300,000		100,000	200,000
- For gent	erai support	300,000	The same of the	100,000	200,000
Stanford	d, California				
	eral support of the Center for tional Security and Arms Control	600,000		200,000	400,000
Litera	асу				
COGNIT	D BEHAVIORAL AND TIVE SCIENCES, INC. 1, California				
For gene for Work	eral support of the Consortium kforce Education and	450,000		150,000	300.000
BUSINE	SS COUNCIL FOR EFFECTIVE CY, INC.	450,000		150,000	300,000
	eral support	300,000		100,000	200,000
	e FOR APPLIED LINGUISTICS				
Clearing	eral support of the National ghouse on Literacy Education ed in 1990 for \$300,000)		100,000	100,000	
	R FOR WORKING LIFE				e in a
For the	Worklife Education Resource Center ed in 1991 for \$150,000)		90,000	90,000	

	Special Projects: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
	LITERACY SOUTH Raleigh, North Carolina				
	For general support (Awarded in 1990 for \$375,000)		125,000	125,000	
	LITERACY VOLUNTEERS OF AMERICA, CALIFORNIA			di - Areke neli	AMILY .
	Berkeley, California For general support	30,000		30,000	ar Law
	LITERACY VOLUNTEERS OF AMERICA, INC. Syracuse, New York				
	For general support of the national office (Awarded in 1991 for \$420,000)		280,000	140,000	140,000
-	NATIONAL GOVERNORS' ASSOCIATION Washington, D.C.				
	For general support of the State Literacy Exchange	150,000		75,000	75,000
-	SOUTHPORT INSTITUTE FOR POLICY ANALYSIS			Syer In 1880	and a fine of
	Washington, D.C.	100 000			200 000
	For general support	400,000		100,000	300,000
	SOUTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY Georgetown, Texas				
	For general support of the				
	Partners in Reading project (Awarded in 1989 for \$150,000)		50,000	50,000	
	WORK IN AMERICA INSTITUTE Scarsdale, New York				
	For the Job-Linked Literacy Program study (Awarded in 1989 for \$300,000)		100,000	100,000	
	Earthquake Recovery				
	COMMUNITY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT SYSTEMS AND PLANNING ASSOCIATION, INC. Salinas, California				
	For general support of earthquake				
	recovery and development projects (Awarded in 1990 for \$125,000)		75,000	50,000	25,000
	EL PAJARO COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION				
	Watsonville, California				
	For general support of earthquake recovery and development programs (Awarded in 1990 for \$120,000)		30,000	30,000	

3.	Special Projects: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
	Other				
	AMERICAN ACADEMY OF ARTS AND SCIENCES Cambridge, Massachusetts				
	For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$600,000)		240,000		240,000
	AMERICAN CONSERVATORY THEATER San Francisco, California				
	For general support (Awarded in 1991 for \$500,000)		300,000	200,000	100,000
	AMERICAN UNIVERSITY OF BEIRUT New York, New York				
	For general support	150,000			150,000
	BEIRUT UNIVERSITY COLLEGE New York, New York		-		
	For general support	50,000		50,000	
	CALIFORNIA FIRST AMENDMENT COALITION Carmichael, California				
	To extend services and to increase understanding of constitutional and legislative guarantees	50,000		50,000	
	CENTER FOR RESPONSIVE GOVERNMENT Los Angeles, California				
	For general support of the California Commission on Campaign Financing (Awarded in 1991 for \$375,000)		250,000	250,000	
	EMORY UNIVERSITY Atlanta, Georgia				
	For general support of the Carter Center	450,000		172,000	278,000
	EXPLORATORIUM San Francisco, California				<u>, </u>
	For partial support of planning efforts for a capital campaign (Awarded in 1988 for \$500,000)		201,000	201,000	
	GRADUATE THEOLOGICAL UNION Berkeley, California		*		*
	To create a presidential discretionary fund endowment (matching grant)	200,000			200,000

Special Projects: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1992	Unpaid d Grants 1/1/92	Payments Made 1992	Unpaid Grants 12/31/92
NATIONAL PUBLIC RADIO Washington, D.C.				
For general support (Awarded in 1991 for \$225,000)		150,000	75,000	75,00
UNIVERSITY OF SAN FRANCISCO, DEPARTMENT OF MODERN AND CLASSICAL LANGUAGES				
San Francisco, California				
For the Pedagogical Conference for Latinis	ts 3,000		3,000	
of tag at the first of the second of the sec	a et a ette	1		
TOTAL SPECIAL PROJECTS	\$4,733,000	\$3,691,000	\$4,591,000	\$3,833,00
		-		
SUBTOTAL: 1992 GROSS				
AUTHORIZATIONS AND PAYMENTS	\$34,700,000		\$31,305,000	
Less Cancellations	(300,000)			
Less Refunds	(2,793)		(2,793)	
	NEEDS CO. A. CORNER CO. Propos of Consession.	The same of the sa	appearance of the second	Carriage and water a subsequent and a second
GRAND TOTAL: ALL PROGRAM AREAS	\$34,397,207	\$36,474,000	\$31,302,207	\$39,569,000

Advice to Applicants

ecause the foregoing program descriptions are brief and are under continuing review, the most efficient means of initial contact with the Hewlett Foundation is a letter of inquiry, addressed to the President. The letter should contain a brief statement of the applicant's need for funds and enough factual information to enable the staff to determine whether or not the application falls within the Foundation's areas of preferred interest or warrants consideration as a special project. There is no fixed minimum or maximum with respect to the size of grants; applicants should provide a straightforward statement of their needs and aspirations for support, taking into account other possible sources of funding.

Letters of application will be briefly acknowledged upon their receipt, but because the Foundation prefers to operate with a small staff, a more detailed response may in some cases be delayed. Applicants who have not had a substantive reply after a reasonable period of time should feel free to make a follow-up inquiry.

The Foundation recognizes that significant programs require time to demonstrate their value. It is therefore willing to consider proposals covering several years of support. While the Foundation will entertain specific projects in its areas of interest and will on occasion provide general support for organizations of special interest, it expects to work primarily through support of organizations active in its main programs. One exception is the regional grants program, under which the Foundation will make some small grants for specific projects that meet an immediate community need. Like most foundations, the Hewlett Foundation is unwilling to assume responsibility for the long-term support of any organization or activity.

All inquiries are reviewed first by the relevant program officer. He or she will either (1) in consultation with the President, decline those requests that seem unlikely to result in a project the Foundation can support; (2) request further information if a decision cannot be made on the basis of the initial inquiry; or (3) present the request to the rest of the staff for discussion.

Applicants who receive a favorable response to their initial inquiry will be invited to submit a formal proposal. Special supporting materials may be requested in some cases, but normally the proposal should include:

- A concise statement of the purpose of the request, its significance or uniqueness in relation to other work being done in the field, and the results sought.
- A budget for the program; an indication of other prospective funding sources and the amount requested of each; and a statement of the sponsoring organization's total budget and financial position. Applicants should indicate how they would continue a successful program once support from the Hewlett Foundation ceases.
- The identity and qualifications of the key personnel to be involved.
- A list of members of the governing body.
- Evidence of tax-exempt status.
- A statement to the effect that the proposal has been reviewed by the applicant's governing body and specifically approved for submission to the William and Flora Hewlett Foundation.

Normally the Foundation will not consider grants for basic research; capital construction funds; grants in the medical or health-related fields; or general fund-raising drives. It will not make grants or loans to individuals or grants intended directly or indirectly to support candidates for political office or to influence legislation.

Grants must be approved by the Board of Directors, which meets quarterly. Meeting dates are available upon request, but applicants should realize that even proposals which are to be recommended for Board approval cannot in every case be reviewed at the first meeting following their receipt. All inquiries and proposals are reported to the Board, including those declined at the staff level.

Financial Statements

THE WILLIAM AND FLORA HEWLETT FOUNDATION

Balance Sheet

	December 31		
	1992	1991	
ASSETS		100,00	
Investment assets at market value:			
Hewlett-Packard Company common stock	\$240,580,000	\$254,619,000	
Other equities	205,388,000	156,118,000	
Fixed income securities	359,557,000	317,196,000	
Money market fund	13,310,000	3,402,000	
Receivables for interest and dividends	7,119,000	5,300,000	
(Payables)/receivables for pending			
security transactions	(10,703,000)	13,739,000	
	815,251,000	750,374,000	
Cash	25,000	32,000	
Program related loan receivable	4,000,000	2,000,000	
Other assets	320,000	307,000	
	\$819,596,000	\$752,713,000	
LIABILITIES AND FOUNDATION PRINCIPAL			
Accounts payable and other liabilities	\$ 575,000	\$ 685,000	
Grants payable	39,569,000	36,474,000	
Federal excise tax payable currently	351,000		
Deferred federal excise tax	4,170,000	3,884,000	
	44,665,000	41,043,000	
Foundation principal	774,931,000	711,670,000	

Statement of Income, Expenses, and Changes in Foundation Principal

	Year Ended December 31		
	1992	1991	
Revenue:			
Interest	\$ 26,637,000	\$ 23,524,000	
Dividends	7,940,000	6,302,000	
	34,577,000	29,826,000	
Expenses:			
Administrative expenses	2,782,000	2,196,000	
Investment management expenses	1,986,000	1,335,000	
	4,768,000	3,531,000	
Excess of revenue over expenses	29,809,000	26,295,000	
Net gain on investment portfolio	70,506,000	205,115,000	
Federal excise tax expense on	(2.577.000)	(
investment income	(2,657,000)	(1,968,000)	
	97,658,000	229,442,000	
Grants authorized	(34,397,000)	(34,868,000)	
Excess of income over expenses for the year	63,261,000	194,574,000	
Foundation principal at beginning of year	711,670,000	517,096,000	
Foundation principal at end of year	\$ 774,931,000	\$ 711,670,000	

Statement of Changes in Cash

	Year Ended December 31		
	1992	1991	
SOURCES OF CASH			
Excess of revenue over expenses	\$29,809,000	\$26,295,000	
Net liquidation of securities,			
including gain thereon	5,796,000	14,130,000	
Other	122,000	152,000	
	35,727,000	40,577,000	
USES OF CASH			
Payments on grants	31,305,000	37,450,000	
Program related loan	2,000,000	2,000,000	
Payment of federal excise tax on income	2,302,000	1,136,000	
Capital asset additions	127,000	14,000	
	35,734,000	40,600,000	
Decrease in cash	(\$7,000)	(\$23,000)	

Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 1992 and 1991

NOTE 1 Investments

Investments are carried at market value. The unrealized increase (decrease) in the market value of investments held at year end is determined by using market values at the beginning and end of the year, or on the date acquired if purchased or contributed to the Foundation during the year. Realized gains (losses) on sales are determined based on cost, with cost being determined on a specific identification basis.

The Foundation held 3,443,000 shares of Hewlett-Packard Company stock (approximately 1.4% of that Company's total outstanding shares) with a market price of \$69.875 per share at December 31, 1992. At December 31, 1991, the Foundation held 4,467,000 shares with a market price of \$57.00 per share.

The fixed income portfolio at December 31, 1992, consisted primarily of U.S. Government and government agencies securities.

The cost of investments held at the end of each year was \$585,213,000 in 1992 and \$549,509,000 in 1991. Net gain on investment portfolio includes realized gains of \$41,333,000 in 1992 and \$62,241,000 in 1991.

NOTE 2 Grants Payable

Grant requests are recorded as grants payable when they are approved by the Board of Directors. Some of the grants are payable in installments generally over a three-year period. Grants authorized but unpaid at December 31, 1992, are payable as follows:

Year Payable	Amount
1993	\$24,045,000
1994	13,886,000
1995 and thereafter	1,638,000
	\$39,569,000

The Foundation ordinarily makes its grants to organizations that qualify as public charities under the Internal Revenue Code. When distributions are made to non-qualifying organizations, the Foundation assumes the responsibility for ultimate public charity use.

NOTE 3 Federal Excise Tax

The William and Flora Hewlett Foundation is a private foundation and qualifies as a tax-exempt organization under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code. Private foundations are subject to a federal excise tax on net investment income and may reduce their federal excise tax rate from 2% to 1% by exceeding a certain payout target for the year. The Foundation has provided for the 1992 excise tax at the 2% rate; in 1991 it qualified for the 1% rate,

Deferred federal excise tax arises from unrealized gains on investments and is provided at the rate in effect at the time the unrealized gains or losses are recognized.

The provisions for federal excise tax were as follows:

	1992	1991
Current	\$2,371,000	\$1,415,000
Deferred	286,000	553,000
	\$2,657,000	\$1,968,000

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS

To the Board of Directors of The William and Flora Hewlett Foundation

FEBRUARY 26, 1993

In our opinion, the accompanying balance sheet and the related statements of income, expenses and changes in Foundation principal and of changes in cash present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of The William and Flora Hewlett Foundation (the Foundation) at December 31, 1992 and 1991, and the results of its operations and its changes in cash for the years then ended in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Foundation's management; our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards which require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for the opinion expressed above.

PRICE WATERHOUSE SAN JOSE , CALIFORNIA Price Waterhouse

Arts, 49

80 Langton Street / New Langton

Arts Council of Santa Clara County, 49 A Academia Mexicana de Investigación Asia Foundation, 57 en Demografia Medica, 57 Asian American Service Institute for Academy of Family Mediators, 17 Assisting Neighborhoods, 63 Achievement Council, 29 Asian Neighborhood Design, 63 Administrative Conference of the Aspen Institute for Humanistic United States, 17 Studies, 30 African Medical and Research Association for Voluntary Surgical Foundation, 57 Contraception, Inc., 57 Alameda County Health Care Association of Bay Area Govern-Services, 65 ments, 65 Association of Reproductive Health Alameda County Homeless Action Center, 65 Professionals, 60 Alan Guttmacher Institute, 53 Alban Institute, 15 B Allegheny College, 25 Bates College, 25 Alliance for Education in Global and Bay Area Institute, Pacific News International Studies, 30 Service, 23 Alliance to Save Energy, 34 Bay Area Council, 67 American Academy of Arts and Bay Area Video Coalition, 48 Sciences, 74 Bay Area Women's Philharmonic, 42 American Bach Soloists, 42 Bayshore Employment Service, 9 American Bar Association Fund for Beirut University College, 74 Justice and Education, 17 Bennington College, 25 American College of Nurse Berkeley Dispute Resolution Service, Midwives, 57 15 American Conservatory Theatre, 44, Berkeley Ecumenical Ministries 74 Foundation, 66 American Council on Education, 31 Berkeley Repertory Theatre, 44 American Enterprise Institute for Berkeley Symphony Orchestra, 42 Public Policy Research, 70 Birmingham-Southern College, 25 American Farmland Trust, 34 Black Choreographers Moving American Jewish Joint Distribution Toward the Twenty-First Century, Committee, 15 American Leadership Forum, 69 Black Filmmakers Hall of Fame, 48 American Music Theater Festival, 49 Boston University, 17 American Rivers, 38 Bowdoin College, 25 American University of Beirut, 76 Boys and Girls Club of the Peninsula, Americas Society, 23 Applied Behavioral and Cognitive Bridge Housing Corporation, 67 Sciences, Inc., 72 **Brookings Institution, 70** Brooklyn Academy of Music, 49

Arizona State University,

Brown University Population Studies

and Training Center, 53

Bryn Mawr College, 27 Department of Anthropology, 29 **Bucknell University**, 25 Bush Foundation, 29 Business Council for Effective Literacy, Inc., 72 Cabrillo Music Festival, 42

California, University of, Berkeley, 37, 42, 53; Cal Performances, 49; Cooperative Extension, 66; Policy Analysis for California Education, 30; University / Oakland Metropolitan Forum, 63 California, University of, Davis, 37 California, University of, Los Angeles, 37, 72; Latin American Center, 23; Program on Mexico, 23 California, University of, San Diego: Center for U.S.-Mexican Studies, 23; Graduate School of International Relations / Pacific Studies, California, University of, San Francisco, Center for Reproductive Health Policy Research, 53 California Community Dispute Services, 15 California Community Economic Development Association, 63 California Confederation of the Arts, California Environmental and Economic Recovery Coalition, 35 California Environmental Trust, 35 California Family Action, 9 California First Amendment Coalition, 74 California Foundation for the Improvement of Employer-Employee Relations, 17 California Homeless Coalition, 66

California Lawyers for the Arts, 49 California Reinvestment Committee, California Shakespeare Festival, 44 Carleton College, 27 Carmel Bach Festival, 42 Cato Institute, 70 Center for Applied Linguistics, 72 Center for Clean Air Policy, 35 Center for Community Change, 63 Center for Dispute Settlement, 15, 18 Center for Governmental Studies, 69 Center for Independent Living, 66 Center for Mediation of Disputes, 16 Center for a New Generation, 9 Center for Policy Alternatives, 34 Center for Public Resources, 17 Center for Resource Economics, 34 Center for Resource Management, 35 Center for Responsive Government, Center for Strategic and International Studies, 72 Center for Working Life, 72 Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, 70 Centre College, 26 Centre for Development and Population Activities, 57 Centro de Tecnologia Electronica e Informatica, 22 Chamber Music America, 42 Chicago, University of: Center for Latin American Studies, 22; Population Research Center, 53 Children Now, 68 Child Trends, Inc., 60 Chinatown Neighborhood Improvement Resource Center, 63 Chronicle Season of Sharing Fund, 66 Chulalongkorn University, Institute of Population Studies, 53

City Celebration, 49 City University of New York, Bildner Center for Western Hemisphere Studies, 22 Claremont McKenna College, 26 Claremont University Center and Graduate School, 29 Clean Sites, Inc., 35 Coalition to Restore Louisiana, 35 Colby College, 28 Coleman Children and Youth Services, 10 College of Wooster, 26 Colorado, University of, Boulder, 14 Colorado College, 26 Columbia University: Center for Population and Family Health, 53; Institute of Latin American and Iberian Studies, 22; School of International and Public Affairs, Commission on Preservation and Access, 21 Committee for Water Policy Consensus, 35 Community Environment Council, 35 Community Foundation of Santa Clara County, 49, 63 Community Housing Improvement Systems and Planning Association, Inc., 73 Community Housing Partnership, 66 Conflict Management Initiatives, 16 Connecticut College, 28 Constitutional Rights Foundation, 69 Cornell University: Population and Development Program, 54 Coro Foundation, 69 Corporation for Supportive Housing,

67

Council of Better Business Bureaus, 17 Council on Foreign Relations, 30 Council on Library Resources, 21 Cultural Council of Santa Cruz County, 49

D
Dance Bay Area, 46
Dance Theatre of Harlem, 49
Dance Through Time, 47
Dance/USA, 47
Dancers' Group/Footwork Studio, 47
Depauw University, 26
Developmental Studies Center, 71
Development Training Institute, 63
Dickinson College, 26
Dillard University, 29
Dimensions Dance Theater, 47
Duke University, 37; Center for International Studies, 21

Earlham College, 28 Early Music America, 42 East Bay Community Foundation, 68; East Bay Funders, 9 East Palo Alto Youth Development Center, 68 East-West Center, East-West Population Institute, 54 Eden Information and Referral Service, 66 80 Langton Street/New Langton Arts, El Colegio de la Frontera Norte, 24 El Colegio de México, 24, 54 Ellen Webb Dance Foundation, 47 El Pajaro Community Development Corporation, 73 El Teatro Campesino, 44 **Emory University, 74** Encampment for Citizenship, 10 **Environmental and Energy Study** Institute, 34

Circuit Network, 46

Environmental Data Research Institute, 39 Environmental Defense Fund, 36 Environmental Law Institute, 34 Eureka Theatre Company, 44 Exploratorium, 74

Federación Mexicana de Asociaciones Privadas de Salud y Desarrollo Comunitario, 57 Feedback Productions, Inc., 44 Film Arts Foundation, 48 First Impressions Performances, 47 Fisk University, 29 Five Colleges, Inc., Five College Program in Peace and World Security Studies, 28 Florida Memorial College, 29 Foothill-De Anza Colleges Foundation, 50 Foundation Center, 71 Foundation for Art in Cinema / San Francisco Cinematheque, 48 Friends of Olympia Station, Inc., . Tandy Beal & Company, 47 Fundación Mexicana para La Planeación Familiar, 57

G
George Coates Performance Works,
44
George Mason University, 14
Georgetown University: School of
Foreign Service, 22
Georgia Institute of Technology, 14
Glacier Institute, 36
Golden Gate Community, Inc., 66
Good Samaritan Foundation, 58
Graduate Theological Union, 74
Greenbelt Alliance, 36
Grinnell College, 28
Gustavus Adolphus College, 26

H
Hampshire College, 26
Hampton University, 29
Harvard University: Center for
International Affairs, 22
Harvey Mudd College, 26
Haverford College, 26
Hawaii, University of, 14
Headlands Art Center, 50
Heritage College, 29
Hobart and William Smith Colleges, 26
Homelessness Information
Exchange, 66
Housing for Independent People, 67

Humanities West, 42

I
Income Rights Project, 66
Independent Colleges of Northern
California, 31
Independent Commission on
Population and Quality of Human
Life, 60
Independent Sector, 71
Indiana University, Population
Institute for Research and
Training, 54
Indian Dispute Resolution Services,
17
Inform, 34
Institute for Contemporary Studies,
International Center for

Indian Dispute Resolution Services
17
Inform, 34
Institute for Contemporary Studies
International Center for
Economic Growth, 24
Institute for East-West Security
Studies, 72
Institute for International
Economics, 70
Inter American Press Association
Technical Center, Inc., 24
International Peace Academy, 16
International Planned Parenthood
Federation, 58
International Planned Parenthood

Federation/Western Hemisphere

Region, 58

International Projects Assistance Services, 58 International Society for Ecological Economics, 39 International Union for the Scientific Study of Population, 54 International Women's Health Coalition, 58 Intersection for the Arts, 50 Investment Fund for Foundations, 71

JK
Jazz in the City, 50
Joe Goode Performance Group, 47
Johnson C. Smith University, 29
Johnson Foundation, 31
Johns Hopkins University: Center
for Communications Programs,
54; Population Center, 54; School
of Advanced International
Studies, 24
Joint Appeal by Religion and Science

Joint Appeal by Religion and Science for the Environment, 39 Joint Center for Political and Economic Studies, 70 Kenyon College, 26 Kronos Performing Arts Association, 42

L
Lafayette College, 26
La Raza Silkscreen Center, Inc., 64
Lake Forest College, 26
Land Trust Alliance, 39
Lehigh University, 27
Life on the Water, 44
Lindsay Museum, 39
Lines Dance Company, 47
Literacy South, 73
Literacy Volunteers of America, California, 73
Literacy Volunteers of America, Inc., 73

Local Initiatives Support
Corporation, 64
Los Angeles County / USC Medical
Center: Reproductive Health and
Research Institute, 59
Low-Income Housing Fund, 68
Low-Income Housing Information
Service, 68

M Macalester College, 27 Magic Theatre, 45 Mahidol University, Institute for Population and Social Research, 54 Management Sciences for Health, Population Program, 58 Margaret Jenkins Dance Company, 47 Maryland, University of, College of Behavioral and Social Science, 54 Massachusetts, University of, Amherst, 18 Mediation Center for Dispute Resolution, 16 Meharry Medical College, 9 Mentoring Center, 10 Mexican Heritage Corporation, 64 Michigan, University of, 14, 38, 55; School of Graduate Studies (Rackham Graduate School), 22 Middlebury College, 28 Midsummer Mozart Festival, 42 Mills College, 31 Minnesota, University of, 14 Minnesota Citizens Council on Crime and Justice, 16 Mission Economic and Cultural Association, 64 Montalvo Center for the Arts, 50

Monterey Institute of International

Studies, 21

Morehouse College, 29 Morris College, 29 Mount Holyoke College, 27 Musical Traditions, Paul Dresher Ensemble, 43

N National Academy of Sciences, Committee on Population, 55 National Association of Community Development Loan Funds, 64 National Association of Service and Conservation Corps, 10 National Bureau of Economic Research, 71 National Community Reinvestment Coalition, 64 National Conference on Peacemaking and Conflict Resolution, 18 National Congress for Community Economic Development, 64 National Economic Development and Law Center, 64 National Family Planning and Reproductive Health Association, National Fish and Wildlife Foundation, 39 National Governors' Association, 73 National Institute for Chemical Studies, 36 National Institute for Dispute Resolution, 18 National League of Cities Institute, 18 National Public Radio, 75 Natural Resources Defense Council, Neighborhood Funders Group, 68 Network, The: Interaction for Conflict Resolution, 18

New Mexico, University of, 29; The

New Mexico Center for Dispute

School of Law, 24

Resolution, 16

New Ways to Work, 9 Nonprofit Housing Association of Northern California, 68 North American Institute, 24 North Carolina, University of, Carolina Population Center, 55 North Dakota, University of, 16 North Dakota Consensus Council, Northern California Grantmakers, 11, 67, 68 Northern California Mediation Center, 16 Northern Lights Research and **Education Institute, 36** Northwest Renewable Resources Center, 36 Notre Dame, University of: Helen Kellogg Institute for International Studies, 22

O
Oakland Ensemble Theater, 45
Oakland Ballet, 47
Oakland Business Development
Corporation, 64
Oakland East Bay Symphony, 43
Oberlin Dance Collective, 47
Ohio State University, 14
Ohio Wesleyan University, 27
Old First Center for the Arts, 50
OMI Neighbors in Action, 9
One Thousand Friends of Oregon, 36
Opera San Jose, 45
Oregon Rivers Council, 39
Oregon Shakespearean Festival, 45
Organization for Tropical Studies, 38

P
Pacific Institute for Studies in
Development, Environment, and
Security, 34
Partners for Democratic Change, 18
Pataphysical Broadcasting
Foundation, KUSP, 43

Pathfinder International, 58 Peninsula Ballet Theatre, 48 Peninsula Civic Light Opera, 45 Peninsula Conservation Center Foundation, 64 Pennsylvania, University of, 28; Graduate Group in Demography, 55; Office of International Programs, 22; Wharton School, 36 Pennsylvania Environmental Council, 16 Pennsylvania State University, 14; Population Issues Research Center, 55 Persona Grata Productions, Inc., 45 Philharmonia Baroque Orchestra, 43 Philharmonic Society of Fremont-Newark, 43 Pickle Family Circus, 45 Pittsburgh, University of: University Center for International Studies, Planned Parenthood Federation of America, Inc., 59, 60 Planned Parenthood of San Mateo County, 60 Planning Assistance, 58 Playwrights Foundation, 45 Pocket Opera Company, Inc., 45 Points of Light Foundation, 10 Population Association of America, 55 Population Council, 55 Population Crisis Committee, 60 Population Reference Bureau, Inc., Population Resource Center, 60 Population Services International, 58 Princeton University, 22, 38; Office

of Population Research, 55

Inc., Institute of Justice, 18

Prisoner and Community Together,

Program for Appropriate
Technology in Health, 59
Project 2000, Coalition for Utah's
Future, 37
Public/Private Ventures, 9

Rand Corporation, 56, 71
Ravenswood City School District, 30
Reed College, 27
Renew America, 34
Research Corporation, 31
Research Libraries Group, Inc., 21
Resources for the Future, 34
Rocky Mountain Institute, 35
Rova Saxophone Quartet, 43
Royal Institute of International
Affairs, 21, 22
Rust College, 29
Rutgers—State University of New
Jersey, 14

St. Augustine's College, 29 St. Olaf College, 27 San Diego State University: Institute for Regional Studies, 24 San Francisco, University of: Department of Modern and Classical Languages, 75 San Francisco Ballet Association, 48 San Francisco Bar Association, Volunteer Legal Services Program, San Francisco Bay-Delta Aquatic Habitat Institute, 37 San Francisco Chamber Symphony, San Francisco Chanticleer, 43 San Francisco Contemporary Music Players, 43 San Francisco Early Music Society, 43 San Francisco Foundation, 10 San Francisco Girls Chorus, Inc., 43

San Francisco Moving Company, Della Davidson Dance Company, San Francisco Opera Association, 45 San Francisco Performances, 50 San Francisco Performing Arts Library and Museum, 50 San Francisco State University, 10 San Francisco Symphony, 43 San Jose Civic Light Opera, 45 San Jose Cleveland Ballet, 48 San Jose Conservation Corps, 65 San Jose Repertory Company, 46 San Jose Symphony Orchestra, 44 Santa Cruz County Symphony Association, 44 Santa Fe Chamber Music Festival, 44 Sarah Lawrence College, 27 Save the Children, 59 School of American Ballet, Inc., 48 Sew Productions/Lorraine Hansberry Theatre, 46 Shakespeare-San Francisco, 46 Skidmore College, 27 Smith College, 27 Society of Professionals in Dispute Resolution, 18 Sonoma County Faith-Based Community Organizing Project, South Berkeley Neighborhood Development Corporation, 65 Southern African Freedom Through Education Foundation, 31 Southern California, University of: Population Research Laboratory, 56; School of International Relations, 21, 22; School of Public Administration, 71 Southport Institute for Policy Analysis, 73 Southwestern University, 73 Spanish-Speaking Unity Council, 65

Spelman College, 29

Stanford University, 15, 39, 71, 72; Institute of International Studies, 24, 30; School of Education, 31 Stern Grove Festival, 50 Sunnyvale Community Services Syracuse University, 15

Talladega College, 29 Tandy Beal & Company, Friends of Olympia Station, Inc., 47 Texas, University of, Austin: L.B.J. School of Public Affairs, 25; Population Research Center, 56 Texas, University of, El Paso: Center for Inter-American and Border Studies, 25 The.Art.Re.Grup, 50 Theater Artaud, 46 Theatre Bay Area, 46 Theatreworks, 46 Tides Foundation, 65; Forest Trust, 37 Tomas Rivera Center, 29 Tougaloo College, 29

UV Union College, 27 Unitarian Universalist Service Committee, 59

Travelers Aid Society of Alameda

Tufts University, 39; Fletcher School

of Law and Diplomacy, 22

County, 67

Trinity University, 31

United Way of the Bay Area, 70 Universidad Autonoma Metropolitana, Unidad Azcapotzalco, 25 Universidad de Guadalajara, Instituto de Estudios Economicos y Regionales, 25 Universidad de las Americas, Puebla, Universidade Federale de Minas Gerais, Center of Regional Development and Planning (CEDEPLAR), 56 University of the South, 27 Upstart Stage, 46 Urban Strategies Council, 10, 68 Vermont Law School: Environmental Law Center, 37 Virginia, University of: Institute for Environmental Negotiation, 37 Volunteers of America, 16

Washington, University of, 38;
Center for Studies in Demography and Ecology, 56
Washington and Jefferson College, 27
Washington Heights–Inwood Coalition, 17
Water Education Foundation, 37
Wayne State University, 15
Wesleyan University, 28
West Bay Opera Association, Inc., 46
Western Governors' Association, 37

Williams College, 28 Wisconsin, University of, Madison, 15; Center for Studies in Demography and Ecology, 56; International Studies and Programs, 22; Robert M. Lafollette Institute of Public Affairs, 11 Woodrow Wilson National Fellowship Foundation, 29 Woods Hole Research Center, 35 Work in America Institute, 73 World Affairs Council of Northern California, 30 World Forum of Silicon Valley, 30 World Neighbors, 59 World Resources Institute, 35

XYZ
Xavier University, 29
Yale University, 38; Economic
Growth Center, 56
Youth and Family Assistance, 67
Youthbuild San Francisco, 9
ZOHCO, 48

Design & Production: Editorial Design / Joy Dickinson Printed on Recycled Paper

THE WILLIAM AND FLORA HEWLETT FOUNDATION

525 Middlefield Road Menlo Park, California 94025-3495 (415) 329-1070