The William

Flora

Hewlett

Foundation

1990

The William & Flora Hewlett Foundation

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

William R. Hewlett Chairman Palo Alto, California

Walter B. Hewlett Vice Chairman Menlo Park, California

Roger W. Heyns

President

Menlo Park, California

Robert Minge Brown Hillsborough, California

Robert F. Erburu Los Angeles, California

Eleanor H. Gimon Greenwich, Connecticut

Mary H. Jaffe Portland, Oregon

Herant Katchadourian, M.D. Stanford, California

Arjay Miller Woodside, California

Lyle M. Nelson Stanford, California

ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Roger W. Heyns President

Marianne Pallotti Vice President, Corporate Secretary

William F. Nichols Treasurer

Barbara M. Barclay Program Officer

Robert C. Barrett Program Officer (through 1/91)

Nicholas P. Bollman Program Officer

Faith Mitchell Program Officer

Clint E. Smith Program Officer

B. Stephen Toben Program Officer (4/91) Susan Alexander Administrator of Grants and Computer Systems

Patricia Gump Receptionist Staff Assistant

Dorothy Heisterberg Program Assistant

Roberta Horsley Program Assistant

Celia Lonborg Receptionist Staff Assistant

Mary Menicutch
Accountant

Lisa M. Sanders Staff Assistant Noreen Scofield Program Assistant

Fe P. Snider Librarian

Priscilla W. Tommei Accounting Manager

Dyke Brown Consultant

Statement of Purpose

he Hewlett Foundation, incorporated as a private foundation in the State of California in 1966, was established by the Palo Alto industrialist William R. Hewlett, his late wife, Flora Lamson Hewlett, and their eldest son, Walter B. Hewlett. The Foundation's broad purpose, as stated in the articles of incorporation, is to promote the well-being of mankind by supporting selected activities of a charitable, religious, scientific, literary, or educational nature, as well as organizations or institutions engaged in such activities.

To date the Foundation has concentrated its resources on activities in the performing arts; education, particularly at the university and college level; population issues; environmental issues; and conflict resolution. Some subareas of interest to the Foundation are listed in the program descriptions that follow. Special projects outside these broad areas may from time to time be approved by the Board of Directors. Although the Hewlett Foundation is a national foundation, with no geographic limit stipulated in its charter, a proportion of disbursable funds has been earmarked for projects in the San Francisco Bay Area.

The Foundation has a strong commitment to the voluntary, nonprofit sector. It will therefore assist efforts to improve the financial base and efficiency of organizations and institutions in this category. Proposals that show promise of stimulating private philanthropy are particularly welcome.

In its grantmaking decisions as well as in its interests and activities, the Hewlett Foundation is wholly independent of the Hewlett-Packard Company and the Hewlett-Packard Company Foundation.

Contents

Statement of Purpose iii
President's Statement 1
Introduction to Programs 8
Conflict Resolution 9
Education 15
Environment 31
Performing Arts 39
Population 51
Regional Grants 61
Special Projects 73
Advice to Applicants 81
Financial Statements 83
Index 88

President's Statement

recurring question for a foundation is how well its grants program responds to the issues of the day. As is often the case with philanthropic questions, the ideal of responsiveness is complicated. Immediate reaction to an emerging problem may result in unwise application of scarce resources: a new issue may be only vaguely understood; the appropriate and potentially most effective grant recipients may not be clear. Moreover, the earliest manifestations of a problem are quite likely to be symptomatic. Grantmakers must then determine if there is a unique need to support organizations oriented toward treating painful symptoms. Another important issue that some foundations must consider is the fit between the problem and staff expertise. And finally, since foundation budgets are not infinite, grantmakers must weigh how funding for a new issue will reduce expenditures in existing programs. These decisions ought not be made lightly; past recipients deserve adequate notice of significant shifts in priorities.

While no one with special wisdom and authority has prescribed the proper distribution of funding to old and new programs, this Foundation has established a number of policies and practices to promote a sensitive balance. Grantmaking programs undergo regular scrutiny, with attention to contemporary developments. The Board has made it clear to Foundation staff that it expects updates on current programs and suggestions about future emphases. Each January program officers report on the grants made in the previous year and propose new activities or changes in priorities. Staff members are expected to be alert to significant developments in their areas of responsibility and the emergence of new problems and opportunities.

Several other aspects of Foundation organization have this same purpose. The special projects category enables the Foundation to gain experience with new organizations and new problems. The device of the President's Discretionary Grant provides a similar kind of flexibility. In addition, the Foundation's policy of term appointments for program officers has been an important instrument for change.

It is the purpose of this essay to describe both the continuities and the changes in emphasis in our grantmaking over the past ten years. By and large, continuity lies in the overall program commitment. The proportion of the annual appropriation, or granting level, allocated to the major programs has not changed

appreciably. As this survey will show, however, each program area has undergone important shifts in internal priorities.

The Foundation's separate program in conflict resolution is itself relatively new, having been formally established in 1984. One of its objectives has been to support organizations that provide dispute resolution services, thereby enabling these groups to demonstrate their usefulness, to test new applications, to improve practices, and to build a clientele. This emphasis has been successful, and the general objective remains. What has changed over the years is the breadth of practitioner organizations being supported. Ten years ago, organizations involved in settling environmental and community disputes were the principal recipients. As conflict resolution practice has evolved, police departments, courts, and city governments have increasingly referred disputes to mediation programs. Practitioner organizations have also expanded, offering more sophisticated services to a wider variety of arenas that benefit from alternative dispute resolution: schools, churches, public agencies, institutions, and businesses.

The Foundation has also increased its emphasis on the development of the theory of conflict resolution. After the great rise in practitioner groups nationwide, it seemed necessary to encourage the attention of legal scholars, management specialists, and social scientists to theory development. Groups with this focus, primarily located on university campuses, have made it a point to have close contacts with practitioner groups. Finally, the Foundation has increased its emphasis on the promotion and institutionalization of dispute resolution techniques among existing procedures.

Over the last ten years the education program has maintained its commitment to the major research universities, selective private liberal arts colleges, and the predominantly black colleges. It has, however, significantly increased its attention to new areas of contemporary concern, such as the academic achievement of children from minority backgrounds, the improved delivery of social support systems to schoolchildren, and the development of effective partnerships between universities and school districts.

The program also reflects the Foundation's interest in enlarging society's awareness of international affairs. At the outset, this goal was primarily advanced through grants for international studies in research universities. As the importance of sensitivity to our nation's interdependence grew more apparent, the Foundation extended its support to global curriculum development in

elementary and secondary schools and to efforts to educate the public at large about international issues. More recently, we initiated a program to fund interdisciplinary international studies at selected private liberal arts colleges. Finally, an important element in the international program activities of the Foundation has been support of more than a dozen institutions, both in Mexico and the United States, involved in joint studies of the management of economic and social relations between the two countries. We believe that the sustained institutional support offered by the Foundation over the past several years has served successfully to inform the policymaking process on both sides of the border. Canada's emergence as a partner in trinational discussions toward a North American economic accord is the most recent subject of Foundation attention.

Changes in the environment program over this ten-year period reflect the general shift that has taken place in public debate. While special interest groups remain influential and important, there is growing recognition that sound and objective analytical work must also be advanced and made available to decisionmakers. Several other trends have influenced the Foundation program. The complexity of environmental issues, particularly land use, and the tendency for adversarial approaches to result in policy stalemates have led the Foundation to increase its support for improved decisionmaking processes, primarily techniques that promote building consensus.

The Foundation has also launched an effort to help universities build endowments that will allow institutional flexibility and the periodic renewal of environmental studies. With respect to land acquisition, Foundation support has shifted from specific land acquisition projects to organizations providing technical and legal assistance to national groups, which, in turn, assist local organizations working on land acquisition and the management of ecologically significant areas.

While the performing arts program has maintained its primary interest in the areas of classical music, professional theater and opera, and ballet and modern dance, gradual changes have shifted the emphasis and geographic distribution of grants. Foundation funding for advanced artist training programs has risen, along with support to organizations providing services to the disciplines of music, theater, opera, and dance. The greatest increase, however, has been in funding for presenting organizations. Presenters enrich the cultural vitality of an area by providing per-

forming opportunities for local organizations, commissioning new works, sponsoring emerging artists, and featuring nationally and internationally renowned artists.

The Foundation has also expanded its collaboration with other foundations and arts organizations, working to resolve specific problems and to increase opportunities for individual artists and small-to-midsized arts organizations. Some of these collaborative ventures have resulted in the establishment of regranting programs. Through these programs, Hewlett and other funds are entrusted to an organization well-positioned within its community which has demonstrated an effective plan for distributing funds to segments of the community that the Foundation could not otherwise reach.

For the past ten years, the population program has been committed to increasing population expertise in developing countries and to expanding international and domestic family planning services. These goals have been reflected in grants to university-based population centers that train students from around the world and conduct research in or on developing countries, to providers of international family planning services, and to domestic population organizations. An important change has been the increase in direct grants to organizations overseas. Several population centers in developing countries that play a major role in research, planning, and formulating a local policy have received this direct support. Grants have also been made to selected Mexican organizations, in recognition not only of their excellence but also of the Foundation's special interest in issues of mutual U.S. and Mexican concern.

In international family planning services, the Foundation's support has widened to include organizations whose focus, whether in development or health, is broader than family planning, but which are strongly committed to the delivery of these services. Some of these organizations have been unusually successful in furthering the acceptability and use of contraceptives. Domestically, the program has maintained a strong interest in the prevention of adolescent pregnancy, with growing encouragement for carefully evaluated demonstration projects with potential national significance.

The regional grants program continues to fund organizations in a variety of fields of service, but with a common overarching purpose: to help individuals and communities in the Bay

Area increase their capacity to improve their lives and those of their neighbors. As the nature of Bay Area problems changes, and as the array of organizations working on those problems changes, so does the Foundation's grantmaking. For example, those interested in the problem of poverty in our inner cities have come to understand that what we need are reliable and effective local organizations that can create enterprises and jobs, provide services, and assist residents in participating in the economic and political life of the larger community. The model is community development corporations, and the Foundation now provides thirty in the Bay Area with general operating support.

In the mid-1980s homelessness became an increasingly serious problem. In keeping with the Foundation's capacity-building, problem-solving approach, we responded with support for the increased production of affordable housing.

In the youth employment field, the Foundation has learned that those young people who are most at risk of failure in society need more than job skills to succeed. They often need a range of social support services, provided intensively and comprehensively, to enable them to use their new skills to secure and retain employment without the undermining intrusion of other personal and social problems.

Among recipients of special projects grants over the years are public policy organizations. The Foundation recognized the importance to our nation of strong organizations capable of independent research on significant social, economic, and political issues. These institutions are part of society's apparatus for effective and informal public debate. In their absence, both the executive and legislative branches of government become the major source of program suggestions and evaluations. The Foundation has therefore chosen to support institutions with a proven record of informing public debate in such areas as economic policy, environmental policy, and urban problems. A public policy issue to which the Foundation has paid increasing attention in recent years is international security. The Foundation has offered support to seven such policy centers, primarily on university campuses.

A relatively recent focus of Foundation special project grants is illiteracy. The Foundation has begun to support organizations offering technical assistance and training to local providers of direct literacy training. The importance of the special projects category as a source of flexibility is perhaps most graphically illustrated by the Foundation's response to the Loma Prieta earthquake of October 1989. It made thirty grants totaling \$1.06 million to local organizations coping with the consequences of this disaster.

A frequent topic of discussion in philanthropic circles concerns the relative merits of project versus general support grants. Advocates of the project mode argue that it permits foundations to respond with greater flexibility to current issues. The policy of this Foundation has been to emphasize institution-building by allowing leaders of organizations to apply general support grants at their discretion. An example of this approach is the program to create endowments, the proceeds of which are used by the presidents of selective liberal arts colleges. Reports on the application of these funds indicate not only a remarkable variation from one institution to another—an important type of flexibility in itself but also changes in application over time. A decade ago, many of the funds were used for faculty development; recently, a growing number of presidents report that funds are being used to face the increasingly serious problems of racial tension on college campuses. Similar shifts in response to contemporaneous events are apparent in the way general support grants for international studies are applied at universities. Our conclusion is that by emphasizing general support grants, we have in no way reduced the responsiveness and sensitivity of the philanthropic dollar.

The principal audience for this review of our last ten years has been ourselves: Board and staff. Whether we have been adequately sensitive to important changes and whether we have responded appropriately will remain continuing questions for us. In making this evaluation part of our Annual Report, we are, of course, inviting others to make their own judgments on those two questions and to tell us their opinions. Because intelligent sensitivity of grantmaking to contemporary concerns is properly an important issue for the philanthropic community at large, a second purpose of this essay has been to allow others to evaluate the effectiveness of the practices and policies this Foundation has established to keep this issue constantly before us.

ROGER W. HEYNS

Programs

HE PROGRAM STATEMENTS that follow describe certain specific objectives of the Hewlett Foundation. Other goals are general; they underlie all the programs and all the funding choices the Foundation makes.

FIRST, the Foundation has a strong basic commitment to the voluntary, nonprofit sector that lies between industry and government. Institutions and organizations in this category serve purposes very important to our society, and their health and effectiveness are a major concern. Accordingly, the Foundation intends to assist efforts to strengthen their financial base and increase their efficiency.

SECOND, the Foundation also believes that private philanthropy is of great value to society. Support from individuals, businesses, or foundations can supplement government funding, and in some important cases can provide a benign and fruitful alternative. The Foundation considers the nation's habits of philanthropy, individual and corporate, less healthy than they should be, and therefore will be particularly receptive to proposals that show promise of stimulating private philanthropy.

A GREAT MANY excellent organizations meet both the general criteria suggested here and the specifications set forth in the statements that follow. Competition for the available funds is intense. The Foundation can respond favorably to only a small proportion of the worthwhile proposals it receives.

Conflict Resolution

ince 1978 the Foundation has been interested in encouraging improvements in the ways our society resolves disputes. Its initial grants dealt primarily with environmental conflicts. In 1984 the Foundation established the conflict resolution program to support work across disciplines and in a wide variety of settings.

The Foundation emphasizes general support grants, intending to devote resources to the development of the field as a whole. It does not provide funding for specific research projects, nor is support generally provided for start-up efforts. Grants are made in three categories.

The first includes support for theory development. The Foundation is particularly interested in university-based centers that demonstrate both a strong academic commitment to systematic, interdisciplinary research on conflict resolution and also an ability to contribute to improvement in dispute resolution practice.

The second category consists of grants to mediation and other practitioner organizations. The Foundation is primarily interested in opportunities to help effective and stable groups increase their capacity for growth and outreach. Grants support the

development of new approaches or new applications, the achievement of greater sophistication or organizational maturity, the conduct of evaluations of program effectiveness, and numerous other efforts to enhance the overall impact of practitioner organizations on the field and on the larger communities in which they work.

In the third category the Foundation provides support to organizations that train or educate potential users about conflict resolution techniques or otherwise promote the field as a whole.

	Conflict Resolution: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
	Theory Development				
	UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO, BOULDER				
	Boulder, Colorado				
	For general support of the Conflict Resolution Consortium	\$225,000		\$125,000	\$100,000
	GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY Fairfax, Virginia				
	For general support of the Center for Conflict Analysis and Resolution	270,000		90,000	180,000
=	GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY Atlanta, Georgia				
	For general support of the Consortium on Multi-Party Conflict	160,000		90,000	70,000
	HARVARD UNIVERSITY Cambridge, Massachusetts				
	For general support of the Program on Negotiation (Awarded in 1987 for \$450,000)		75,000	75,000	
	UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII Honolulu, Hawaii		1 -		475
	For general support of the Program on Conflict Resolution (Awarded in 1989 for \$200,000)		200,000	200,000	
	UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN Ann Arbor, Michigan				
	For general support of the Program on Conflict Management Alternatives (Awarded in 1988 for \$280,000)		140,000	140,000	
	UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA Minneapolis, Minnesota				
	For general support of the Conflict and Change Center		150 000	150,000	
	(Awarded in 1989 for \$300,000) NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY		150,000	150,000	
	Evanston, Illinois For general support of the Dispute Resolution Research Center (Awarded in 1989 for \$400,000)		250,000	125,000	125,000

[■] Grants newly authorized in 1990 are highlighted by square boxes.

	Conflict Resolution: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
-	OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY Columbus, Ohio				
	For general support of the Dispute Resolution Group	25,000		25,000	
•	PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY University Park, Pennsylvania				
	For general support of the Center for Research in Conflict and Negotiation	270,000		90,000	180,000
	RAND CORPORATION Santa Monica, California			-	
	For general support of the Institute for Civil Justice (Awarded in 1989 for \$250,000)		160,000	80,000	80,000
	RUTGERS—STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW JERSEY Newark, New Jersey				
	For general support of the Center for Negotiation and Conflict Resolution (Awarded in 1989 for \$300,000)		200,000	100,000	100,000
	STANFORD UNIVERSITY Stanford, California				
	For general support of the Stanford Center on Conflict and Negotiation (Awarded in 1989 for \$420,000)		270,000	140,000	130,000
	SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY Syracuse, New York				
	For general support of the Program on the Analysis and Resolution of Conflicts	300,000		100,000	200,000
	UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN, MADISON Madison, Wisconsin				
	For general support of the Disputes Processing Research Program (Awarded in 1988 for \$250,000)		125,000	125,000	
	For general support of the Disputes Processing Research Program	240,000		100,000	140,000

	Conflict Resolution: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
	Practitioner Organizations				
	ALBAN INSTITUTE Washington, D.C.				
	For general support of conflict management activities	120,000		50,000	70,000
-	BERKELEY DISPUTE RESOLUTION SERVICE Berkeley, California				
	For general support	10,000		10,000	
	CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY DISPUTE SERVICES San Francisco, California				
	For general support (Awarded in 1988 for \$120,000)		30,000	30,000	
	CDR ASSOCIATES Boulder, Colorado				
	For general support (Awarded in 1988 for \$180,000)		50,000	50,000	
	CENTER FOR DISPUTE SETTLEMENT Washington, D.C.		8		
	For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$180,000)		120,000	60,000	60,000
	CENTER FOR MEDIATION OF DISPUTES Cincinnati, Ohio				
	For general support	75,000	20	35,000	40,000
	CONFLICT CLINIC, INC. Fairfax, Virginia			7.1-	
	For general support (Awarded in 1988 for \$225,000)		50,000	50,000	
	COUNCIL OF BETTER BUSINESS BUREAUS Arlington, Virginia				
	For general support of alternative dispute resolution activities	150,000		100,000	50,000
-	EAST PALO ALTO COMMUNITY LAW PROJECT East Palo Alto, California				
	For the evaluation of the Menlo-Atherton High School mediation program	25,000		25,000	

	Conflict Resolution: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
	JUSTICE CENTER OF ATLANTA				
	Atlanta, Georgia				
	For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$180,000)		90,000	60,000	30,000
-	MEDIATION CENTER FOR DISPUTE RESOLUTION				
	Saint Paul, Minnesota For general support	120,000		50,000	70,000
	MINNESOTA CITIZENS COUNCIL ON CRIME AND JUSTICE				
	Minneapolis, Minnesota For general support of the Center for				
	Victim-Offender Mediation (Awarded in 1989 for \$150,000)		100,000	50,000	50,000
-	NEW MEXICO CENTER FOR DISPUTE RESOLUTION				
	Santa Fe, New Mexico For general support	90,000		40,000	50,000
-	NORTHERN CALIFORNIA MEDIATION CENTER				
	Corte Madera, California	80.000		10,000	40,000
	For general support	80,000		40,000	40,000
	PENINSULA CONFLICT RESOLUTION CENTER				
	San Mateo, California				
	For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$120,000)		70,000	40,000	30,000
	PENNSYLVANIA ENVIRONMENTAL COUNCIL Philadelphia, Pennsylvania				
	For general support of Penn ACCORD, Center for Environmental Dispute				
	Resolution (Awarded in 1989 for \$80,000)		40,000	40,000	
	VOLUNTEERS OF AMERICA Everett, Washington		-	7 11 11	
	For general support of the Dispute Resolution Center of Snohomish County (Awarded in 1989 for \$75,000)		50,000	25,000	25,000
	WESTERN NETWORK Santa Fe, New Mexico			845 78	L
	For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$100,000)		50,000	30,000	20,000

Conflict Resolution: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid d Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/9
Promotion of the Field				
AMERICAN ARBITRATION ASSOCIATION New York, New York				
For general support (Awarded in 1988 for \$200,000)		60,000	60,000	
CALIFORNIA STATE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS BOARD Sacramento, California				
To support efforts to promote labor- management cooperation in public education	100,000		100,000	
INTERNATIONAL CITY MANAGEMENT ASSOCIATION Washington, D.C.			ela	
For general support of the Program for Community Problem Solving (Awarded in 1989 for \$150,000)		50,000	50,000	
UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS, AMHER Amherst, Massachusetts	ST			
For general support of the National Association for Mediation in Education (Awarded in 1989 for \$80,000)	ı	40,000	40,000	
NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF PEACEMAKIN AND CONFLICT RESOLUTION Fairfax, Virginia	IG			
For general support	70,000		50,000	20,000
NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR DISPUTE RESOLUTION Washington, D.C.				
For general support and to help expand the communications program	400,000		400,000	
SOCIETY OF PROFESSIONALS IN DISPUTE RESOLUTION (SPIDR) Washington, D.C.				
For general support (Awarded in 1987 for \$60,000)		15,000	15,000	
For general support	140,000		80,000	60,000
TOTAL CONFLICT RESOLUTION	\$2,870,000	\$2,385,000	\$3,335,000	\$1,920,000

Education

rants in the education program are made to promote the underlying strengths of recipient institutions rather than to meet their short-term,

specific needs. Most of the grants are made in the categories described below. For the few made outside these categories, preference is generally given to umbrella organizations or to activities that serve a number of institutions.

The Foundation makes grants to strengthen networks of major research libraries, reflecting the conviction that only through collaboration can libraries maintain cost-effective, high-quality services. The Foundation does not help meet the needs of individual libraries or disciplines.

Like research libraries, university presses play a crucial role in the dissemination of new knowledge. The Foundation seeks proposals that promise benefits to presses generally. The Foundation also supports international and area studies at major research universities and at selective private liberal arts colleges. Participation in these programs is by invitation.

The Foundation makes grants to strengthen comprehensive teaching and research programs of academic institutions in the United States and Mexico that focus on relations between these countries. Of particular interest are broad-based centers of research that will improve communication between consumers and providers of policy research, cooperate with other research programs, address regional and local concerns, and involve local educators and journalists.

Maintaining funds for institutional renewal is difficult in times of budget stringency. The Foundation has made challenge grants to establish presidential discretionary fund endowments for faculty and curriculum development and for other activities at selective private liberal arts colleges. A second round of supplementary grants to those institutions is underway. The Foundation makes similar grants to research universities with strong traditional commitments to improving undergraduate education. Participation in both programs is by invitation.

In conjunction with the Bush Foundation, the Foundation supports programs for capital campaigns and faculty development at private black colleges. The Foundation also supports programs designed to improve the educational achievement of underrepresented minority students.

The Foundation helps strengthen elementary and secondary schools by funding efforts to reform public policy at the state level and by funding broad-based partnerships between schools and universities and colleges. It does not fund individual programs of research, staff and curriculum development, or other service programs.

The Foundation does not encourage requests to fund student aid, construction, equipment purchases including computers, education research, basic scientific research, health research, or health education programs. In general, the Foundation discourages requests benefitting individual institutions except as these may explicitly relate to other Foundation objectives.

	Education: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
	-	1			
	Research Libraries				
	AMERICAN TRUST FOR THE BRITISH LIBRARY Cambridge, Massachusetts				
	To complete expansion of the American collection	\$150,000		\$150,000	
	BOSTON UNIVERSITY, WORLD DEVELOPMENT INSTITUTE Boston, Massachusetts				
	To complete and publish results of theinternational policy research seminar series	25,000		25,000	
	COMMISSION ON PRESERVATION AND ACCESS Washington, D.C.	20,000	-	20,000	
	For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$300,000)		150,000	150,000	
	RESEARCH LIBRARIES GROUP, INC. Mountain View, California				
	For general support of research and development for Research Libraries Information Network (Awarded in 1987 for \$750,000)		250,000	250,000	
	Independent Research Libraries			7	
•	FOLGER SHAKESPEARE LIBRARY Washington, D.C.				
	For a retrospective exhibition of recent acquisitions	25,000		25,000	
•	NEWBERRY LIBRARY Chicago, Illinois				
	For the 1990–1991 public outreach concert series	25,000		25,000	
	University Presses				
	ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN UNIVERSITY PRESSES, INC. New York, New York				
- 19	For general support to establish minority fellowships (Awarded in 1989 for \$75,000)		50,000	25,000	25,00

[■] Grants newly authorized in 1990 are highlighted by square boxes.

Education: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
n				
Research Universities: Internation Area Studies Program	mal and			
Challenge grants to endow discretiona and other international studies (match				
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO GRADUATE SCHOOL OF INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS/PACIFIC STUDIES				
La Jolla, California (Awarded in 1989 for \$200,000)		200,000	70,000	130,000
DUKE UNIVERSITY, CENTER FOR		200,000	70,000	150,000
INTERNATIONAL STUDIES Durham, North Carolina				
(Awarded in 1989 for \$200,000)		200,000		200,000
HARVARD UNIVERSITY, CENTER FOR INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS				
Cambridge, Massachusetts (Awarded in 1986 for \$200,000)		97,000	97,000	
MONTEREY INSTITUTE OF INTERNATIONAL STUDIES				
Monterey, California	300,000			300,000
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME, HELEN KELLOGG INSTITUTE FOR INTERNATIONAL STUDIES				
South Bend, Indiana	300,000			300,000
UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH				
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania (Awarded in 1987 for \$200,000)		150,000	150,000	
		130,000	130,000	
ROYAL INSTITUTE OF INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS				
London, United Kingdom	300,000		112,000	188,000
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA. SCHOOL OF INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS				
Los Angeles, California (Awarded in 1989 for \$200,000)		200,000		200,000
STANFORD UNIVERSITY, INSTITUTE OF INTERNATIONAL STUDIES				
Stanford, California				
(Awarded in 1986 for \$500,000)	P. Level Co.	500,000		500,000
Challenge grants to increase discretion	ary			
fund endowments for area and				
international studies (matching grant	55)			
COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY, SCHOOL OF INTERNATIONAL AND PUBLIC AFFAIRS				
New York, New York		200 000		200.000
(Awarded in 1988 for \$300,000)		300,000		300,000

Education: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY, SCHOOL OF FOREIGN SERVICE				
Washington, D.C.		12/2/20/20/20/20		127272121212
(Awarded in 1989 for \$300,000)		300,000	100,000	200,00
JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, SCHOOL OF ADVANCED INTERNATIONAL STUDIES				
Washington, D.C.		200 000	122.000	1.7.00
(Awarded in 1988 for \$300,000)		300,000	133,000	167,00
UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, SCHOOL OF GRADUATE STUDIES (RACKHAM GRADUATE SCHOOL)				
Ann Arbor, Michigan				
(Awarded in 1988 for \$300,000)		300,000	25,000	275,00
TUFTS UNIVERSITY, FLETCHER SCHOOL OF LAW AND DIPLOMACY				
Medford, Massachusetts			Name and the second	
(Awarded in 1989 for \$300,000)		225,000	67,000	158,00
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON				
Seattle, Washington				
(Awarded in 1988 for \$300,000)		89,000	67,000	22,00
UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN,				
INTERNATIONAL				
STUDIES AND PROGRAMS				
Madison, Wisconsin				
(Awarded in 1988 for \$300,000)		300,000		300,00
U.S./Mexico Studies				
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AND RESOURCE ECONOMICS Berkeley, California				
To support a binational policy research				
study of a U.SMexico Free Trade				
Agreement	25,000		25,000	
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, PROGRAM ON MEXICO Los Angeles, California				
For the program on U.SMexico relations	525,000		175,000	350,000
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO, CENTER FOR U.SMEXICAN STUDIES La Jolla, California				
For the program on U.SMexico				
relations	525,000		175,000	350,000

_	Education: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
•	CENTRO DE TECNOLOGIA ELECTRONICA E INFORMATICA				
	Mexico City, Mexico				
	For the Mexico-U.S. Technology program	25,000		25,000	
-	CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK, BILDNER CENTER FOR WESTERN HEMISPHERE STUDIES				
	New York, New York				
	For the program on U.SMexico relations	200,000		100,000	100,000
	COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY, INSTITUTE OF LATIN AMERICAN AND IBERIAN STUDIES New York, New York				
	To support programs on U.SMexico relations				
	(Awarded in 1989 for \$300,000)		200,000	22.000	200,000
	EL COLEGIO DE LA FRONTERA NORTE Chula Vista, California				
	For general support of institutional development for U.SMexico Relations Studies				
	(Awarded in 1989 for \$200,000)		100,000	100,000	
	EL COLEGIO DE MEXICO Mexico City, Mexico				
	For the U.SMexico Program (Awarded in 1989 for \$300,000)		300,000	100,000	200,000
•	INSTITUTO TECNOLOGICO AUTONOMO DE MEXICO				
	Mexico City, Mexico For general support of the Center on United States–Mexico Policy Relations	200,000		100,000	100,000
	INSTITUTO TECNOLOGICO Y DE ESTUDIOS SUPERIORES DE MONTERREY				
	Monterrey, Mexico				
	For general support of the United States— Mexico Studies Program	200,000		100,000	100,000
	JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, SCHOOL OF ADVANCED INTERNATIONAL STUDIES Washington, D.C.				
	For general support of the Program on U.SMexico Relations				

Education: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
UNIVERSITY OF NEW MEXICO, THE SCHOOL OF LAW Albuquerque, New Mexico				
For the International Transboundary Resource Center (Awarded in 1989 for \$300,000)		200,000	100,000	100,000
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, CENTER FOR INTERNATIONAL JOURNALISM				
Los Angeles, California For a trip to Washington, D.C., for participating fellows	14,000		14,000	
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, SCHOOL OF INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS Los Angeles, California				
For general support of the California- Mexico Project (Awarded in 1989 for \$150,000)		50,000	50,000	
STANFORD UNIVERSITY, INSTITUTE OF INTERNATIONAL STUDIES Stanford, California				
For general support of the Project on U.SMexico Relations (Awarded in 1988 for \$450,000)		300,000	125,000	175,00
UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS, AUSTIN, L.B.J. SCHOOL OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS Austin, Texas		3		
For general support of the Program for U.SMexican Policy Studies (Awarded in 1988 for \$300,000)		100,000		100,000
Liberal Arts Colleges: Self-Renewe	al Program			
To help endow presidential discretionar funds at liberal arts colleges (matching				
ALLEGHENY COLLEGE Meadville, Pennsylvania	250,000			250,00
BARD COLLEGE Annandale-on-Hudson, New York (Awarded in 1988 for \$250,000)		100,000	100,000	
BUCKNELL UNIVERSITY Lewisburg, Pennsylvania	250,000			250,000

	Education: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
	CALVIN COLLEGE				
	Grand Rapids, Michigan				
	(Awarded in 1988 for \$250,000)		175,000	75,000	100,000
	COLGATE UNIVERSITY				
	Hamilton, New York				
	(Awarded in 1987 for \$250,000)		217,000	109,000	108,000
	COLLEGE OF WOOSTER				
	Wooster, Ohio	250,000		50,000	200,000
	COLOR IDO COLLEGE				
-	COLORADO COLLEGE Colorado Springs, Colorado	250,000			250,000
	Colorado Springs, Colorado	250,000			250,000
	DAVIDSON COLLEGE				
	Davidson, North Carolina				
	(Awarded in 1988 for \$250,000)		123,000		123,000
	DENISON UNIVERSITY				
	Granville, Ohio	250,000			250,000
_	DIGWINGON CONTROL				
-	Carlisle, Pennsylvania	250,000			250,000
	Carnsic, Pennsylvania	230,000			230,000
	EARLHAM COLLEGE				
	Richmond, Indiana				
	(Awarded in 1988 for \$250,000)		122,000	72,000	50,000
	FRANKLIN AND MARSHALL COLLEGE				
	Lancaster, Pennsylvania				
	(Awarded in 1988 for \$250,000)		250,000	242,000	8,000
	GETTYSBURG COLLEGE				
	Gettysburg, Pennsylvania				
	(Awarded in 1987 for \$250,000)		55,000	55,000	
	(Landing Landing Landi		20,000	50,000	
	GOUCHER COLLEGE				
	Towson, Maryland		100 000		05 000
	(Awarded in 1988 for \$250,000)		188,000	103,000	85,000
	HAMPSHIRE COLLEGE				
	Amherst, Massachusetts				
	(Awarded in 1989 for \$250,000)		250,000	28,000	222,000
	HARVEY MUDD COLLEGE				
	Claremont, California				
	(Awarded in 1989 for \$250,000)		167,000	100,000	67,000
	The second secon			,	-, ,- ,-
-	HAVERFORD COLLEGE	250 000			250 000
	Haverford, Pennsylvania	250,000			250,000
	KENYON COLLEGE				
	Gambier, Ohio	250,000			250,000
-	LAKE FOREST COLLEGE	250,000			250,000
	Lake Forest, Illinois	250,000			250,000

Education: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
LEHIGH UNIVERSITY				
Bethlehem, Pennsylvania				
(Awarded in 1989 for \$250,000)		250,000	82,000	168,000
LINFIELD COLLEGE				
McMinnville, Oregon				
(Awarded in 1985 for \$250,000)		151,000	151,000	
MACALESTER COLLEGE				
Saint Paul, Minnesota				
(Awarded in 1989 for \$250,000)		250,000	50,000	200,000
(21 Warning III 1505 for \$250,000)		250,000	50,000	200,000
MOUNT HOLYOKE COLLEGE				
South Hadley, Massachusetts				12.22
(Awarded in 1989 for \$250,000)		250,000		250,000
OCCIDENTAL COLLEGE				
Los Angeles, California				
(Awarded in 1986 for \$250,000)		87,000	87,000	
PITZER COLLEGE				
Claremont, California				
(Awarded in 1985 for \$100,000)		70,000	40,000	30,000
POWOVA COLLEGE				
POMONA COLLEGE				
Claremont, California		250 000	90,000	170.000
(Awarded in 1989 for \$250,000)		250,000	80,000	170,000
UNIVERSITY OF REDLANDS				
Redlands, California				
(Awarded in 1986 for \$200,000)		88,000	62,000	26,000
REED COLLEGE				
Portland, Oregon				
(Awarded in 1989 for \$250,000)		250,000		250,000
ST. LAWRENCE UNIVERSITY				
Canton, New York				
(Awarded in 1987 for \$250,000)		156,000	156,000	
		8		
ST. OLAF COLLEGE	250,000		25 000	225 000
Northfield, Minnesota	250,000		25,000	225,000
SKIDMORE COLLEGE				
Saratoga Springs, New York				
(Awarded in 1989 for \$250,000)		250,000	35,000	215,000
TRINITY COLLEGE				
Hartford, Connecticut				
(Awarded in 1987 for \$250,000)		200,000	200,000	
VELLESLEY COLLEGE				
Vellesley, Massachusetts				
(Awarded in 1988 for \$250,000)		195,000	96,000	99,000

	Education: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
	WESLEYAN UNIVERSITY				
	Middletown, Connecticut		50,000	50,000	
	(Awarded in 1987 for \$250,000)		50,000	50,000	
	WHEATON COLLEGE				
	Norton, Massachusetts				
	(Awarded in 1989 for \$250,000)		250,000	77,000	173,000
	WILLIAMS COLLEGE				
	Williamstown, Massachusetts				
	(Awarded in 1987 for \$250,000)		81,000	81,000	
	1		- (#100 # A - 100)		
	To support multidisciplinary				
	international policy studies				
	BRYN MAWR COLLEGE				
	Bryn Mawr, Pennsylvania				
	(Awarded in 1989 for \$75,000)		37,000		37,000
	(11 1 1 1 1 1 1		0,,000		0,,000
-	CARLETON COLLEGE				
	Northfield, Minnesota	75,000		38,000	37,000
	COLBY COLLEGE				
	Waterville, Maine	75,000		38,000	37,000
	COLORADO COLLEGE				
	Colorado Springs, Colorado		27 000		27 000
	(Awarded in 1989 for \$75,000)		37,000		37,000
	CONNECTICUT COLLEGE				
	New London, Connecticut	75,000		38,000	37,000
-	DARTHOUTH COLLEGE				
•	Hanover, New Hampshire	75,000		38,000	37,000
	Tranover, New Trampsime	73,000		36,000	37,000
	DAVIDSON COLLEGE				
	Davidson, North Carolina				
	(Awarded in 1989 for \$75,000)		37,000		37,000
	EARLHAM COLLEGE				
	Richmond, Indiana				
	(Awarded in 1989 for \$75,000)		37,000		37,000
	THE COLLEGES TO				
	FIVE COLLEGES, INC., FIVE COLLEGE PROGRAM				
	Amherst, Massachusetts				
	(Awarded in 1989 for \$90,000)		45,000		45,000
	CHARLETT COLLEGE				
	GRINNELL COLLEGE Grinnell, Iowa				
	(Awarded in 1989 for \$75,000)		37,000		37,000
	(21mm/mem vii 1909 jui \$75,000)		57,000		37,000
	HAVERFORD COLLEGE				
	Haverford, Pennsylvania	75,000		38,000	37,000

	Education: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
	KALAMAZOO COLLEGE				
	Kalamazoo, Michigan	75,000		38,000	37,000
	MACALESTER COLLEGE				
	Saint Paul, Minnesota	75,000		38,000	37,000
	MIDDLEBURY COLLEGE				
	Middlebury, Vermont (Awarded in 1989 for \$75,000)		37,000		37,000
	*****		37,000		37,000
	POMONA COLLEGE Claremont, California				
	(Awarded in 1989 for \$75,000)		37,000		37,000
	UNIVERSITY OF PUGET SOUND				
	Tacoma, Washington	75,000		38,000	37,000
	SWARTHMORE COLLEGE				
	Swarthmore, Pennsylvania				
	(Awarded in 1989 for \$75,000)		37,000	37,000	
	WELLESLEY COLLEGE				
	Wellesley, Massachusetts				
	(Awarded in 1989 for \$75,000)		37,000		37,000
	WESLEYAN UNIVERSITY				
	Middletown, Connecticut	75,000		38,000	37,000
•	WILLIAMS COLLEGE				
	Williamstown, Massachusetts	75,000		38,000	37,000
	Undergraduate Colleges: Self-Ren To help establish discretionary fund end improvement of undergraduate educat	owments for the			
	CASE WESTERN RESERVE UNIVERSITY Cleveland, Ohio				
	(Awarded in 1988 for \$300,000)		300,000	259,000	41,000
	UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO				
	Chicago, Illinois (Awarded in 1985 for \$300,000)		145,000	145,000	
	COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY		143,000	143,000	
	New York, New York (Awarded in 1986 for \$300,000)		50,000	50,000	
	CORNELL UNIVERSITY				
	Ithaca, New York		200 000	200,000	
			300,000	300,000	
	(Awarded in 1986 for \$300,000)				

Education: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN				
Ann Arbor, Michigan				
(Awarded in 1987 for \$300,000)		249,000		249,000
PRINCETON UNIVERSITY				
Princeton, New Jersey		15 000	15 000	
(Awarded in 1984 for \$300,000)		15,000	15,000	
UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER				
Rochester, New York (Awarded in 1987 for \$300,000)		100,000	100,000	
After the objection of the discount of the countries of the countries and the countries of		100,000	100,000	
STANFORD UNIVERSITY Stanford, California				
(Awarded in 1987 for \$300,000)		74,000	74,000	
Private Black Colleges				
BUSH FOUNDATION Saint Paul, Minnesota				
For the Program of Faculty Development				
Grants for private black colleges and				
universities (Benedict College, Claflin				
College, Clark Atlanta University,				
Dillard University, Fisk University,				
Florida Memorial College, Hampton				
University, Jarvis Christian College,				
Johnson C. Smith College, LeMoyne-Owen				
College, Morris Brown College, Morehouse				
College, Paine College, Rust College,				
St. Augustine's College, Spelman College,				
Stillman College, Talladega College, Tougaloo College, Tuskegee Institute,				
Voorhees College, and Xavier University)	578,000		578,000	
For the Program of Capital Campaign			7.7.7.2.4	
Challenge Grants for private black				
colleges (Claflin College, Rust College,				
and Wilberforce University)	175,000		175,000	
Minority Achievement				
ACHIEVEMENT COUNCIL				
Oakland, California				
For general support	525,000		175,000	350,000
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY,				
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING				
Berkeley, California				
For general support of the three-campus				
Center for Underrepresented Engineering				
Students		255 000	225 000	180.00
(Awarded in 1989 for \$675,000)		375,000	225,000	150,000

	Education: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
	9)				
-	EDUCATION COMMISSION OF THE STATES (ECS)				
	Denver, Colorado				
	To support the implementation efforts of the ECS National Task Force for Minority Achievement	25,000		25,000	
	MARCUS A. FOSTER EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTE Oakland, California				
	For general support				
	(Awarded in 1987 for \$300,000)		100,000	100,000	
	SAN FRANCISCO EDUCATION FUND San Francisco, California				
	For the "HERALD" program to improve speaking and writing skills of high-school students				
	(Awarded in 1988 for \$225,000)		75,000	75,000	
	STANFORD MID-PENINSULA URBAN COALITION Palo Alto, California				
	For the "Say Yes to a Youngster's				
	Future" program	15,000		15,000	
	TOMAS RIVERA CENTER Claremont, California				
	For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$300,000)		200,000	100,000	100,000
	WOODROW WILSON NATIONAL FELLOWSHIP FOUNDATION Princeton, New Jersey				
	For general support and evaluation of the Black Scholars Program	100,000		100,000	
	THE BLUCK SCHOLUTS Program	100,000		100,000	
	International Education				
	ASPEN INSTITUTE FOR HUMANISTIC STUDIES Washington, D.C.		- A		
	For general support of the				
	Inter-American Dialogue (Awarded in 1989 for \$300,000)		175,000	100,000	75,000
1	COUNCIL ON FOREIGN RELATIONS New York, New York				
	For the Studies Program for U.S.				
	foreign policy	300,000		100,000	200,000

Education: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
FEDERAL RESERVE BANK OF				
SAN FRANCISCO				
San Francisco, California				
For the Center for Pacific Basin Monetary and Economic Studies	25,000		25,000	
SAN DIEGO STATE UNIVERSITY, INTERNATIONAL STUDIES EDUCATION PROJECT OF SAN DIEGO San Diego, California				
For the Task Force on Mexico	25,000		25,000	
STANFORD UNIVERSITY, INSTITUTE OF INTERNATIONAL STUDIES Stanford, California				
To support the California International Studies Project (CISP) (formerly the Bay Area Global Education Program)				
(Awarded in 1987 for \$525,000)		175,000	175,000	
For general support of CISP	350,000		175,000	175,000
WORLD AFFAIRS COUNCIL OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA San Francisco, California	4 4			
For general support (Awarded in 1988 for \$300,000)		100,000	100,000	
world forum of silicon valley San Jose, California				
For general support	150,000		50,000	100,000
Public Education/General				
AFS INTERCULTURAL PROGRAMS, INC. Palo Alto, California				
For a recruiting program	25,000		25,000	
AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH Palo Alto, California				
For evaluation of the Marva Collins Complex				- 1- 2- 1-2-13-10-0
(Awarded in 1987 for \$150,000)		16,000		16,000
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, INSTITUTE OF URBAN AND REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT Berkeley, California				
For the University/Oakland Metropolitan				
Forum's Commission for Positive Change in the Oakland Public Schools	50,000		50,000	

	Education: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, POLICY ANALYSIS FOR CALIFORNIA EDUCATION (PACE) Berkeley, California				
	For general support (Awarded in 1988 for \$600,000)		125,000	125,000	
-	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, UNIVERSITY RESEARCH EXPEDITIONS PROGRAM Berkeley, California				
	For the Teacher Research Participation Program	25,000		25,000	
	COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY, TEACHERS COLLEGE New York, New York				
	For the Program in Education for a Changing Society	15,000		15,000	
	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, BOARD OF EDUCATION Washington, D.C.				
	For general support of the Hyde School Project	5,000		5,000	
•	RAVENSWOOD CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT East Palo Alto, California				
	For general support of the library improvement project	100,000		100,000	
	TIDES FOUNDATION San Francisco, California				
	For the New California Alliance "choices" project (Awarded in 1989 for \$4,000)		3,500	3,500	
	TRINITY UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION				
	San Antonio, Texas For the "Alliance for Better Schools" project				
	(Awarded in 1989 for \$225,000)		125,000	75,000	50,000

Organ	ation: nizations ategory)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
Uni	versity–School Partnershi	hs			
BROW	N UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT				
Provi	dence, Rhode Island				
Schoo	pport the University–Provide Is collaborative effort urded in 1989 for \$300,000)	nce Public	175,000	100,000	75.000
(Awi	irueu in 1989 for \$300,000)		175,000	100,000	75,000
SCHO	ERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKE OL OF EDUCATION ley, California	LEY,			
	he School–University Partner	ship			
	ducational Renewal project				
	ırded in 1988 for \$450,000)		250,000	150,000	100,000
	s COLLEGE nd, California				
	he Mills College–John Swett onstration School Partnership	50,000		25,000	25,000
EDUC	FORD UNIVERSITY, SCHOOL OF ATION ord, California				
For g	eneral support of the Stanford Is Collaborative	300,000	- E	100,000	200,000
FORT	ERSITY OF WASHINGTON, INST HE STUDY OF EDUCATION POL e, Washington				
For g	eneral support of the Nationa ork for Educational Renewal cluate school-university parts	l program			
	rded in 1988 for \$320,000)		100,000	100,000	
Edu	cational Associations				
	ICAN COUNCIL ON EDUCATION ngton, D.C.	V			
For go	eneral support	300,000		100,000	200,000
	EIATION OF AMERICAN UNIVER	SITIES			
	e Congressional-University quium	25,000		25,000	
	ENDENT COLLEGES OF NORTH ORNIA ancisco, California	ERN			
San E.					

	Education: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid d Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
	Other				
	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT Oakland, California				
	To support a supplementary volume to the centennial record	25,000		25,000	
	To support a series of historical monographs	25,000		25,000	
	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES CHICANO STUDIES RESEARCH CENTER Los Angeles, California	,			
	For the "Latino Identity and California Society" project (Awarded in 1988 for \$600,000)		400,000	400,000	
	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA CRUZ Santa Cruz, California				
	For general support of the Career Development Fellowship Program for black South Africans (Awarded in 1988 for \$225,000)		75,000		75,000
•	CHARLES ARMSTRONG SCHOOL Belmont, California				
	For educational research and development	25,000		25,000	
	MILLS COLLEGE Oakland, California				
	For the Mills Leadership Institute	25,000			25,000
	SOUTHERN AFRICAN FREEDOM THROUGH EDUCATION FOUNDATION (SAFTE) Berkeley, California				
	For general support	75,000		25,000	50,000
	TOTAL EDUCATION	\$9,627,000	\$13,916,500	\$10,301,500	\$13,242,000

Environment

he goals of the environment program are to improve decisionmaking on environmental issues and to encourage more intelligent and rewarding uses of the natural environment for education, conservation, and development. The Foundation emphasizes general support grants and does not typically support specific research or demonstration projects. The program includes four categories of grants.

In the first category the Foundation supports organizations that produce policy-oriented studies or that disseminate information on a broad range of environmental issues of concern to United States policymakers at the national, regional, or state levels. Foundation support is directed to organizations working on issues of domestic importance or problems of international significance if there is a United States policy concern. The Foundation does not support advocacy or litigating organizations, however.

In the second category the Foundation makes grants to organizations that study, document, or demonstrate how environmental decisionmaking processes could be improved. The Foundation's interest is primarily focused on convening and facilitating organizations, as well as on those groups exploring new ways of effectively approaching environmental programs by collaborative action that protects the legitimate interests of all parties involved.

The third category consists of grants to selected university and college environmental studies programs at the graduate and undergraduate levels and to university consortiums focused on specific problem areas. The Foundation also supports a limited number of non-university, field-based research and training centers. Grants in this area are directed toward enhancing the interdisciplinary scope and policy relevance of research and improving problem-solving training for students.

In the fourth category the Foundation supports a limited number of organizations that conduct coordinated efforts on a national scale to acquire, preserve, or improve the management of unique, ecologically significant land. The Foundation also supports organizations that work with local land trusts, governmental agencies, or other groups toward the same ends.

1	Environment: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
	Policy Analysis				
	AMERICAN FARMLAND TRUST Washington, D.C.				
	For general support of environmental policy work	\$150,000		\$70,000	\$80,00
8	CENTER FOR RESOURCE ECONOMICS, ISLAND PRESS Washington, D.C.				
	For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$150,000)	d 1944	60,000	60,000	
	CENTER FOR SCIENCE INFORMATION San Francisco, California				
	For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$120,000)		70,000	40,000	30,000
	CENTER FOR THE GREAT LAKES Chicago, Illinois				
	For general support (matching grant) (Awarded in 1987 for \$90,000)		50,000	30,000	20,000
	CONSERVATION FOUNDATION Washington, D.C.				
	For general support (Awarded in 1988 for \$450,000)		150,000	150,000	*
Carlotte.	UNIVERSITY OF DENVER, CENTER FOR PUBLIC POLICY AND CONTEMPORARY ISSUES Denver, Colorado				
	For general support of the Western Policy Program	20,000		20,000	
1	ENVIRONMENTAL AND ENERGY STUDY INSTITUTE				
	Washington, D.C.				75
	For general support (Awarded in 1987 for \$300,000)		70,000	70,000	
	ENVIRONMENTAL LAW INSTITUTE Washington, D.C.				
	For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$300,000)		180,000	100,000	80,000

[■] Grants newly authorized in 1990 are highlighted by square boxes.

	Environment: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
	INFORM New York, New York				
	For general support	180,000		60,000	120,00
	NATIONAL CENTER FOR POLICY ALTERNATIVES Washington, D.C.	A. S.			7
	For general support of environmental activities				
	(Awarded in 1989 for \$120,000)		80,000	40,000	40,000
	NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF STATE LEGISLATURES Denver, Colorado				
	To support work in the areas of energy and natural resources (Awarded in 1989 for \$150,000)		90,000	50,000	40,000
	NORTHEAST MIDWEST INSTITUTE Washington, D.C.	1 1 5			
	For general support of work in the areas of natural resources and energy (Awarded in 1988 for \$150,000)		25,000	25,000	
	RENEW AMERICA Washington, D.C.		70 100		
	For general support (Awarded in 1988 for \$150,000)		30,000	30,000	
	RESOURCES FOR THE FUTURE Washington, D.C.				
	For general support	150,000		100,000	50,000
	ROCKY MOUNTAIN INSTITUTE Old Snowmass, Colorado				
107. 19	For general support	200,000		90,000	110,000
	western governors [,] association Denver, Colorado				
	For general support of work in the areas of natural resources and the environment (Awarded in 1989 for \$240,000)		160,000	80,000	80,000
-	WOODS HOLE RESEARCH CENTER		100,000	80,000	80,000
	Woods Hole, Massachusetts For general support	150,000		50,000	100,000

Environment: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90

WORLD RESOURCES INSTITUTE Washington, D.C.				
For general support (Awarded in 1988 for \$300,000)		100,000	100,000	
WORLDWATCH INSTITUTE Washington, D.C.				
For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$250,000)		130,000	80,000	50,000
Decisionmaking Processes				
AMERICAN ENERGY ASSURANCE COUNCIL Denver, Colorado				
For general support (matching grant) (Awarded in 1989 for \$300,000)		150,000	150,000	
AMERICANS FOR INDIAN OPPORTUNITY Washington, D.C.				
For general support of the governance program	50,000		25,000	25,000
CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL TRUST San Francisco, California				
For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$250,000)		160,000	80,000	80,000
For general support of the Bay Vision 2020 Commission	75,000		75,000	
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS Sacramento, California				
For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$120,000)		60,000	60,000	
CENTER FOR CLEAN AIR POLICY Washington, D.C.				
For general support (Awarded in 1988 for \$150,000)		75,000	75,000	
CENTER FOR POLICY NEGOTIATION, COMMON GROUND Boston, Massachusetts				
For general support	120,000		80,000	40,000
CLEAN SITES, INC. Alexandria, Virginia				
For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$300,000)		100,000	100,000	

Environment: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
COMMITTEE FOR WATER POLICY CONSENSUS Concord, California				
For general support of the State Water Conservation Coalition (Awarded in 1989 for \$160,000)		80,000	80,000	
INSTITUTE FOR RESOURCE MANAGEMENT Salt Lake City, Utah				
For general support (Awarded in 1988 for \$300,000)	-11	80,000	80,000	
INSTITUTE FOR THE NATURAL HERITAGE Sausalito, California				
For the water use efficiency project	25,000		25,000	
NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR CHEMICAL STUDIES Charleston, West Virginia				
For general support	200,000		80,000	120,000
NORTHERN LIGHTS RESEARCH AND EDUCATION INSTITUTE Missoula, Montana				
For general support	120,000		60,000	60,00
NORTHWEST RENEWABLE RESOURCES CENTER Seattle, Washington				
For general support (matching grant) (Awarded in 1989 for \$160,000)		100,000	40,000	60,000
SAN FRANCISCO BAY-DELTA AQUATIC HABITAT INSTITUTE Richmond, California				
For general support	200,000		80,000	120,000
WATER EDUCATION FOUNDATION Sacramento, California	T. Jole			A ^r
To support policy- and consensus- building activities (Awarded in 1989 for \$70,000)		35,000		35,000
WATER POLLUTION CONTROL FEDERATION Alexandria, Virginia				
For the Water Quality 2000 project	80,000		80,000	

	Environment: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
	Environmental Education				
-	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY Berkeley, California				
	For general support of the Energy and Resources Group and to help endow a discretionary trust fund (matching grant)	100,000		50,000	50,000
	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES Los Angeles, California				
	For general support of the Environmental Science/Engineering Program in policy research and problem-solving teaching (Awarded in 1988 for \$150,000)		75,000	75,000	
	(11441444 14 1766) (1 \$150,000)		75,000	75,000	-
	UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN Ann Arbor, Michigan				
	To help endow a discretionary trust fund for the School of Natural Resources (matching grant)	250,000			250,000
-	ORGANIZATION FOR TROPICAL STUDIES Durham, North Carolina				
	For the Decisionmakers Program	240,000		80,000	160,000
	PRINCETON UNIVERSITY Princeton, New Jersey				
	For general support of training and policy research at the Center for Energy and Environmental Studies				
	(Awarded in 1985 for \$260,000)		90,000	90,000	
	UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON Seattle, Washington				
	To help endow a discretionary trust fund for the Institute for Marine Studies and the Institute for Environmental Studies (matching grant)	250,000			250,000
	UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN	200,000			230,000
	Madison, Wisconsin For general support of the activities of the Institute for Environmental Studies in policy research and problem-solving curriculum (Awarded in 1987 for \$275,000)		75,000	75,000	

0	nvironment: rganizations ry Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
I	and Acquisition and Preservation				
10000	MERICAN RIVERS Vashington, D.C.				
	or activities designed to protect				
ec	ologically significant rivers	100,000		40,000	60,000
	ENTER FOR PLANT CONSERVATION maica Plain, Massachusetts		No. A		
	or general support Awarded in 1988 for \$180,000)		40,000	40,000	
	AND TRUST ALLIANCE Vashington, D.C.				
F	or general support	150,000		60,000	90,000
FC	ATIONAL FISH AND WILDLIFE DUNDATION ashington, D.C.				
	or general support Awarded in 1988 for \$150,000)		25,000	25,000	
	ATURE CONSERVANCY lington, Virginia				
lei	or general support of scientific and adership activities Awarded in 1988 for \$300,000)		100,000	100,000	
OI	REGON RIVERS COUNCIL			Stop Promise Secretary	
Fa	or activities designed to protect ologically significant rivers	90,000		30,000	60,000
1	ANFORD UNIVERSITY anford, California				
	or general support of the Center for				
	onservation Biology				
(A.	Awarded in 1989 for \$300,000)		200,000	100,000	100,000
	OUT UNLIMITED enna, Virginia				
	support the design and				
	plementation of the Watershed onservancy Program	20,000		20,000	
	CUST FOR PUBLIC LAND in Francisco, California			89	
	or general support of the local land				
	ust program				
	warded in 1987 for \$195,000)		55,000	55,000	

LIFORNIA ACADEMY OF SCIENCES in Francisco, California in general support of the research division in warded in 1987 for \$500,000) INVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, STITUTE OF GOVERNMENTAL STUDIES in the ley, California is support documentation and analysis of it work and decisionmaking procedures the Bay Vision 2020 Commission INTERIST FOR THE EASTSHORE STATE PARK Dany, California in the park design project	5,000	200,000	100,000	5,000
r Francisco, California r general support of the research division warded in 1987 for \$500,000) IVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, STITUTE OF GOVERNMENTAL STUDIES rkeley, California support documentation and analysis of work and decisionmaking procedures the Bay Vision 2020 Commission TIZENS FOR THE EASTSHORE STATE PARK bany, California		200,000	100,000	
warded in 1987 for \$500,000) IVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, STITUTE OF GOVERNMENTAL STUDIES rkeley, California support documentation and analysis of work and decisionmaking procedures the Bay Vision 2020 Commission FIZENS FOR THE EASTSHORE STATE PARK pany, California		200,000	100,000	
strute of Governmental Studies rkeley, California support documentation and analysis of work and decisionmaking procedures the Bay Vision 2020 Commission TIZENS FOR THE EASTSHORE STATE PARK pany, California				5,00
twork and decisionmaking procedures the Bay Vision 2020 Commission FIZENS FOR THE EASTSHORE STATE PARK bany, California				5,000
oany, California	20,000	*		
	20,000			
			20,000	
DDEN VILLA s Altos Hills, California				
renovate and upgrade the water opply system	25,000		25,000	
SSOURI BOTANICAL GARDEN nt Louis, Missouri				
r the Flora of North America project	25,000		25,000	
IENTISTS' INSTITUTE FOR PUBLIC FORMATION ew York, New York				
r general support of environmental tivities	50,000		50,000	
DES FOUNDATION, INSTITUTE FOR OBAL COMMUNICATIONS In Francisco, California				
r general support of the EcoNet and onflictNet programs	25,000		25,000	
	renovate and upgrade the water oply system SSOURI BOTANICAL GARDEN IN LOUIS, MISSOURI IN the Flora of North America project SENTISTS' INSTITUTE FOR PUBLIC FORMATION IN YORK, New York IN general support of environmental tivities DES FOUNDATION, INSTITUTE FOR OBAL COMMUNICATIONS IN Francisco, California IN general support of the Eco Net and conflict Net programs	renovate and upgrade the water oply system 25,000 SSOURI BOTANICAL GARDEN Int Louis, Missouri In the Flora of North America project 25,000 SENTISTS' INSTITUTE FOR PUBLIC FORMATION IN York, New York In general support of environmental stivities DES FOUNDATION, INSTITUTE FOR OBAL COMMUNICATIONS In Francisco, California In general support of the Eco Net and Conflict Net programs 25,000	ssouri botanical garden to the Flora of North America project to the Flora of North America pr	renovate and upgrade the water oply system 25,000 25,000 SSOURI BOTANICAL GARDEN Int Louis, Missouri or the Flora of North America project 25,000 25,000 EENTISTS' INSTITUTE FOR PUBLIC FORMATION ow York, New York or general support of environmental tivities 50,000 DES FOUNDATION, INSTITUTE FOR OBAL COMMUNICATIONS on Francisco, California or general support of the EcoNet and omflict Net programs 25,000 25,000 25,000 25,000

Performing Arts

he Hewlett Foundation's performing arts program makes grants to classical music ensembles, professional theatre and opera companies, and ballet and modern dance organizations for artistic, managerial, and institutional development. The Foundation also makes grants to groups providing a variety of services to Bay Area nonprofit film and video organizations.

Artist training programs and efforts to increase career opportunities for artists continue to be of interest to the Foundation. It will also consider proposals designed to increase the effectiveness of the field as a whole.

In keeping with the need for longterm, flexible support, the Foundation will recommend, whenever appropriate, that there be a matching requirement. The Foundation often recommends that a portion of the matching funds be applied to endowments or cash reserves rather than to current operating expenses to help ensure the long-term financial stability of its grantees.

The Foundation gives preference to independent nonprofit Bay Area organizations with an established record of artistic and administrative achievement, audience support and general audience appeal, and a realistic plan for artistic and organizational development.

The Foundation groups performing arts recommendations by discipline for presentation to its Board of Directors. This allows the Foundation to become familiar with the characteristics and needs in each

field. It also assists in planning and in the consistent application of criteria. While the Foundation does not expect to be able to adhere rigidly to the following schedule, it will make every effort to do so.

	Application Submitted by:	Application Reviewed in:
Music	January 1	April
Theatre	April 1	July
Dance	July I	October
Film/Video Service		
Organizations	July 1	October

The Foundation regrets that it cannot consider requests in the following areas: the visual or literary arts; radio, television documentaries or other films and videos; the humanities; elementary and secondary school programs; college or university proposals; community art classes; folk arts, including crafts and popular music; recreational, therapeutic, and social service arts programs; and individuals. The Hewlett Foundation does not support one-time events, such as seminars, conferences, festivals, or cultural foreign exchange programs, and does not provide assistance with touring costs for performing companies.

Performing Arts: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
16				
Music				
BAY AREA WOMEN'S PHILHARMONIC San Francisco, California				
For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$90,000)		\$40,000	\$20,000	\$20,00
BERKELEY SYMPHONY ORCHESTRA Berkeley, California				
For general support	150,000		50,000	100,00
CABRILLO MUSIC FESTIVAL Aptos, California				
For general support and a cash reserve				
(matching grant) (Awarded in 1988 for \$75,000)		25,000	25,000	
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY Berkeley, California				
For general support of the Young Musicians Program (matching grant)	100,000		10,000	90,00
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES				
Los Angeles, California				
For general support of the Nakamichi Baroque Music Festival	25,000		25,000	
CARMEL BACH FESTIVAL Carmel-by-the-Sea, California				
For general support and the endowment				
fund (matching grant) (Awarded in 1988 for \$100,000)		30,000	30,000	
CHAMBER MUSIC AMERICA New York, New York				
To establish an endowment for the Commissioning Program				
(matching grant) (Awarded in 1986 for \$150,000)		100,000	100,000	
CHAMBER SYMPHONY OF SAN FRANCISCO San Francisco, California				
For general support (matching grant) (Awarded in 1989 for \$120,000)		80,000	40,000	40,000

[■] Grants newly authorized in 1990 are highlighted by square boxes.

Performing Arts: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
FREMONT-NEWARK PHILHARMONIC Fremont, California				
For general support and the endowment fund (matching grant) (Awarded in 1988 for \$75,000)		37,000	25,000	12,000
HUMANITIES WEST San Francisco, California				
For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$60,000)		40,000	20,000	20,000
JAZZ IN THE CITY San Francisco, California				
For the reconstruction of Duke Ellington's "Concert of Sacred Music"	25,000	-	25,000	
KALA INSTITUTE Berkeley, California				
For the "Seeing Time" series	10,000		10,000	
KRONOS QUARTET San Francisco, California				
For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$120,000)		80,000	40,000	40,000
MEET THE COMPOSER, INC. New York, New York		765		
For the Orchestral Residencies Program (Awarded in 1989 for \$150,000)		75,000	75,000	
MIDSUMMER MOZART FESTIVAL San Francisco, California				
For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$75,000)		50,000	25,000	25,000
MUSIC SOURCES/CENTER FOR HISTORICALL INFORMED PERFORMANCE Berkeley, California	Y			
For general support (matching grant)	30,000		15,000	15,000
MUSICAL TRADITIONS, PAUL DRESHER ENSEMBLE Berkeley, California			14,5	
For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$90,000)		60,000	30,000	30,000
NAPA VALLEY SYMPHONY ASSOCIATION Napa, California		A Topic		
For general support to be matched for endowment (matching grant)				

	Performing Arts: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
	PHILHARMONIA BAROQUE ORCHESTRA San Francisco, California				
	For general support (matching grant)	150,000		50,000	100,000
-	ROVA SAXOPHONE QUARTET San Francisco, California				
	For general support	10,000		10,000	
-	SAN FRANCISCO CHANTICLEER San Francisco, California				
	For general support	120,000		40,000	80,000
	SAN FRANCISCO CONTEMPORARY MUSIC PLAYERS San Francisco, California				
	For general support (matching grant) (Awarded in 1988 for \$90,000)		30,000		30,000
	SAN FRANCISCO SYMPHONY San Francisco, California				
	For general support of the education program (matching grant) (Awarded in 1989 for \$400,000)		400,000	200,000	200,000
	SAN JOSE SYMPHONY ORCHESTRA San Jose, California				
	For general support (Awarded in 1988 for \$350,000)	- 1	100,000	100,000	
	SANTA CRUZ COUNTY SYMPHONY ASSOCIATION Aptos, California				
	For general support (matching grant) (Awarded in 1988 for \$30,000)		10,000	10,000	
	SANTA FE CHAMBER MUSIC FESTIVAL Santa Fe, New Mexico				
	For general support to be matched for endowment (matching grant) (Awarded in 1989 for \$180,000)		135,000	45,000	90,000
	SCHOLA CANTORUM Palo Alto, California				
	For general support	10,000		10,000	- 14
	SINFONIA SAN FRANCISCO San Francisco, California				
	For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$150,000)		100,000	50,000	50,000

	Performing Arts: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
	ZAKROS PRODUCTIONS/NEW MUSIC				
	THEATRE				
	San Francisco, California For a new music series	5,000		5,000	
2	Theatre and Opera				
	AMERICAN CONSERVATORY THEATRE San Francisco, California				
	For the Advanced Artist Training Program (matching grant)		40.000	60,000	
	(Awarded in 1987 for \$180,000)		60,000	60,000	
	BERKELEY REPERTORY THEATRE Berkeley, California				
	For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$200,000)		100,000	100,000	
	CROSSPULSE El Sobrante, California				
	For the Body Tjak project	10,000		10,000	
	EUREKA THEATRE COMPANY San Francisco, California				
	For general support	100,000		50,000	50,00
1	EXITHEATRE				
	San Francisco, California				
-	For general support	25,000		25,000	
	GEORGE COATES PERFORMANCE WORKS				
7	For general support (matching grant)	110,000		110,000	
	MAGIC THEATRE San Francisco, California				
	For general support	100,000		50,000	50,000
	NEW YORK CITY OPERA, INC. New York, New York				
1	For the Donald Gramm Fund (Awarded in 1989 for \$100,000)		50,000	50,000	
	GANTERE THEATER San Francisco, California				
	For general support				
	Awarded in 1988 for \$105,000)		35,000	35,000	

Performing Arts: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
OAKLAND ENSEMBLE THEATRE Oakland, California				
For general support	25,000		25,000	
OPERA SAN JOSE San Jose, California				
For general support with a particular emphasis on the Resident Artists Program	150,000		50,000	100,000
OREGON SHAKESPEAREAN FESTIVAL ASSOCI Ashland, Oregon	ATION			
For general support to be matched for endowment (matching grant) (Awarded in 1988 for \$165,000)		55,000	30,000	25,000
PENINSULA CIVIC LIGHT OPERA San Mateo, California				
For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$40,000)		20,000	20,000	
PEOPLE'S THEATRE COALITION San Francisco, California				
For general support of Life on the Water (Awarded in 1989 for \$60,000)		40,000	20,000	20,000
PICKLE FAMILY CIRCUS San Francisco, California				
For general support (matching grant) (Awarded in 1988 for \$120,000)		40,000	40,000	
PLAYWRIGHTS FOUNDATION San Francisco, California				
For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$45,000)		25,000	15,000	10,000
POCKET OPERA COMPANY, INC. San Francisco, California				
For general support	120,000		40,000	80,000
SAN FRANCISCO OPERA ASSOCIATION San Francisco, California				
For general support of the Opera Center (matching grant)	400,000		150,000	250,000
SAN JOSE CIVIC LIGHT OPERA San Jose, California				
For general support	30,000		10,000	20,000

Performing Arts: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
SAN JOSE REPERTORY COMPANY San Jose, California				
For general support (matching grant) (Awarded in 1989 for \$100,000)		100,000	50,000	50,000
SEW PRODUCTIONS/LORRAINE HANSBERRY THEATRE				
San Francisco, California For general support	25,000		25,000	
SHAKESPEARE-SAN FRANCISCO San Francisco, California			4-1	
For the Free Shakespeare in the Park program	120,000		40,000	80,000
SOON 3 THEATRE San Francisco, California				
For general support	40,000		20,000	20,000
THEATER ARTAUD San Francisco, California				
For general support (Awarded in 1988 for \$105,000)		35,000	35,000	
THEATRE BAY AREA San Francisco, California				
For general support (Awarded in 1988 for \$60,000)		20,000	20,000	
THEATREWORKS Palo Alto, California				
For general support (Awarded in 1988 for \$105,000)		35,000	35,000	
VALLEY INSTITUTE OF THEATRE ARTS Saratoga, California				
For general support (Awarded in 1988 for \$120,000)		30,000	30,000	
WEST BAY OPERA Palo Alto, California				
For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$60,000)		40,000	20,000	20,000
Dance				
BERKELEY CONSERVATORY BALLET Berkeley, California				
For general support	25,000		25,000	

	Performing Arts: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
	CIRCUIT NETWORK San Francisco, California				
	For general support	40,000		20,000	20,000
	DANCE BAY AREA San Francisco, California				
	For general support and for a regranting program for individual dance artists (matching grant) (Awarded in 1987 for \$150,000)		75,000	75,000	
	For general support (matching grant)	135,000	W #()	60,000	75,000
	DANCE THROUGH TIME San Francisco, California				
	For general support	50,000		25,000	25,000
	DANCE/USA Washington, D.C.				
	For general support (Awarded in 1988 for \$60,000)		40,000	20,000	20,000
B	DANCERS' GROUP/FOOTWORK STUDIO San Francisco, Californía				
	For general support	60,000		20,000	40,000
	DIMENSIONS DANCE THEATER Oakland, California				
	For general support	45,000	<u>ss</u>	15,000	30,000
	JUNE WATANABE DANCE COMPANY San Rafael, California				
	For general support	5,000		5,000	
	LINES DANCE COMPANY San Francisco, California				
	For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$40,000)	is mittle	20,000	20,000	
	MARGARET JENKINS DANCE COMPANY San Francisco, California				
	For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$120,000)		80,000	40,000	40,000
	OAKLAND BALLET Oakland, California				
	For general support (matching grant) (Awarded in 1988 for \$280,000)		80,000	80,000	

Performing Arts: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
OBERLIN DANCE COLLECTIVE San Francisco, California				
For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$120,000)		80,000	40,000	40,000
PENINSULA BALLET THEATRE San Mateo, California				
For general support	40,000		20,000	20,000
SAN FRANCISCO BALLET ASSOCIATION San Francisco, California		19		
For general support of the Ballet School (matching grant)	300,000		150,000	150,000
SAN FRANCISCO MOVING COMPANY San Francisco, California				
For general support	60,000		20,000	40,000
SAN JOSE CLEVELAND BALLET San Jose, California				
For general support (matching grant) (Awarded in 1989 for \$100,000)		50,000	50,000	
SCHOOL OF AMERICAN BALLET New York, New York				
For general support, to be matched by funds for endowment (matching grant) (Awarded in 1988 for \$100,000)		50,000	50,000	
TANDY BEAL & COMPANY, FRIENDS OF OLYMPIA STATION, INC. Santa Cruz, California				
For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$40,000)		20,000	20,000	70
ZOHCO Palo Alto, California				
For general support	90,000		30,000	60,000
Film and Video				THE P
BAY AREA VIDEO COALITION Ban Francisco, California				
For general support	50,000		25,000	25,000
SLACK FILMMAKERS HALL OF FAME Dakland, California				
For general support of master classes in film and video and for the Workshop for Independent Black Filmmakers	10,000		10,000	

Performing Arts: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
FILM ARTS FOUNDATION San Francisco, California				
For general support	90,000		30,000	60,000
FOUNDATION FOR ART IN CINEMA, CINEMATHEQUE San Francisco, California				
For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$60,000)		40,000	20,000	20,000
Other				
AFFILIATE ARTISTS New York, New York				
For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$120,000)		80,000	40,000	40,000
ALAMEDA COUNTY ART COMMISSION Oakland, California				
For the planning project and technical assistance programs	10,000		10,000	
AMERICAN MUSIC THEATER FESTIVAL Philadelphia, Pennsylvania				
To support a national consortium of producing and presenting organizations	50,000		50,000	2
ARTS COUNCIL OF SANTA CLARA COUNTY San Jose, California				
For general support and the Amateur				
Performing Arts Grants program (Awarded in 1989 for \$100,000)		50,000	50,000	
BROOKLYN ACADEMY OF MUSIC Brooklyn, New York				
For general support of the Next Wave Festival			191501 662 66250	
(Awarded in 1988 for \$180,000)	10	60,000	60,000	
BUSINESS VOLUNTEERS FOR THE ARTS San Francisco, California				
For general support of a consortium of 18 Business Volunteers for the Arts				
programs in the Western states (Awarded in 1988 for \$100,000)		33,000	33,000	

Performing Arts: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY				
Berkeley, California For the first Berkeley Festival of				
Early Music (Awarded in 1989 for \$100,000)		100,000	100,000	
CALIFORNIA CONFEDERATION OF THE ARTS Sacramento, California				
For general support	75,000		25,000	50,000
CALIFORNIA LAWYERS FOR THE ARTS San Francisco, California				
For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$45,000)		30,000	15,000	15,000
CITY CELEBRATION San Francisco, California				
For general support	120,000		40,000	80,000
COMMUNITY FOUNDATION OF SANTA CLARA COUNTY San Jose, California			7.14	
For a regranting program for small to mid-size arts organizations in Santa Clara County				
(Awarded in 1989 for \$150,000)		75,000	75,000	
CULTURAL COUNCIL OF SANTA CRUZ COUNTY				
Aptos, California				
For general support and the grants program (matching grant)				
(Awarded in 1989 for \$80,000)		60,000	40,000	20,000
80 LANGTON STREET/ NEW LANGTON ARTS				
San Francisco, California				
For general support	40,000		20,000	20,000
FESTIVAL 2000 San Francisco, California				
For general support	75,000		75,000	
FOOTHILL COLLEGE Los Altos Hills, California			1 1	
For general support of the Performing Arts Alliance Festival	30,000		20,000	10,000

by Category)	Authorized 1990	Grants 1/1/90	Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
electroacoustic research laboratory and for general support		45,000	25,000	20,000
NTERSECTION FOR THE ARTS				5-37-30-300-00-07
and the second s	25,000		25,000	
MONTALVO CENTER FOR THE ARTS				
	25,000		25,000	
For general support of the Arts	75,000		75,000	
	45,000		15,000	30,000
For general support (Awarded in 1986 for \$45,000)		10,000	10,000	
	Tear I		NA.	
fund (matching grant)		40,000	40,000	
IBRARY AND MUSEUM				
	100,000		50,000	50,000
		*		
For general support of The Lab	40,000		20,000	20,000
	GOOD SOUND FOUNDATION Woodside, California For the design and installation of an electroacoustic research laboratory and for general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$200,000) ENTERSECTION FOR THE ARTS San Francisco, California For general support MONTALVO ASSOCIATION, MONTALVO CENTER FOR THE ARTS Garatoga, California For the Carriage House/Emerging Artists Series NORTHERN CALIFORNIA GRANTMAKERS San Francisco, California For general support of the Arts Loan Fund DLD FIRST CENTER FOR THE ARTS San Francisco, California For general support of the Old First Concerts PERFORMING ARTS SERVICES San Francisco, California For general support (Awarded in 1986 for \$45,000) SAN FRANCISCO PERFORMANCES San Francisco, California For general support and the endowment Fund (matching grant) (Awarded in 1988 for \$120,000) SAN FRANCISCO PERFORMING ARTS LIBRARY AND MUSEUM San Francisco, California For general support THE ARTREGRUP FEAR FRANCISCO, California For general support	Woodside, California For the design and installation of an electroacoustic research laboratory and for general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$200,000) INTERSECTION FOR THE ARTS San Francisco, California For general support 25,000 MONTALVO ASSOCIATION, MONTALVO CENTER FOR THE ARTS Saratoga, California For the Carriage House/Emerging Artists Series 25,000 NORTHERN CALIFORNIA GRANTMAKERS San Francisco, California For general support of the Arts Loan Fund 75,000 DID FIRST CENTER FOR THE ARTS San Francisco, California For general support of the Old First Concerts 45,000 PERFORMING ARTS SERVICES San Francisco, California For general support (Awarded in 1986 for \$45,000) SAN FRANCISCO PERFORMANCES San Francisco, California For general support and the endowment fund (matching grant) (Awarded in 1988 for \$120,000) SAN FRANCISCO PERFORMING ARTS LIBRARY AND MUSEUM San Francisco, California For general support 100,000 THE ART.RE.GRUP San Francisco, California For general support 100,000 THE ART.RE.GRUP San Francisco, California	Woodside, California For the design and installation of an electroacoustic research laboratory and for general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$200,000) INTERSECTION FOR THE ARTS San Francisco, California For general support MONTALVO ASSOCIATION, MONTALVO CENTER FOR THE ARTS Saratoga, California For the Carriage House/Emerging Artists Series 25,000 NORTHERN CALIFORNIA GRANTMAKERS San Francisco, California For general support of the Arts Loan Fund To,000 DID FIRST CENTER FOR THE ARTS San Francisco, California For general support of the Old First Concerts 45,000 PERFORMING ARTS SERVICES San Francisco, California For general support (Awarded in 1986 for \$45,000) SAN FRANCISCO PERFORMANCES San Francisco, California For general support and the endowment fund (matching grant) (Awarded in 1988 for \$120,000) SAN FRANCISCO PERFORMING ARTS LIBRARY AND MUSEUM San Francisco, California For general support I 00,000 THE ART.RE.GRUP San Francisco, California	Woodside, California For the design and installation of an electroacoustic research laboratory and for general support (Awarded in 1986 for \$200,000) INTERSECTION FOR THE ARTS San Francisco, California For general support MONTALVO ASSOCIATION, MONTALVO CENTER FOR THE ARTS Saratoga, California For the Carriage House/Emerging Artists Series San Francisco, California For general support of the Arts Loan Fund To,000 DELD FIRST CENTER FOR THE ARTS San Francisco, California For general support of the Old First Concerts A 5,000 DERFORMING ARTS SERVICES San Francisco, California For general support (Awarded in 1986 for \$45,000) SAN FRANCISCO PERFORMANCES San Francisco, California For general support and the endowment fund (matching grant) (Awarded in 1988 for \$120,000) SAN FRANCISCO PERFORMING ARTS LIBRARY AND MUSEUM San Francisco, California For general support For general support For general support I 00,000 SAN FRANCISCO PERFORMING ARTS LIBRARY AND MUSEUM San Francisco, California For general support For general support I 00,000 SAN FRANCISCO PERFORMING ARTS LIBRARY AND MUSEUM San Francisco, California For general support FOR general support I 00,000 SAN FRANCISCO PERFORMING ARTS LIBRARY AND MUSEUM San Francisco, California For general support I 00,000 SAN FRANCISCO PERFORMING ARTS LIBRARY AND MUSEUM San Francisco, California For general support I 00,000 SAN FRANCISCO PERFORMING ARTS LIBRARY AND MUSEUM San Francisco, California For general support I 00,000 SON FRANCISCO PERFORMING ARTS LIBRARY AND MUSEUM San Francisco, California For general support I 00,000 SON FRANCISCO PERFORMING ARTS LIBRARY AND MUSEUM San Francisco, California

Population

Ithough many countries are making progress toward reducing birthrates, population growth continues to be a significant worldwide problem. In addressing it the Foundation has two primary interests: the development of research, policy, and program expertise in developing countries and the international delivery of family planning services. U.S. population issues are also of concern, but represent a smaller proportion of the annual program budget.

Within its priorities the Foundation plans to continue to support a range of programs, from research and training to efforts to implement and evaluate effective family planning programs. Specific interests are in the following areas: the training of population experts from developing countries, primarily at university-based population centers; policy-related research on population issues, particularly the relationship of social and economic factors to fertility; and the support of family planning programs not eligible for U.S. government funds. Internationally, adolescent fertility, male involvement in family planning, and the role of cash incentives are of special interest, as is work related specifically to Mexico.

With regard to population issues in the United States, the Foundation is especially concerned about the prevention of adolescent pregnancy. Of particular interest are organizations that do public policy work and carry out demonstration programs with an evaluation component. The Foundation also supports organizations that inform policy makers both here and abroad about the importance of population issues and the relevance of demographic change to other social, economic, and political issues. Support for local organizations that provide direct family planning services has been limited to Planned Parenthood affiliates in and near the San Francisco Bay Area.

The Foundation generally provides organizational, rather than project, support and does not fund investigator-initiated research. Grants will favor support of groups that show an awareness of the complex relations between motivation, social and economic development, and fertility behavior, and that have an appreciation for the importance of social, cultural, and personal approaches to population questions. The Foundation will also encourage those organizations that are trying to bridge the gap between research and the implementation of policies and programs. Grants will be made primarily to U.S.based organizations.

The Foundation does not support biomedical research on reproduction or population education programs directed toward the general public.

	Population: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
	Social Science Research and Train	ing			
	ALAN GUTTMACHER INSTITUTE New York, New York				
	For general support	\$1,250,000		\$417,000	\$833,000
	BROWN UNIVERSITY, POPULATION STUDIES AND TRAINING CENTER Providence, Rhode Island				
	For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$700,000)		700,000	140,000	560,000
	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, GRADUATE GROUP IN DEMOGRAPHY Berkeley, California				
	For general support of the Program in Population Research (Awarded in 1986 for \$500,000)		200,000	100,000	100,000
	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO, CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH POLICY RESEARCH San Francisco, California				
	For the Family Planning Training Program (Awarded in 1989 for \$540,000)		540,000	270,000	270,000
	UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, POPULATION RESEARCH CENTER Chicago, Illinois				
	For general support (Awarded in 1988 for \$500,000)		400,000	100,000	300,000
•	COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY, CENTER FOR POPULATION AND FAMILY HEALTH New York, New York				
	For general support	750,000			750,000
	CORNELL UNIVERSITY, POPULATION AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM Ithaca, New York			II	
	For general support (Awarded in 1988 for \$560,000)		420,000	140,000	280,000
	EAST-WEST CENTER, EAST-WEST POPULATION INSTITUTE Honolulu, Hawaii				
	For general support (Awarded in 1987 for \$270,000)		90,000		90,000

[■] Grants newly authorized in 1990 are highlighted by square boxes.

	Population Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
	EL COLEGIO DE MEXICO Mexico City, Mexico				
	For the Center for Demographic and Urban Development Studies (Awarded in 1989 for \$150,000)		100,000		100,000
	INDIANA UNIVERSITY, POPULATION INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH AND TRAINING Bloomington, Indiana				
	For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$56,000)		28,000	28,000	
-	INTERNATIONAL UNION FOR THE SCIENTIFIC STUDY OF POPULATION Liege, Belgium				
	For general support	135,000		50,000	85,000
	JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, POPULATION CENTER Baltimore, Maryland				
	For general support (Awarded in 1985 for \$675,000)		135,000	135,000	
•	For general support	750,000			750,000
•	MAHIDOL UNIVERSITY, INSTITUTE FOR POPULATION AND SOCIAL RESEARCH Nakornpathom, Thailand				
	To support training, faculty development, and publications, and to enhance library and				
	computing capacity	150,000		50,000	100,000
	UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, COLLEGE OF BEHAVIORAL AND SOCIAL SCIENCE College Park, Maryland				
	For the Center on Population, Gender, and Social Inequality (Awarded in 1988 for \$120,000)	(4)	80,000	40,000	40,000
	UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN Ann Arbor, Michigan				
	For general support of the Population Studies Center and the Center for Population Planning				
	(Awarded in 1989 for \$900,000)		720,000	180,000	540,000
	NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES Washington, D.C.				
	For general support of the Committee on Population				

	Population: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
_	(by Category)	1990	17 1790	1990	12/31/90
	UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA, CAROLINA POPULATION CENTER Chapel Hill, North Carolina				
	For general support (Awarded in 1985 for \$700,000)		140,000	140,000	
ı	For general support of population research and training	750,000			750,00
	PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, POPULATION ISSUES RESEARCH CENTER University Park, Pennsylvania				
	For general support (Awarded in 1988 for \$500,000)		300,000	100,000	200,000
	UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, POPULATION STUDIES CENTER Philadelphia, Pennsylvania				
	For general support (Awarded in 1986 for \$700,000)		380,000	270,000	110,000
•	POPULATION ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA Alexandria, Virginia				
	For general support	105,000		35,000	70,000
	POPULATION COUNCIL New York, New York				
	For general support (Awarded in 1988 for \$1,200,000)		400,000	400,000	
1	POPULATION REFERENCE BUREAU, INC. Washington, D.C.				
	For general support	300,000		100,000	200,000
•	PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, OFFICE OF POPULATION RESEARCH				
	Princeton, New Jersey				
	For general support of population research and training	500,000		100,000	400,000
	RAND CORPORATION Santa Monica, California		_		
	For general support of the Labor and Population Program	270,000		90,000	180,000
	SAN DIEGO STATE UNIVERSITY, INTERNATIONAL POPULATION CENTER San Diego, California				
	For the manuscript "Population Issues Along the U.SMexico Border"	5,000		5,000	

Population Organizations (by Category)		Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN POPULATION RESEARCH LA Los Angeles, California					
For general support of the Population Policy (Awarded in 1989 for \$2	STATE OF THE STATE		160,000	80,000	80,00
STANFORD UNIVERSITY, IN POPULATION AND RESOUR Stanford, California					
For general support of pop research and training	ulation	60,000		60,000	
UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS, AUS POPULATION RESEARCH CE Austin, Texas					
For general support (Awarded in 1986 for \$6:	50,000)		390,000	130,000	260,000
UNIVERSIDADE FEDERALE I MINAS GERAIS, CENTER OF DEVELOPMENT AND PLANN (CEDEPLAR) Minas Gerais, Brazil	REGIONAL				
For CEDEPLAR's demogra (Awarded in 1989 for \$1:			150,000	50,000	100,000
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTOFOR STUDIES IN DEMOGRAI ECOLOGY					36.
Seattle, Washington					
For general support (Awarded in 1988 for \$12	20,000)		60,000	60,000	
For general support of pop research and training	ulation	270,000	5.700		270,000
UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN FOR DEMOGRAPHY AND ECO Madison, Wisconsin					
For general support (Awarded in 1987 for \$50	00,000)		200,000	100,000	100,000
YALE UNIVERSITY, ECONOM CENTER/DEMOGRAPHY PRO New Haven, Connecticut			4		
For general support (Awarded in 1987 for \$22	25,000)		90,000		90,000

Population: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
International Family Planning and Development Activities	ı			
ACADEMIA MEXICANA DE INVESTIGACION EN DEMOGRAFIA MEDICA Mexico City, Mexico				
For general support (Awarded in 1988 for \$80,000)		40,000	40,000	
AFRICAN MEDICAL AND RESEARCH FOUNDATION New York, New York		1		= - 18
For a project to train family planning promoters in Kenya (Awarded in 1988 for \$50,000)		25,000	25,000	
AMERICAN COLLEGE OF NURSE MIDWIVES Washington, D.C.				
To support international family planning activities	285,000		115,000	170,000
ASSOCIATION FOR VOLUNTARY SURGICAL CONTRACEPTION, INC. New York, New York				
For general support (matching grant) (Awarded in 1988 for \$420,000)		280,000	140,000	140,000
CENTRE FOR DEVELOPMENT AND POPULATION ACTIVITIES Washington, D.C.				
For general support (matching grant) (Awarded in 1987 for \$300,000)		80,000	80,000	
CENTRO DE ORIENTACION PARA ADOLESCENTES Mexico City, Mexico				
For activities designed to increase financial stability (Awarded in 1987 for \$120,000)		40,000	40,000	
FEDERACION MEXICANA DE ASOCIACIONES PRIVADAS DE PLANIFICACION FAMILIAR Juarez, Mexico		er mil		
For general support (Awarded in 1987 for \$150,000)		50,000	50,000	
GOOD SAMARITAN FOUNDATION Portland, Oregon				
For family planning work using incentive programs at the Stella Maris Charity Clinic in India				
(Awarded in 1988 for \$195,000)		130,000	65,000	65,000

Population Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
INSTITUTE FOR DEVELOPMENT				
Chapel Hill, North Carolina				
For general support of family planning				
activities (matching grant) (Awarded in 1989 for \$80,000)		50,000	40,000	10,00
INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE OF RURAL RECONSTRUCTION	1 =			
New York, New York				
For family planning work (Awarded in 1987 for \$180,000)		60,000	60,000	
INTERNATIONAL PLANNED PARENTHOOD FEDERATION/WESTERN HEMISPHERE REGION	R		100	
New York, New York				
To stimulate philanthropic support for family planning and population				
initiatives (matching grant) (Awarded in 1987 for \$450,000)		48,000	48,000	
For general support	315,000		158,000	157,000
INTERNATIONAL PROJECTS ASSISTANCE SERVICES				
Carrboro, North Carolina				
For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$600,000)		400,000	150,000	250,000
INTERNATIONAL WOMEN'S HEALTH COALITION New York, New York				
For general support	360,000		120,000	240,000
Tor general support	300,000		120,000	240,000
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR INTERNATIONAL HEALTH Washington, D.C.				
For population programs				
(Awarded in 1989 for \$150,000)		100,000	50,000	50,000
PARTNERS OF THE AMERICAS Washington, D.C.			1.0	
For the Partners' Family Life Education Program in Latin America and the				
Caribbean (Awarded in 1989 for \$210,000)		140,000	70,000	70,000
PATHFINDER FUND				
Watertown, Massachusetts				
For general support				

Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
	225,000	125,000	100,000
	266,000	133,000	133,000
	500,000	250,000	250,000
	180 000	00.000	00.000
	180,000	90,000	90,000
275,000		100,000	175,000
	200,000	10,000	190,000
100,000	-	100,000	=
	Authorized 1990 275,000	Authorized 1990 1/1/90 225,000 225,000 180,000 275,000 200,000	Authorized 1990 125,000 125,000 125,000 133,000 180,000 250,000 100,000 275,000 100,000

Population Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
EDUCATION, TRAINING, AND RESEARCH ASSOCIATES Santa Cruz, California				
For general support of adolescent				
pregnancy prevention programs	150,000		150,000	
MEHARRY MEDICAL COLLEGE Nashville, Tennessee				
For the "I Have a Future" teen				
pregnancy prevention program	186,000		93,000	93,000
PROFESSIONAL STAFF ASSOCIATION, LOS ANGELES COUNTY/USC MEDICAL CENTER Los Angeles, California				
For the evaluation of a family planning				
program for Hispanic teenage mothers		200 200	100 000	222.22
(Awarded in 1988 for \$300,000)		200,000	100,000	100,000
PUBLIC/PRIVATE VENTURES Philadelphia, Pennsylvania				
For the evaluation of the Summer Training and Education Program demonstration				
(Awarded in 1989 for \$300,000)		200,000	100,000	100,000
SEX INFORMATION AND EDUCATION COUNCIL OF THE U.S. New York, New York				
For support of programs on family				
life education, reproduction, parenting,				
human growth, and development		7-57 (SA)	12150 2016	
(Awarded in 1989 for \$20,000)		5,000	5,000	
International/Domestic Family				
Planning Activities				
PLANNED PARENTHOOD FEDERATION OF AMERICA, INC.				
New York, New York				
For general support of domestic and international programs (matching grant)				
(Awarded in 1989 for \$600,000)		500,000	200,000	300,000
Education of Leaders				
NATIONAL BLACK WOMEN'S HEALTH PROJECT Atlanta, Georgia				
To support the Reproductive Rights				
Project's efforts to increase black				
involvement in reproductive issues	25,000		25,000	

Population: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
NATIONAL FAMILY PLANNING AND REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH ASSOCIATION Washington, D.C.				
For general support (Awarded in 1988 for \$180,000)	e tack	60,000	60,000	
POPULATION CRISIS COMMITTEE Washington, D.C.				
For general support (Awarded in 1988 for \$300,000)		100,000	100,000	
POPULATION RESOURCE CENTER Princeton, New Jersey				
For general support (Awarded in 1988 for \$300,000)		100,000	100,000	
Other				
PLAN INTERNATIONAL USA Warwick, Rhode Island				
To support the development of a policy statement designed to increase commitment to, and implementation of, family planning activities of the parent				
organization	9,000			9,000

Regional Grants

hrough the regional grants program, the Foundation responds to requests from organizations based in or near the San Francisco Bay Area that serve local residents. There are six categories in this program: community development, youth employment, homelessness, affordable housing, selected human services, and minority leadership development. The first three are the major categories of the program and account for more than 75 percent of the budget.

In community development the Foundation funds community-based organizations that conduct programs to revitalize neighborhoods by improving living conditions and the climate for economic development. The Foundation welcomes community development proposals that feature a practical, strategic approach to dealing with a range of interrelated economic and social problems in a specific neighborhood, and a feasible plan for carrying out that approach. The Foundation also funds regional or national community development support organizations whose work is of benefit to community development corporations in the Bay Area.

In youth employment grants are made to encourage, sustain, or evaluate training and placement programs for disadvantaged youth that are designed to improve youngsters' employability in the marketplace economy. Approaches which promote literacy and social skill development as well as vocational training are of particular interest.

In the area of homelessness proposals will be considered which have long-term impact on the problem; have a regional focus; improve knowledge in the field; and are nested within a coordinated, systematic approach to solving the problem. In substantive terms, proposals will be considered in the areas of prevention of homelessness; amelioration for those who do become homeless; provision of permanent solutions, i.e., helping to ensure that the development of permanent housing is linked to ongoing social services for residents who need them; and planning and coordination.

In the area of affordable housing, the Foundation does not make capital grants. Rather, proposals will be considered which focus on policy, planning, coordination or consensus-building; on operating costs of model demonstration projects which link social services to permanent housing; and on capacity-building programs for community-based housing development corporations.

The selected human services category is directed primarily to organizations that serve low-income areas of the Peninsula counties. The proposals that are most likely to be funded in this category are those that

propose new approaches to social problems, where short-term Foundation support is critical and the prospects for other sources of long-term financing are good.

In the minority leadership development category, the Foundation supports programs that enhance the ability of California minorities to pursue careers, as professionals or volunteers, that involve public or community services, with particular emphasis on multiethnic or multicultural training for a multicultural society.

The Foundation will consider different types of grants—general, program, or project support. The Foundation welcomes opportunities to fund programs with other grantmakers.

Limited program funds dictate that only a few of the requests reviewed can be supported. To help avoid unprofitable effort on the part of applicants, we call attention to the fact that the Foundation does not support proposals in the follow fields: physical or mental health; law and related fields; criminal justice or juvenile delinquency; drug and alcohol addiction the problems of the elderly and the hand capped. These exclusions derive not from lack of sympathy with the needs in these fields but from the Foundation's determination to focus its resources.

	Regional Grants: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
	Community Development				
-	APPLIED RESEARCH CENTER Oakland, California				
	For the Community Strategic Training Initiative	\$100,000		\$60,000	\$40,000
	ASIAN NEIGHBORHOOD DESIGN San Francisco, California				
	For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$150,000)		90,000	45,000	45,000
	BERNAL HEIGHTS COMMUNITY FOUNDATION San Francisco, California				
	For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$100,000)		60,000	30,000	30,000
-	CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATION Berkeley, California				
	For general support	75,000		38,000	37,000
	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY Berkeley, California				
	For general support of the University/ Oakland Metropolitan Forum (Awarded in 1988 for \$300,000)		100,000	100,000	
	To support the "Growth Issues in the San Francisco Bay Area" seminars at				
	the Institute of Urban and Regional Development	25,000		25,000	
	CENTER FOR COMMUNITY CHANGE Washington, D.C.			1 1	
	For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$300,000)		200,000	100,000	100,000
	COMPUMENTOR San Francisco, California				
	For the program to assist community development corporations in the Bay Area	23,000		23,000	
	DEVELOPMENT TRAINING INSTITUTE Baltimore, Maryland				
	For the National Internship in Community Economic Development program	25,000		25,000	

[■] Grants newly authorized in 1990 are highlighted by square boxes.

Regional Grants: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
CITY OF EAST PALO ALTO				
East Palo Alto, California				
For the National Economic Development and Law Center's staff support of the city's Overall Economic Development				
Committee	25,000		25,000	
JUBILEE WEST, INC. Oakland, California				
For general support (Awarded in 1988 for \$75,000)		25,000	25,000	
LA RAZA SILKSCREEN CENTER, INC. San Francisco, California				
For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$100,000)		65,000		65,000
LOCAL INITIATIVES SUPPORT CORPORATION New York, New York				
For the Bay Area Housing Support Collaborative (Awarded in 1989 for \$150,000)		150,000	150,000	
For general support of the national office and the California office				
(Awarded in 1988 for \$450,000)		150,000	150,000	
MISSION ECONOMIC AND CULTURAL ASSOCIATION San Francisco, California				
For general support	150,000		75,000	75,000
MISSION HOUSING DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION				
San Francisco, California				
For general support (Awarded in 1986 for \$200,000)		68,000	68,000	
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT LOAN FUNDS Philadelphia, Pennsylvania				
For general support	25,000		25,000	
NATIONAL CONGRESS FOR COMMUNITY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT Washington, D.C.		1	•	·
For general support and for the second national community development survey	95,000		60,000	35,000

O	egional Grants: rganizations by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
	ORTH OF MARKET PLANNING COALITION an Francisco, California				
Fe (2	or general support Awarded in 1988 for \$90,000)		20,000	20,000	
C	AKLAND BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT ORPORATION akland, California				
Fe	or general support	175,000		60,000	115,000
	EALITY HOUSE WEST in Francisco, California				
Fe	or general support	150,000		50,000	100,000
DI	AN ANTONIO COMMUNITY EVELOPMENT CORPORATION akland, California				20
Fe	or general support	150,000		75,000	75,000
	AN JOSE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION un Jose, California			500	
F	or general support Awarded in 1989 for \$215,000)		140,000	75,000	65,000
DI	OUTH BERKELEY NEIGHBORHOOD EVELOPMENT CORPORATION erkeley, California				
Fa	or general support	120,000		50,000	70,000
CC	RI-CITY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ORPORATION nion City, California		-		
	or general support	100,000		65,000	35,000
					- X
EA	South Employment AST BAY CONSERVATION CORPS akland, California				
	or general support Awarded in 1989 for \$225,000)		150,000	75,000	75,000
TE PR	ASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF CCHNOLOGY, COMMUNITY FELLOWS COGRAM		12/8/		1411
	ambridge, Massachusetts or the youth development project	25,000		25,000	
NA	ATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF SERVICE ND CONSERVATION CORPS				
	ashington, D.C.				
Fo	or general support	150,000		75,000	75,000

Regional Grants: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
NEW WAYS TO WORK				
San Francisco, California				
For the assessment, improvement, and replication of the Youth Labor Pool demonstration project				
(Awarded in 1988 for \$72,000)		36,000	36,000	
OAKLAND YOUTH WORKS Oakland, California				
For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$150,000)		100,000	50,000	50,00
OPPORTUNITIES INDUSTRIALIZATION CENTER WEST Menlo Park, California				
For general support of the typesetting				
program (Awarded in 1987 for \$150,000)		50,000	50,000	
PUBLIC/PRIVATE VENTURES Philadelphia, Pennsylvania				
For general support	150,000		75,000	75,000
For the Urban Corps Expansion Program	400,000		400,000	
RENAISSANCE TECHNICAL TRAINING INSTITUTE	Account to the said		7000	2)
San Francisco, California				
For general support of the Parents of Success Program	100,000		50,000	50,000
ST. ELIZABETH YOUTH EMPLOYMENT CORPORATION Oakland, California				
For the Castlemont Computer Training Project	25,000		25,000	
SAN JOSE CONSERVATION CORPS San Jose, California	20,000		20,000	
For general support (Awarded in 1987 for \$300,000)		100,000	100,000	
VALLEY VOLUNTEER CENTER Pleasanton, California			0001-00-0000000000000000000000000000000	
For the Career-Links and Transitions programs				
(Awarded in 1988 for \$40,000)		15,000	15,000	
YOUTHBUILD SAN FRANCISCO San Francisco, California				
For general support	25,000		25,000	

	Regional Grants: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
	Homelessness				
•	ALAMEDA COUNTY HEALTH CARE SERVICES Oakland, California				
	For the Homeless Families Program	150,000		75,000	75,000
	ASSOCIATION OF BAY AREA GOVERNMENTS Oakland, California				
	For the Regional Support Center for Homelessness Policy and Programs (Awarded in 1988 for \$250,000)		100,000	100,000	
-	BAR ASSOCIATION OF SAN FRANCISCO, VOLUNTEER LEGAL SERVICES PROGRAM San Francisco, California				
	For the Homeless Advocacy Project	100,000		50,000	50,000
-	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, COOPERATIVE EXTENSION Half Moon Bay, California				
	For the Theatre of the Homeless mentoring program	5,000			5,000
	CATHOLIC CHARITIES San Francisco, California				
	For the Family Resource Centers (Awarded in 1989 for \$300,000)		150,000	150,000	
	CHRONICLE SEASON OF SHARING FUND San Francisco, California				
•	For the 1989 fundraising campaign	15,000		15,000	
-	To support the emergency food and shelter needs of families in ten Bay Area counties	15,000		15,000	
-	FAMILY SERVICE AGENCY OF SAN FRANCISCO	13,000		15,000	12 15
	San Francisco, California For the Independent Housing Services Clearinghouse	100,000		50,000	50,000
	FOOD RESEARCH AND ACTION CENTER Washington, D.C.				
	For general support	25,000		25,000	
	GLIDE FOUNDATION San Francisco, California				
	For the "Computers and You" program	20,000		20,000	

Regional Grants: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
HANDSNET				
Santa Cruz, California				
For general support	25,000		25,000	
HOMELESSNESS INFORMATION EXCHANGE Washington, D.C.				
For general support and to establish regional affiliates		50,000	50,000	
(Awarded in 1989 for \$100,000)		50,000	50,000	
LARKIN STREET YOUTH CENTER San Francisco, California				
For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$75,000)		50,000	25,000	25,000
NORTHERN CALIFORNIA GRANTMAKERS San Francisco, California				
For general support of the Task Force on Homelessness (Awarded in 1989 for \$175,000)		175,000	175,000	
RUBICON PROGRAMS, INC. Richmond, California				
For the Job Training for the Homeless Project	50,000		50,000	
SAN FRANCISCO STATE UNIVERSITY San Francisco, California				
For the Bay Area Homelessness Program	200,000		100,000	100,000
STANFORD UNIVERSITY, CENTER FOR THE STUDY OF FAMILIES, CHILDREN, AND YOUTH Stanford, California				
For the "Homeless Families, Children, and Youth in Santa Clara County"	25,000		25,000	
Project TRAVELERS AID SOCIETY OF ALAMEDA COUNTY	20,000		23,000	
Oakland, California				
For the Homeplace Family Center project	25,000		25,000	
YOUTH AND FAMILY ASSISTANCE Redwood City, California				
For general support of the Daybreak Shelter	50,000		25,000	25,000

Regional Grants: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
Housing				
BRIDGE HOUSING CORPORATION San Francisco, California				
For the capacity-building project for local nonprofit housing development organizations	150,000		50,000	100,000
 CALIFORNIA HOUSING PARTNERSHIP CORPORATION Berkeley, California 				
For general support	25,000			25,000
■ CATHOLIC CHARITIES COALITION FOR LOW-INCOME HOUSING				
San Francisco, California				
For general support	25,000		25,000	
LOW-INCOME HOUSING FUND San Francisco, California				
For the Special Needs Housing Project (Awarded in 1988 for \$75,000)	-	37,000	37,000	
LOW-INCOME HOUSING INFORMATION SERVICE Washington, D.C.				
For general support	25,000		25,000	
NONPROFIT HOUSING ASSOCIATION OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA San Francisco, California				
For general support	25,000			25,000
SAN FRANCISCO DEVELOPMENT FUND San Francisco, California	1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10			
For the Access to Capital Project	25,000		25,000	
SAN FRANCISCO ORGANIZING PROJECT San Francisco, California				
For general support in organizing public housing tenants	25,000		25,000	
TENDERLOIN HOUSING CLINIC San Francisco, California				
For the Council of Community Housing Organizations' Neighborhood Affordable Housing Acceptance Project	25,000		25,000	

Regional Grants: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
Community Foundation				
Endowments				
COMMUNITY FOUNDATION FOR MONTEREY COUNTY Salinas, California				
To increase endowment and grantmaking capacity (matching grant) (Awarded in 1987 for \$500,000)		114,000	114,000	
EAST BAY COMMUNITY FOUNDATION Oakland, California			N.	
To increase endowment and grantmaking capacity (matching grant)				
(Awarded in 1987 for \$500,000)		147,000	147,000	
Selected Human Services				
CHILDREN NOW Oakland, California				
For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$200,000)		100,000	100,000	
COLEMAN CHILDREN AND YOU'TH SERVICES San Francisco, California				
For the Children's Budget Project (Awarded in 1989 for \$150,000)		150,000	50,000	100,000
EAST BAY COMMUNITY FOUNDATION Oakland, California				
For the East Bay Funders Project	25,000		25,000	
EAST PALO ALTO COMMUNITY LAW PROJECT East Palo Alto, California				
For Phases II and III of the Menlo-Atherton High School Mediation Project (Awarded in 1989 for \$75,000)		35,000	35,000	
EAST PALO ALTO YOUTH DEVELOPMENT		35,000	33,000	
CENTER East Palo Alto, California				
For general support (Awarded in 1988 for \$300,000)		100,000	100,000	
MARCUS A. FOSTER EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTE Oakland, California	}			
For the Oakland Mentoring Center	50,000		25,000	25,000

	Regional Grants: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
	NORTHERN CALIFORNIA GRANTMAKERS San Francisco, California				
	For general support of the AIDS Task Force	250,000		125,000	125,000
	For general support of the Summer Youth Program	10,000	_ 6	10,000	
	COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA San Jose, California				
14	For the supervised visitation project	17,000			17,000
	URBAN STRATEGIES COUNCIL Oakland, California				
575	For general support	400,000		133,000	267,000
	Minority Leadership Development				
	Denver, Colorado				
	For general support of the Silicon Valley Chapter (Awarded in 1989 for \$75,000)		25,000	25,000	
	CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL TRUST San Francisco, California				
	For the minority outreach project of the Bay Vision 2020 Commission	25,000		25,000	
	CALIFORNIA LEADERSHIP Santa Clara, California				
	For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$300,000)		200,000	100,000	100,000
	CALIFORNIA TOMORROW Los Angeles, California				
	For general s <mark>up</mark> port (Awarded in 1989 for \$250,000)		125,000	125,000	
	CORO FOUNDATION San Francisco, California				
1	For general support of the Oakland Leadership Training program (Awarded in 1988 for \$110,000)		30,000	30,000	

	Regional Grants: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
	Other				
•	CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION Los Angeles, California				
	For general support of Youth Service California	80,000		50,000	30,000
-	SAN FRANCISCO CONSERVATION CORPS San Francisco, California				
	For the California Comprehensive Youth Service Conference	50,000		50,000	
	UNIVERSITY OF SAN FRANCISCO, INSTITUTE FOR NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION MANAGEMENT San Francisco, California				
	For general support (Awarded in 1988 for \$100,000)		50,000	50,000	
-	For the Bay Area portion of the California Nonprofit Database Project	75,000	100	38,000	37,000
	SUPPORT CENTER/CTD San Francisco, California				
-	For general support of the San Francisco Bay Area program (Awarded in 1989 for \$75,000)		35,000	35,000	
т	OTAL REGIONAL GRANTS	\$4,225,000	\$3,192,000	\$5,024,000	\$2,393,000

Special Projects: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
Public Policy				
BROOKINGS INSTITUTION Washington, D.C.				
For general support	\$800,000		\$200,000	\$600,00
COMMITTEE FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOR New York, New York	PMENT			
For general support of ongoing public education, policy research, and outreach programs (Awarded in 1989 for \$270,000)	ic	180,000	90,000	90,00
ELIE WIESEL FOUNDATION FOR HUMA	NITY	100,000	20,000	20,00
New York, New York				
For an international conference in Oslo, Norway	25,000		25,000	
EMORY UNIVERSITY Atlanta, Georgia				
For general support of the Carter Ce (Awarded in 1989 for \$400,000)	nter	200,000	200,000	
ETHICS AND PUBLIC POLICY CENTER Washington, D.C.				
For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$150,000)		100,000	50,000	50,000
INSTITUTE FOR INTERNATIONAL ECON Washington, D.C.	NOMICS			
For general support (Awarded in 1988 for \$450,000)		300,000	150,000	150,000
JOINT CENTER FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC STUDIES				
Washington, D.C.				
For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$375,000)		375,000	200,000	175,000

[■] Grants newly authorized in 1990 are highlighted by square boxes.

7	Special Projects: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
	NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH Cambridge, Massachusetts				
	For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$300,000)		200,000		200,000
	OVERSEAS DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL Washington, D.C.			*	
	For general support (Awarded in 1988 for \$600,000)		300,000	150,000	150,000
	RAND CORPORATION Santa Monica, California				in the
	For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$300,000)		200,000	100,000	100,000
	UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, SCHOOL OF PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION Sacramento, California				
	For the California Policy Choices program (Awarded in 1988 for \$310,000)		145,000		145,000
	STANFORD UNIVERSITY Stanford, California				
	For general support of the Center for Economic Policy Research	300,000	1.00	100,000	200,000
	TRILATERAL COMMISSION New York, New York				
	For general support (Awarded in 1986 for \$175,000)		59,000	59,000	
	URBAN INSTITUTE Washington, D.C.				
	For general support (Awarded in 1987 for \$1,000,000)		400,000	200,000	200,000
	Nonprofit Service Organizations				
	CITY UNIVERSITY RESEARCH FOUNDATION, BARUCH COLLEGE New York, New York				
	For the Nonprofit Quality Reporting Project (matching grant) (Awarded in 1989 for \$20,000)		20,000	20,000	
	FOUNDATION CENTER New York, New York				
	For general support	150,000		45,000	105,000

Special Projects: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
INDEPENDENT SECTOR Washington, D.C.				
For the Tenth Anniversary Membership Drive	25,000		25,000	
For the Leadership Studies Pro	ogram 25,000		25,000	
PHELPS-STOKES FUND New York, New York				
For the Foundation Project	20,000		20,000	
VOLUNTEER Arlington, Virginia				
For general support (Awarded in 1987 for \$200,0	000)	80,000	40,000	40,000
YALE UNIVERSITY, INSTITUTE F SOCIAL AND POLICY STUDIES New Haven, Connecticut	OR			
For general support of the Pro on Non-Profit Organizations (Awarded in 1989 for \$300,0		200,000	100,000	100,000
Prosocial Development				
DEVELOPMENTAL STUDIES CEN San Ramon, California	TER			
For general support of the Chi. Development Project (Awarded in 1989 for \$1,333.		666,000	666,000	
For general support of the Chil Development Project	500 V-00 V-00 V-00 V-00 V-00 V-00 V-00 V		679,000	679,000
International Security				
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES Los Angeles, California				
For general support of the Cen International and Strategic A			100,000	200,000
CENTER FOR STRATEGIC AND INTERNATIONAL STUDIES Washington, D.C.				
For general support (Awarded in 1988 for \$450,00	00)	150,000	150,000	

Special Projects: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
HARVARD UNIVERSITY Cambridge, Massachusetts				
For general support of the Center for Science and International Affairs (Awarded in 1988 for \$300,000)		100,000		100,000
INSTITUTE FOR EAST-WEST SECURITY STUDI New York, New York	IES			
For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$225,000)		150,000	75,000	75,000
INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR STRATEGIC STUDIES London, England				
For general support (Awarded in 1988 for \$450,000)		300,000	150,000	150,000
MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY Cambridge, Massachusetts				
For general support of the Defense and Arms Control Studies Program (Awarded in 1989 for \$600,000)		600,000	400,000	200,000
STANFORD UNIVERSITY Stanford, California				
For general support of the Center for International Security and Arms Control (Awarded in 1987 for \$800,000)	t	400,000	200,000	200,000
Literacy				
BUSINESS COUNCIL FOR EFFECTIVE LITERACY, INC. New York, New York				
For general support (matching grant) (Awarded in 1989 for \$100,000)		72,000	25,000	47,000
CENTER FOR APPLIED LINGUISTICS Washington, D.C.				
For general support of the National Clearinghouse on Literacy Education	300,000		100,000	200,000
LITERACY SOUTH Raleigh, North Carolina				
For general support	375,000		125,000	250,000

	Special Projects: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
	LITERACY VOLUNTEERS OF AMERICA Berkeley, California				
	For general support of the California program	25,000		25,000	
	SOUTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY Georgetown, Texas				
	For general support of the Partners in Reading project (Awarded in 1989 for \$150,000)		100,000		100,000
	WORK IN AMERICA INSTITUTE Scarsdale, New York				
	For the Job-Linked Literacy Programs study (Awarded in 1989 for \$300,000)		200,000		200,000
	Earthquake Recovery				
•	CABRILLO MUSIC FESTIVAL Aptos, California				
	For earthquake recovery	20,000		20,000	
	CENTER FOR SOUTHEAST ASIAN REFUGEE RESETTLEMENT San Francisco, California				
	For earthquake recovery (Awarded in 1989 for \$5,000)		5,000	5,000	
	COMMUNITY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT SYSTEMS AND PLANNING ASSOCIATION, INC. Salinas, California				
	For general support of earthquake recovery and development projects	125,000		50,000	75,000
•	CULTURAL COUNCIL OF SANTA CRUZ COUNTY Aptos, California				
	For earthquake recovery	20,000		20,000	
	EAST BAY ASIAN LOCAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION Oakland California				
	Oakland, California For earthquake recovery				
	(Awarded in 1989 for \$10,000)		10,000	10,000	
	EDEN I & R, INC. Hayward, California				
	For the Emergency Housing Hot Line	25,000		25,000	

	Special Projects: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
New Miles					
	EL PAJARO COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION Watsonville, California				
	For general support of earthquake recovery and development programs	120,000		50,000	70,000
	GREATER SANTA CRUZ COUNTY COMMUNITY FOUNDATION Capitola, California				
	For earthquake recovery (Awarded in 1989 for \$50,000)		50,000	50,000	
-	NATIONAL TRUST FOR HISTORIC PRESERVATION San Francisco, California				
	For earthquake recovery work by the Western Regional office	25,000		25,000	
	NORTHERN CALIFORNIA GRANTMAKERS San Francisco, California				
•	For the Arts Recovery Fund portion of the Earthquake Recovery Fund	75,000		75,000	
•	For the Arts Recovery Fund portion of the Earthquake Recovery Fund	110,000		110,000	
=	For the Task Force on Homelessness portion of the Earthquake Recovery Fund	25,000		25,000	*
-	SAN FRANCISCO STATE UNIVERSITY, FRANK V. DE BELLIS COLLECTION San Francisco, California		1		
	For earthquake recovery	20,000		20,000	
-	SANTA CRUZ COUNTY SYMPHONY ASSOCIATION				
	Aptos, California For earthquake recovery	10,000		10,000	
	SPANISH SPEAKING UNITY COUNCIL Oakland, California				
	For earthquake recovery (Awarded in 1989 for \$5,000)		5,000	5,000	
-	TANDY BEAL & COMPANY, FRIENDS OF OLYMPIA STATION, INC. Santa Cruz, California				
	For earthquake recovery	10,000		10,000	

	Special Projects: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorized 1990	Unpaid Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
•	YWCA OF OAKLAND Oakland, California				
	For earthquake recovery	25,000		25,000	
	Other				
	AMERICAN ACADEMY OF ARTS AND SCIENCES Cambridge, Massachusetts				
	For general support (Awarded in 1989 for \$600,000)	7 (11)	480,000	120,000	360,000
-	BEIRUT UNIVERSITY COLLEGE New York, New York				
	To provide a presidential discretionary fund	50,000		50,000	
•	CALIFORNIA HISTORICAL SOCIETY San Francisco, California				
	For general support	100,000		50,000	50,000
	CALIFORNIA COMMISSION ON CAMPAIGN FINANCING, CENTER FOR RESPONSIVE GOVERNMENT				
	Los Angeles, California				
	For general support	25,000		25,000	
	EXPLORATORIUM San Francisco, California				
	For partial support of planning efforts				
	for a capital campaign (Awarded in 1988 for \$500,000)		258,000	57,000	201,000
•	FINE ARTS MUSEUMS OF SAN FRANCISCO San Francisco, California				
	For the Great Dutch Paintings in America exhibition	10,000		10,000	
	GRADUATE THEOLOGICAL UNION Berkeley, California				
	For the automation project of the Flora Lamson Hewlett Library (Awarded in 1989 for \$300,000)		200,000	100,000	100,000
	HAIGAZIAN COLLEGE Beirut, Lebanon				z ,_
	For general support	50,000		50,000	

Special Projects: Organizations (by Category)	Grants Authorize 1990	Unpaid ed Grants 1/1/90	Payments Made 1990	Unpaid Grants 12/31/90
MENLO SCHOOL AND COLLEGE	23			a a
Atherton, California				
For general support of the faculty development program (Awarded in 1989 for \$300,000)		200,000	100,000	100,000
NATIONAL PUBLIC RADIO Washington, D.C.		200,000	100,000	100,00
For general support (Awarded in 1988 for \$150,000)		50,000	50,000	
NORTHERN CALIFORNIA GRANTMAKERS San Francisco, California	3			
For general support of the History of Bay Area Philanthropy project (Awarded in 1988 for \$8,000)		5,000	5,000	
RESEARCH CORPORATION Tucson, Arizona				
To support faculty research in the natural sciences at liberal arts colleges (Awarded in 1989 for \$450,000)		300,000	150,000	150,000
SAN JOSE STATE UNIVERSITY, SCHOOL OF BUSINESS San Jose, California				
For the Business Tutorial Center	20,000		20,000	
WESTERN HERITAGE OF CALIFORNIA Berkeley, California				
For the biography of Wallace Stegner entitled "The Geography of Hope"	15,000		15,000	
OTAL SPECIAL PROJECTS	\$4,583,000	\$7,060,000	\$5,831,000	\$5,812,000
WESTERN HERITAGE OF CALI Berkeley, California For the biography of Wallac entitled "The Geography of	FORNIA e Stegner	FORNIA e Stegner Hope" 15,000	FORNIA e Stegner Hope" 15,000	FORNIA e Stegner Hope" 15,000 15,000
es .				
THORIZATIONS AND PAYMENTS	\$34,975,000		\$39,086,500	
ss Refunds	(3,784)		(3,784)	
RAND TOTAL: ALL PROGRAM AREAS	\$34,971,216	\$43,167,500	\$39,082,716	\$39,056,00

Advice to Applicants

ecause the foregoing program descriptions are brief and are under continuing review, the most efficient means of initial contact with the Hewlett Foundation is a letter of inquiry, addressed to the President. The letter should contain a brief statement of the applicant's need for funds and enough factual information to enable the staff to determine whether or not the application falls within the Foundation's areas of preferred interest or warrants consideration as a special project. There is no fixed minimum or maximum with respect to the size of grants; applicants should provide a straightforward statement of their needs and aspirations for support, taking into account other possible sources of funding.

Letters of application will be briefly acknowledged upon their receipt. But because the Foundation prefers to operate with a small staff, a more detailed response will in some cases be delayed. Applicants who have not had a substantive reply after a reasonable period of time should feel free to make a follow-up inquiry.

The Foundation recognizes that significant programs require time to demonstrate their value. It is therefore willing to consider proposals covering several years of support. While the Foundation will entertain specific projects in its areas of interest and will on occasion provide general support for organizations of special interest, it expects to work primarily through support of organizations active in its main programs. One exception is the regional grants program, under which the Foundation will make some small grants for specific projects that meet an immediate community need. Like most foundations, the Hewlett Foundation is unwilling to assume responsibility for the long-term support of any organization or activity.

All inquiries are reviewed first by the relevant program officer. He or she will either (1) in consultation with the President, decline those requests that seem unlikely to result in a project the Foundation can support; (2) request further information if a decision cannot be made on the basis of the initial inquiry; or (3) present the request to the rest of the staff for discussion.

Applicants who receive a favorable response to their initial inquiry will be invited to submit a formal proposal. Special supporting materials may be requested in some cases, but normally the proposal should include:

- A concise statement of the purpose of the request, its significance or uniqueness in relation to other work being done in the field, and the results sought.
- 2. A budget for the program; an indication of other prospective funding sources and the amount requested of each; and a statement of the sponsoring organization's total budget and financial position. Applicants should indicate how they would continue a successful program once support from the Hewlett Foundation ceases.
- The identity and qualifications of the key personnel to be involved.
- 4. A list of members of the governing body.
- 5. Evidence of tax-exempt status.
- **6**. A statement to the effect that the proposal has been reviewed by the applicant's governing body and specifically approved for submission to the William and Flora Hewlett Foundation.

Normally the Foundation will not consider grants or loans to individuals; grants for basic research; capital construction funds; grants in the medical or health-related fields; or general fund-raising drives. It will not make grants intended directly or indirectly to support candidates for political office or to influence legislation.

Grants must be approved by the Board of Directors, which meets quarterly. Meeting dates are available upon request, but applicants should realize that even proposals which are to be recommended for Board approval cannot in every case be reviewed at the first meeting following their receipt. All inquiries and proposals are reported to the Board, including those declined at the staff level.

Financial Statements

THE WILLIAM AND FLORA HEWLETT FOUNDATION

Balance Sheet

	December 31		
	1990	1989	
ASSETS			
Investment assets at market value:			
Hewlett-Packard Company common stock	\$218,503,000	\$368,077,000	
Other equities	69,961,000	67,279,000	
Fixed income securities	259,290,000	244,467,000	
Money market fund	8,117,000	3,170,000	
Receivables for interest, dividends,			
and pending security transactions	3,501,000	4,189,000	
	559,372,000	687,182,000	
Cash	55,000	60,000	
Other assets	366,000	422,000	
	\$559,793,000	\$687,664,000	
LIABILITIES AND FOUNDATION PRINCIPAL			
Accounts payable and other liabilities	\$ 310,000	\$ 209,000	
Grants payable	39,056,000	43,168,000	
Deferred federal excise tax	3,331,000		
	42,697,000	48,405,000	
Foundation principal	517,096,000	639,259,000	
	\$559,793,000	\$687,664,000	

Statement of Income, Expenses, and Changes in Foundation Principal

	Year Ended December 31	
	1990	1989
Revenue:		
Interest Dividends	\$ 22,856,000 5,537,000	\$ 22,084,000 4,860,000
	28,393,000	26,944,000
Expenses:		
Administrative expenses Investment management expenses	2,094,000 1,015,000	1,937,000 909,000
	3,109,000	2,846,000
Excess of revenue over expenses	25,284,000	24,098,000
Net loss on investment portfolio	(113,577,000)	(30,356,000)
Federal excise tax credit on investment income	1,102,000	146,000
	(87,191,000)	(6,112,000)
Grants authorized	(34,972,000)	(39,545,000)
Excess of expenses over income for the year	(122,163,000)	(45,657,000)
Contribution received		108,682,000
Foundation principal at beginning of year	639,259,000	576,234,000
Foundation principal at end of year	\$517,096,000	\$ 639,259,000

Statement of Changes in Cash

Year Ended December 31	
1990	1989
\$25,284,000	\$24,098,000
14,232,000	13,509,000
166,000	74,000
39,682,000	37,681,000
39,083,000	36,948,000
587,000	644,000
17,000	63,000
39,687,000	37,655,000
(\$5,000)	\$ 26,000
	\$25,284,000 14,232,000 166,000 39,682,000 39,083,000 587,000 17,000 39,687,000

Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 1990 and 1989

NOTE 1 Investments

Investments are carried at market value. The unrealized increase (decrease) in the market value of investments held at year end is determined by using market values at the beginning and end of the year, or on the date acquired if purchased or contributed to the Foundation during the year. Realized gains (losses) on sales are determined based on cost, with cost being determined on a specific identification basis.

The Foundation held 6,855,000 shares of Hewlett-Packard Company stock (approximately 2.8% of that Company's total outstanding shares) with a market price of \$31.875 per share at December 31, 1990. At December 31, 1989 the Foundation held 7,790,000 shares with a market price of \$47.25 per share.

The fixed income portfolio at December 31, 1990 consisted primarily of U.S. Government and government agencies securities.

The cost of investments held at the end of each year was \$501,381,000 in 1990 and \$492,628,000 in 1989. Net loss on investment portfolio includes realized gains of \$22,986,000 in 1990 and \$30,813,000 in 1989.

NOTE 2 Grants Payable

Grant requests are recorded as grants payable when they are approved by the Board of Directors. Some of the grants are payable in installments generally over a three-year period. Grants authorized but unpaid at December 31, 1990 are payable as follows:

Year Payable	Amount
1991	\$23,315,000
1992	14,319,000
1993 and thereafter	1,422,000
	\$39,056,000

The Foundation ordinarily makes its grants to organizations that qualify as public charities under the Internal Revenue Code. When distributions are made to non-qualifying organizations, the Foundation assumes the responsibility for ultimate public charity use.

NOTE 3 Contribution

On June 6, 1989 the Foundation received a contribution of 2,000,000 shares of Hewlett-Packard Company stock. The contribution was recorded based on the fair market value of the stock, \$109,500,000 at \$54.75 per share, less related deferred excise tax of \$818,000.

NOTE 4 Federal Excise Tax

The William and Flora Hewlett Foundation is a private foundation and qualifies as a tax-exempt organization under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code. Private foundations are subject to a federal excise tax on net investment income and may reduce their federal excise tax rate from 2% to 1% by exceeding a certain payout target for the year. The Foundation's payout was sufficient in both 1990 and 1989 to qualify for the reduced tax rate.

Deferred federal excise tax arises from unrealized gains on investments and is provided at the rate in effect at the time the unrealized gains or losses are recognized.

The provisions for federal excise tax were as follows:

	1990	1989
Current	\$ 595,000	\$647,000
Deferred	(1,697,000)	(793,000)
	(\$1,102,000)	(\$146,000)
	1	

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS

To the Board of Directors of The William and Flora Hewlett Foundation

MARCH 1, 1991

In our opinion, the accompanying balance sheet and the related statements of income, expenses and changes in Foundation principal and of changes in cash present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of The William and Flora Hewlett Foundation (the Foundation) at December 31, 1990 and 1989, and the results of its operations and its changes in cash for the years then ended, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Foundaation's management; our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards which require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for the opinion expressed above. rice Waterhouse

555 CALIFORNIA STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104

Index

Academia Mexicana de Investigación en Demografia Medica, 56 Achievement Council, 25 Affiliate Artists, 48 African Medical and Research Foundation, 56 AFS Intercultural Programs, Inc., 27 Alameda County Art Commission, 48 Alameda County Health Care Services, 67 Alan Guttmacher Institute, 52 Alban Institute, 12 Allegheny College, 20 American Academy of Arts and Sciences, American Arbitration Association, 14 American College of Nurse Midwives, 56 American Conservatory Theatre, 43 American Council on Education, 29 American Energy Assurance Council, 34 American Farmland Trust, 32 American Institutes for Research, 27 American Leadership Forum, 71 American Music Theater Festival, 48 American Rivers, 37 American Trust for the British Library, 16 Americans for Indian Opportunity, 34 Applied Research Center, 63 Arts Council of Santa Clara County, 48 Asian Neighborhood Design, 63 Aspen Institute for Humanistic Studies, Association for Voluntary Surgical Contraception, Inc., 56 Association of American Universities, 29 Association of American University Presses, Inc., 16 Association of Bay Area Governments, 67 Bar Association of San Francisco,

80 Langton Street/New Langton Arts, 49

Volunteer Legal Services Program, 67 Bard College, 20 Bay Area Video Coalition, 47 Bay Area Women's Philharmonic, 40 Beirut University College, 79 Berkeley Conservatory Ballet, 45 Berkeley Dispute Resolution Service, 12 Berkeley Repertory Theatre, 43 Berkeley Symphony Orchestra, 40 Bernal Heights Community Foundation, Black Filmmakers Hall of Fame, 47 Boston University, World Development Institute, 16 Bridge Housing Corporation, 69 **Brookings Institution**, 73 Brooklyn Academy of Music, 48 Brown University: Department of Education, 29; Population Studies and Training Center, 52 Bryn Mawr College, 23 Bucknell University, 20 **Bush Foundation**, 25 Business Council for Effective Literacy, Inc., 76 Business Volunteers for the Arts, 48

Cabrillo Music Festival, 40, 77 California, University of, Berkeley, 36, 40, 49, 63; College of Engineering, 25; Cooperative Extension, 67; Department of Agriculture and Resource Economics, 18; Graduate Group in Demography, 52; Institute of Governmental Studies, 38; Institute of Urban and Regional Development, 27; Policy Analysis for California Education (PACE), 28; School of Education, 29; University Research Expeditions Program, 28 California, University of, Los Angeles, 36, 40, 75; Chicano Studies Research Center, 30; Program on Mexico, 18 California, University of, Office of the President, 30 California, University of, San Diego: Center for U.S.-Mexican Studies, 18; Graduate School of International Relations/Pacific Studies, 17 California, University of, San Francisco, Center for Reproductive Health Policy Research, 52 California, University of, Santa Cruz, 30 California Academy of Sciences, 38 California Commission on Campaign Financing, Center for Responsive Government, 79 California Community Dispute Services, 12 California Community Economic Development Association, 63 California Confederation of the Arts, 49 California Environmental Trust, 34, 71 California Historical Society, 79 California Housing Partnership Corporation, 69 California Institute of Public Affairs, 34 California Lawyers for the Arts, 49 California Leadership, 71 California State Public Employment Relations Board, 14 California Tomorrow, 71 Calvin College, 21 Carleton College, 23 Carmel Bach Festival, 40 Case Western Reserve University, 24 Catholic Charities Coalition for Low-Income Housing, 69 Catholic Charities, 67 CDR Associates, 12 Center for Applied Linguistics, 76 Center for Clean Air Policy, 34 Center for Community Change, 63 Center for Dispute Settlement, 12 Center for Mediation of Disputes, 12 Center for Plant Conservation, 37 Center for Policy Negotiation, Common Ground, 34 Center for Population Options, 58 Center for Resource Economics, Island Press, 32 Center for Science Information, 32 Center for Southeast Asian Refugee Resettlement, 77 Center for Strategic and International Studies, 75 Center for the Great Lakes, 32 Centre for Development and Population

Baruch College, 74 Clean Sites, Inc., 34 Colby College, 23 Coleman Children and Youth Services, 70 Colgate University, 21 College of Wooster, 21 Colorado, University of, Boulder, 10 Colorado College, 21, 23 Columbia University, 24; Center for Population and Family Health, 52; Institute of Latin American and Iberian Studies, 19; School of International and Public Affairs, 17; Teachers College, 28 Commission on Preservation and Access, Committee for Economic Development, Committee for Water Policy Consensus, Community Foundation for Monterey County, 70 Community Foundation of Santa Clara County, 49 Community Housing Improvement Systems and Planning Association, Inc., Compumentor, 63 Conflict Clinic, Inc., 12 Connecticut College, 23 Conservation Foundation, 32 Constitutional Rights Foundation, 72 Cornell University, 24; Population and Development Program, 52 Coro Foundation, 71 Council of Better Business Bureaus, 12 Council on Foreign Relations, 26 County of Santa Clara, 71 Crosspulse, 43 Cultural Council of Santa Cruz County, 49,77

Chicago, University of, 24; Population

Chronicle Season of Sharing Fund, 67

City University of New York, Bildner

Center for Western Hemisphere

City University Research Foundation,

Citizens for the Eastshore State Park, 38

Research Center, 52

Children's Defense Fund, 58

Children Now, 70

Circuit Network, 46

City Celebration, 49

Studies, 19

City of East Palo Alto, 64

Dance Bay Area, 46
Dance Through Time, 46
Dance/USA, 46
Dancers' Group/Footwork Studio, 46
Dartmouth College, 23
Davidson College, 21, 23
Denison University, 21
Denver, University of, Center for Public Policy and Contemporary Issues, 32
Development Training Institute, 63
Developmental Studies Center, 75
Dickinson College, 21
Dimensions Dance Theater, 46
District of Columbia, Board of Education, 28
Duke University, Center for International

Duke University, Center for International Studies, 17

Centro de Orientación Para Adolescentes,

Chamber Symphony of San Francisco, 40

Centro de Tecnologia Electronica e

Charles Armstrong School, 30

Informatica, 19 Chamber Music America, 40

Earlham College, 21, 23 East Bay Asian Local Development Corporation, 77 East Bay Community Foundation, 70 East Bay Conservation Corps, 65 East Palo Alto Community Law Project, East Palo Alto Youth Development Center, 70 East-West Center, East-West Population Institute, 52 Eden I & R, Inc., 77 Education Commission of the States, 26 Education, Training, and Research Associates, 59 80 Langton Street/New Langton Arts, 49 El Colegio de la Frontera Norte, 19 El Colegio de México, 19, 53 El Pajaro Community Development Corporation, 78 Elie Wiesel Foundation for Humanity, 73 Emory University, 73 **Environmental and Energy Study** Institute, 32 Environmental Law Institute, 32 Ethics and Public Policy Center, 73 Eureka Theatre Company, 43 Exitheatre, 43 Exploratorium, 79

Family Service Agency of San Francisco, 67 Federación Mexicana de Asociaciones Privadas de Planificación Familiar, 56

Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco, 27 Festival 2000, 49 Film Arts Foundation, 48 Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco, 79

Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco, 79
Five Colleges, Inc., Five College Program,
23
Folger Shakespeare Library, 16

Folger Shakespeare Library, 16
Food Research and Action Center, 67
Foothill College, 49
Foundation Center, 74
Foundation for Art in Cinema,
Cinematheque, 48
Franklin and Marshall College, 21
Fremont-Newark Philharmonic, 41

George Coates Performance Works, 43
George Mason University, 10
Georgetown University, 24; School of
Foreign Service, 18
Georgia Institute of Technology, 10
Gettysburg College, 21
Glide Foundation, 67
Good Samaritan Foundation, 56
Good Sound Foundation, 50
Goucher College, 21
Graduate Theological Union, 79
Greater Santa Cruz County Community
Foundation, 78
Grinnell College, 23

Haigazian College, 79
Hampshire College, 21
HANDSNET, 68
Harvard University, 10, 76; Center for
International Affairs, 17
Harvey Mudd College, 21
Haverford College, 21, 23
Hawaii, University of, 10
Hidden Villa, 38
Homelessness Information Exchange, 68
Humanities West, 41

Independent Colleges of Northern
California, 29
Independent Sector, 75
Indiana University, Population Institute
for Research and Training, 53
Institute for Development Training, 57
Institute for Development Training, 57
Institute for East-West Security Studies, 76
Institute for International Economics, 73
Institute for Resource Management, 35
Institute for the Natural Heritage, 35
Instituto Tecnologico Autonomo de
México, 19
Instituto Tecnologico y de Estudios

Instituto Tecnologico y de Estudios Superiores de Monterrey, 19 International City Management

Association, 14 International Institute for Strategic Studies, 76

International Institute of Rural Reconstruction, 57 International Planned Parenthood Federation/Western Hemisphere

Region, 57 International Projects Assistance Services, 57

International Union for the Scientific Study of Population, 53

International Women's Health Coalition, 57

Intersection for the Arts, 50

Jazz in the City, 41
Johns Hopkins University, Population
Center, 53; School of Advanced
International Studies, 18, 19
Joint Center for Political and Economic
Studies, 73
Jubilee West, Inc., 64
June Watanabe Dance Company, 46
Justice Center of Atlanta, 13

Kala Institute, 41 Kalamazoo College, 24 Kenyon College, 21 Kronos Quartet, 41

La Raza Silkscreen Center, Inc., 64
Lake Forest College, 21
Land Trust Alliance, 37
Larkin Street Youth Center, 68
Lehigh University, 22
Lines Dance Company, 46
Linfield College, 22
Literacy South, 76
Literacy Volunteers of America, 77
Local Initiatives Support Corporation, 64
Low-Income Housing Fund, 69
Low-Income Housing Information
Service, 69

Macalester College, 22, 24 Magic Theatre, 43 Mahidol University, Institute for Population and Social Research, 53 Marcus A. Foster Educational Institute, 26,70 Margaret Jenkins Dance Company, 46 Maryland, University of, College of Behavioral and Social Science, 53 Massachusetts, University of, Amherst, 14 Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 76; Community Fellows Program, 65 Mediation Center for Dispute, 13 Meet the Composer, Inc., 41 Meharry Medical College, 59 Menlo School and College, 80 Middlebury College, 24

Midsummer Mozart Festival, 41 Michigan, University of, 10, 25, 36, 53; School of Graduate Studies (Rackham Graduate School), 18 Mills College, 29, 30 Minnesota, University of, 10 Minnesota Citizens Council on Crime and Justice, 13 Mission Economic and Cultural Association, 64 Mission Housing Development Corporation, 64 Missouri Botanical Garden, 38 Montalvo Association, Montalvo Center for the Arts, 50 Monterey Institute of International Studies, 17 Mount Holyoke College, 22 Music Sources/Center for Historically Informed Performance, 41 Musical Traditions, Paul Dresher Ensemble, 41

Napa Valley Symphony Association, 41
National Academy of Sciences, 53
National Association of Community
Development Loan Funds, 64
National Association of Service and
Conservation Corps, 65
National Black Women's Health Project,
59
National Bureau of Economic Research,
74

74 National Center for Policy Alternatives, 33 National Conference of Peacemaking and Conflict Resolution, 14

National Conference of State Legislatures, 33

National Congress for Community Economic Development, 64 National Council for International Health, 57

National Family Planning and Reproductive Health Association, 60 National Fish and Wildlife Foundation, 37 National Institute for Chemical Studies, 35

National Institute for Chemical Studies, 35 National Institute for Dispute Resolution, 14

National Public Radio, 80 National Trust for Historic Preservation, 78

Nature Conservancy, 37 New Mexico, University of, The School of Law, 20

New Mexico Center for Dispute Resolution, 13 New Ways to Work, 66

New York City Opera, Inc., 43 Newberry Library, 16 Nightfire Theater, 43

Nonprofit Housing Association of Northern California, 69 North Carolina, University of, Carolina

Population Center, 54 North of Market Planning Coalition, 65 Northeast Midwest Institute, 33

Northern California Grantmakers, 50, 68, 71, 78, 80

Northern California Mediation Center, 13 Northern Lights Research and Education Institute, 35

Northwest Renewable Resources Center, 35

Northwestern University, 10 Notre Dame, University of, Helen Kellogg Institute for International Studies, 17 Oakland Ballet, 46 Oakland Business Development Corporation, 65 Oakland Ensemble Theatre, 44 Oakland Youth Works, 66 Oberlin Dance Collective, 47 Occidental College, 22 Ohio State University, 11 Old First Center for the Arts, 50 Opera San Jose, 44 Opportunities Industrialization Center West, 66 Oregon Rivers Council, 37 Oregon Shakespearean Festival, 44 Organization for Tropical Studies, 36 Overseas Development Council, 74

Partners of the Americas, 57 Pathfinder Fund, 57 Peninsula Ballet Theatre, 47 Peninsula Civic Light Opera, 44 Peninsula Conflict Resolution Center, 13 Pennsylvania Environmental Council, 13 Pennsylvania, University of, Population Studies Center, 54 Pennsylvania State University, 11; Population Issues Research Center, 54 People's Theatre Coalition, 44 Performing Arts Services, 50 Phelps-Stokes Fund, 75 Philharmonia Baroque Orchestra, 42 Pickle Family Circus, 44 Pittsburgh, University of, 17 Pitzer College, 22 Plan International USA, 61 Planned Parenthood Federation of America, Inc., 59 Playwrights Foundation, 44 Pocket Opera Company, Inc., 44 Pomona College, 22, 24 Population Association of America, 54 Population Council, 54 Population Crisis Committee, 60 Population Reference Bureau, Inc., 54 Population Resource Center, 60 Population Services International, 58 Princeton University, 25, 36; Office of Population Research, 54 Professional Staff Association, Los Angeles County/USC Medical Center, 59 Program for Appropriate Technology in Health, 58 Public/Private Ventures, 59, 66 Puget Sound, University of, 24

Rand Corporation, 11, 54, 74 Ravenswood City School District, 28 Reality House West, 65 Redlands, University of, 22 Reed College, 22 Renaissance Technical Training Institute, 66 Renew America, 33 Research Corporation, 80 Research Libraries Group, Inc., 16 Resources for the Future, 33 Rochester, University of, 25 Rocky Mountain Institute, 33 Rova Saxophone Quartet, 42 Royal Institute of International Affairs, 17 Rubicon Programs, Inc., 68 Rutgers-State University of New Jersey,

St. Elizabeth Youth Employment Corporation, 66 St. Lawrence University, 22 St. Olaf College, 22 San Antonio Community Development Corporation, 65 San Diego State University, International Population Center, 54; International Studies Education Project, 27 San Francisco, University of: Institute for Nonprofit Organization Management, San Francisco Ballet Association, 47 San Francisco Bay-Delta Aquatic Habitat Institute, 35 San Francisco Chanticleer, 42 San Francisco Conservation Corps, 72 San Francisco Contemporary Music Players, 42 San Francisco Development Fund, 69 San Francisco Education Fund, 26 San Francisco Moving Company, 47 San Francisco Opera Association, 44 San Francisco Organizing Project, 69 San Francisco Performances, 50 San Francisco Performing Arts Library and Museum, 50 San Francisco State University, 68; Frank V. De Bellis Collection, 78 San Francisco Symphony, 42 San Jose Civic Light Opera, 44 San Jose Cleveland Ballet, 47 San Jose Conservation Corps, 66 San Jose Development Corporation, 65 San Jose Repertory Company, 45 San Jose State University, School of Business, 80 San Jose Symphony Orchestra, 42 Santa Cruz County Symphony Association, 42, 78 Santa Fe Chamber Music Festival, 42 Save the Children, 58 Schola Cantorum, 42 School of American Ballet, 47 Scientists' Institute for Public Information, 38 Sew Productions/Lorraine Hansberry Theatre, 45 Sex Information and Education Council of the U.S./New York University, 59 Shakespeare-San Francisco, 45 Sinfonia San Francisco, 42 Skidmore College, 22 Society of Professionals in Dispute Resolution (SPIDR), 14 Soon 3 Theatre, 45 South Berkeley Neighborhood Development Corporation, 65 Southern African Freedom Through Education Foundation (SAFTE), 30 Southern California, University of, Center for International Journalism, 20; Population Research Laboratory, 55; School of International Relations, 17. 20; School of Public Administration, 74 Southwestern University, 77 Spanish Speaking Unity Council, 78 Stanford Mid-Peninsula Urban Coalition,

Spanish Speaking Unity Council, 76
Stanford Mid-Peninsula Urban Coalition
26
Stanford University, 11, 25, 74, 76;
Center for Conservation Biology, 37;
Center for the Study of Families,
Children, and Youth, 68; Institute for
Population and Resource Studies, 55;
Institute of International Studies, 17,
20, 27; School of Education, 29
Support Center/CTD, 72
Swarthmore College, 24
Syracuse University, 11

Tandy Beal & Company, Friends of Olympia Station, Inc., 47, 78 Tenderloin Housing Clinic, 69 Texas, University of, Austin: L.B.J. School of Public Affairs, 20; Population Research Center, 55 The Art. Re. Grup, 50 Theater Artaud, 45 Theatre Bay Area, 45 Theatreworks, 45 Tides Foundation, 28; Institute for Global Communications, 38 Tomas Rivera Center, 26 Travelers Aid Society of Alameda County, 68 Tri-City Economic Development Corporation, 65 Trilateral Commission, 74 Trinity College, 22 Trinity University, Department of Education, 28 Trout Unlimited, 37 Trust for Public Land, 37 Tufts University, Fletcher School of Law and Diplomacy, 18

Unitarian Universalist Service Committee, 58 Universidade Federale de Minas Gerais, Center of Regional Development and Planning (CEDEPLAR), 55 Urban Institute, 74 Urban Strategies Council, 71

Valley Institute of Theatre Arts, 45 Valley Volunteer Center, 66 Volunteer, 75 Volunteers of America, 13

Washington, University of, 18, 36; Center for Studies in Demography and Ecology, 55; Institute for the Study of Education Policy, 29 Water Education Foundation, 35 Water Pollution Control Federation, 35

Wellesley College, 22, 24
Wesleyan University, 23, 24
West Bay Opera, 45
Western Governors' Association, 33
Western Heritage of California, 80
Western Network, 13
Wheaton College, 23
Williams College, 23, 24

Wisconsin, University of, 36; Center for Demography and Ecology, 55; International Studies and Programs, 18 Wisconsin, University of, Madison, 11 Woodrow Wilson National Fellowship Foundation, 26 Woods Hole Research Center, 33

Wooster, College of, 21 Work in America Institute, 77 World Affairs Council of Northern California, 27 World Forum of Silicon Valley, 27 World Neighbors, 58

World Resources Institute, 34 Worldwatch Institute, 34

Yale University: Economic Growth Center/ Demography Program, 55; Institute for Social and Policy Studies, 75 Youth and Family Assistance, 68 Youthbuild San Francisco, 66 YWCA of Oakland, 79

Zakros Productions/New Music Theatre, 43 ZOHCO, 47

THE WILLIAM AND FLORA HEWLETT FOUNDATION

525 Middlefield Road Menlo Park, California 94025-3495 (415) 329-1070